



DURHAM YORK ENERGY CENTRE

COURTICE, ONTARIO

2020 Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020

SUBMITTED TO:

The Director, Legislative Services-Regional Clerk or Designate,

The Regional Municipality of Durham 605 Rossland Road East, 1st Floor Corporate Services-Legislative Services Division Whitby, ON L1N 6A3

CC:

Gioseph Anello Gioseph.Anello@durham.ca

Lyndsay Waller Lyndsay.Waller@durham.ca

Andrew Evans Andrew.Evans@durham.ca

SUBMITTED BY:

John DeYoe, B.A., d.E.T. Air Quality Specialist – Principal John.DeYoe@rwdi.com

RWDI Consulting Engineers & Scientists 600 Southgate Drive Guelph, ON N1G 4P6 T: 519.823.1311 F: 519.823.1316





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION1
1.1	Sampling Locations
2	SAMPLING METHODOLOGY
2.1	Nitrogen Oxide Analyzers 4
2.2	Sulphur Dioxide Analyzers
2.3	SHARP 5030 PM _{2.5} Analyzers
2.4	TSP High Volume Air Samplers 6
2.5	Polyurethane Foam Samplers 6
2.6	Meteorological Towers
3	AIR QUALITY CRITERIA AND STANDARDS
4	MECP AUDITS
5	SUMMARY OF AMBIENT MEASUREMENTS
5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2	Meteorological Station Results 8 Courtice Station Results 8 Rundle Road Station Results 10
5.2	NO _X , SO ₂ and PM _{2.5} Summary Table Results11
5.3 5.3.1	Oxides of Nitrogen Results
5.3.2	KUNDIE KOAD STATION KESUITS

5.4	Sulphur Dioxide Results	15
5.4.1	Courtice Station Results	
5.4.2	Rundle Road Station Results	
5.5	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) Results	19
5.5.1	Courtice Station Results	
5.5.2	Rundle Road Station Results	
5.6	TSP and Metals Hi-Vol Results	21
5.6.1	Courtice Station Results	
5.6.2	Rundle Road Station Results	
5.7	PAH Results	24
5.7.1	Courtice Station Results	
5.7.2	Rundle Road Station Results	
5.8	Dioxin and Furan Results	26
5.8.1	Courtice Station Results	
5.8.2	Rundle Road Station Results	
6	DATA REQUESTS	28
6.1	Continuous Monitoring	
6.2	Discrete Monitoring	
7	CONCLUSIONS	
8	REFERENCES	





LIST OF TABLES

$PM_{2.5}$, SO_2 and NO_2 CAAQS' by Implementation Year
Hourly Statistics from the Courtice WPCP Meteorological Station
Hourly Statistics from the Rundle Road Meteorological Station
Summary of Courtice Station Continuous Data Statistics
Summary of Rundle Road Station Continuous Data Statistics
Summary of Exceedance Statistics
Summary of TSP Sampler Courtice Station
Summary of TSP Sampler Rundle Road Station
Statistics Summary of PAH Results for Courtice Station
Statistics Summary of PAH Results for Rundle Road Station
Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins and Furans
Rundle Road Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins and Furans

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: DYEC Site and Ambient Monitoring Station Locations
- Figure 2: Rundle Road Station
- Figure 3: Courtice Station
- Figure 4: Courtice and Rundle Road Wind Roses
- Figure 5: Pollution Roses of Hourly Average NO₂ Concentrations July to September 2020
- Figure 6: Pollution Roses of Hourly Average SO₂ Concentrations July to September 2020
- Figure 7: Pollution Roses of 5-minute Average SO₂ Concentrations >67 ppb July to September 2020
- Figure 8: Pollution Roses of Hourly Average PM_{2.5} Concentrations July to September 2020



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A1:	2020 Summary Statistics for Q3
A2:	2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for PM _{2.5}
A3:	2020 Q3 Station Rundle Road Monitoring Results for PM _{2.5}
A4:	2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NO _X
A5:	2020 Q3 Station Rundle Road Monitoring Results for NO $_X$
A6:	2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NO
A7:	2020 Q3 Station Rundle Road Monitoring Results for NO
A8:	2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NO ₂
A9:	2020 Q3 Station Rundle Road Monitoring Results for NO ₂
A10:	2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for SO ₂
A11:	2020 Q3 Station Rundle Road Monitoring Results for SO ₂
A12:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Windspeed Data Summary
A13:	2020 Q3 Rundle Road Meteorological Station Windspeed Data Summary
A14:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Wind Direction Data Summary
A15:	2020 Q3 Rundle Road Meteorological Station Wind Direction Data Summary
A16:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Temperature Data Summary
A17:	2020 Q3 Rundle Road Meteorological Station Temperature Data Summary
A18:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Relative Humidity Summary
A19:	2020 Q3 Rundle Road Meteorological Station Relative Humidity Summary
A20:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Precipitation Data Summary
A21:	2020 Q3 Rundle Road Meteorological Station Precipitation Data Summary
A22:	2020 Q3 Courtice Meteorological Station Pressure Data Summary
Appendix B1:	Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for Dioxins & Furans
B2:	2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins & Furans
B3:	2020 Rundle Road Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins & Furans
B4:	Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)
B5:	Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAH's
B6:	Rundle Road Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAH's
B7:	Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) and Metals
B8:	2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for TSP and Metals
B9:	2020 Rundle Road Station Q3 Monitoring Results for TSP and Metals
Appendix C:	2020 Q3 Courtice and Rundle Road Station Zero Graphs

Appendix D1:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for PM _{2.5} at Courtice Station
D2:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for PM _{2.5} at Rundle Road Station
D3:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for NO _x at Courtice Station
D4:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for NO _X at Rundle Road Station
D5:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for SO ₂ at Courtice Station
D6:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for SO ₂ at Rundle Road Station
D7:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for Meteorological Parameters at Courtice Station
D8:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for Meteorological Parameters at Rundle Road Station
D9:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for Discrete Sampling at Courtice Station
D10:	3rd Quarter Edit Log for Discrete Sampling at Rundle Road Station
Appendix E1:	Table E1-E3: 10-min SO ₂ Running Average Exceedances at Courtice and Rundle Road Monitoring Stations
E2:	Table E4-E6: 1-hour SO ₂ Running Average Exceedances at Courtice and Rundle Road Monitoring Stations
E3:	September 24th BaP Exceedance Documentation for Courtice and Rundle Road Stations
Appendix F:	Durham York Energy Centre (DYEC) Ambient Air Q3 Sulphur Dioxide Emissions Technical Memorandum

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020

1 INTRODUCTION

RWDI AIR Inc. (RWDI) was retained by Durham Region and York Region (the Regions) to conduct discrete and continuous air quality ambient monitoring at the Durham York Energy Centre (DYEC) monitoring stations. The facility address is 1835 Energy Drive, Clarington, Ontario. The DYEC is a facility that manages post diversion municipal solid waste from Durham Region and York Region to create energy from waste combustion. Commercial operation of the DYEC commenced on February 1, 2016. The site location is shown below in Figure 1.

Condition 11 of the Environmental Assessment Notice of Approval and Condition 7(4) of the Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) requires ambient air monitoring to be undertaken by the DYEC. An Ambient Air Monitoring and Reporting Plan was prepared and approved by the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) to satisfy these conditions. Two (2) monitoring stations were established to monitor ambient air quality around the DYEC and quantify the background ambient air quality levels and DYEC contributed emissions to ambient air quality levels.

This monitoring plan was developed based on the Regional Council mandate to provide ambient monitoring in the area of the DYEC. The purposes of the ambient monitoring program are to:

- Quantify any measurable ground level concentrations resulting from emissions from the DYEC cumulative to local air quality, including validating the predicted concentrations from the dispersion modelling conducted in the Environmental Assessment (2009a);
- Monitor concentration levels of EFW-related air contaminants in nearby residential areas; and,
- Quantify background ambient levels of air contaminants in the area.

The facility has two (2) monitoring stations which collect continuous and discrete ambient measurements, known as the Courtice Station and Rundle Road Station. The station locations are shown in Figure 1. The Courtice and Rundle Road Stations were operational in May of 2013 and have been operated on behalf of the Region of Durham by Stantec Consulting Ltd. since that time up until July 31, 2018. RWDI has overseen the operation of the stations on behalf of the Region of Durham since August 1, 2018.

The Courtice and Rundle Road Stations continuously monitor the following air quality parameters: Particulate Matter less than 2.5 microns (PM2.5), Nitrogen Oxides (NO_X) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂). In addition, both discretely monitor the following air quality parameters: Total Suspended Particulate (TSP), Metals, Dioxins and Furans (D&F) and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Continuous meteorological data is collected at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations. The Rundle Road Station collects the following meteorological parameters: wind speed, wind direction, ambient temperature, precipitation and relative humidity. The meteorological tower there, is approximately 10 meters tall. The Courtice Station collects the following meteorological parameters: ambient temperature, ambient pressure, precipitation and relative humidity. For purposes of this report, wind speed and wind direction data for the Courtice Station have been obtained from the adjacent Courtice Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) meteorological tower, which is approximately 20 meters tall.

Throughout this monitoring period there were two (2) exceedances of the AAQC for Benzo(a) Pyrene which occurred on September 24th at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations, there were two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 10-minute SO₂ AAQC and two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Courtice Station, and there was one (1) exceedance event of the rolling SO₂ 10-minute AAQC and one (1) exceedance event of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Rundle Road Station. Data recovery rates were acceptable and valid for all measured Q3 parameters.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020





Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020



1.1 Sampling Locations

The Station sites were selected in consultation with a working group that included representatives from the MECP, the Region of Durham, York Region, and the Energy from Waste Advisory Committee (EFWAC), as required by Condition 11.3 of the Environmental Assessment Notice of Approval. The Courtice Station is predominantly upwind of the DYEC and is located on the Courtice WPCP property just southwest of the DYEC. The Rundle Road Station is predominantly downwind of the DYEC and is located just southeast of the intersection of Baseline Road and Rundle Road just northeast of the DYEC. Pictures of the two (2) Stations are presented as Figure 2 and 3.

Figure 2. Rundle Road Station



Figure 3. Courtice Station



RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020



2 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The Rundle Road and Courtice Stations are both equipped with the following continuous monitors: Thermo Scientific Model 5030 SHARP (Synchronized Hybrid Ambient Real-time Particulate) monitor (PM_{2.5} analyzer), Teledyne Nitrogen Oxides Analyzer Model T200 (NO_X analyzer), and a Teledyne Sulfur Dioxide Analyzer Model T100 (SO₂ analyzer). Both Stations also have the following periodic monitors: High Volume (Hi-Vol) Air Sampler outfitted with a TSP inlet head as approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), and a Hi-Vol Air Sampler outfitted with a polyurethane foam plug and circular quartz filter for measuring PAH's and D&F's as approved by U.S. EPA.

2.1 Nitrogen Oxide Analyzers

The Teledyne T200 Nitrogen Oxide (NO_X) analyzers use chemiluminescence detection, coupled with microprocessor technology to provide sensitivity and stability for ambient air quality applications. The instrument determines real-time concentration of nitric oxide (NO), total nitrogen oxides (NO_X) (the sum of NO and NO₂), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). The amount of NO is measured by detecting the chemiluminescence reaction that occurs in the reaction cell when NO molecules are exposed to ozone (O₃). The NO and O₃ molecules collide in the reaction cell and enter a higher energy state. When these excited molecules return to a stable energy state, they emit a photon of light which is proportional to the amount of NO in the sample stream of gas entering the analyzer. To determine the total NO_X (NO+NO₂) measurement, sample gas is periodically bypassed through a heated molybdenum converter cartridge that converts any NO₂ molecules in the sample stream into NO (any existing NO molecules in the stream remain as is). The instrument will switch the sample stream through the converter periodically and then through the reaction cell where the same chemiluminescence reaction occurs with ozone. The resultant response produced is now the sum of NO and converted NO₂ producing a NO_X measurement. The resultant NO₂ determination is the NO_X measurement subtracted from the NO measurement.

The NO_x analyzers were zero and span checked daily using the internal zero and span (IZS) system and calibrated once a month using either EPA protocol span gases and a dilution system or an ESA permeation tube calibrator. Automatic IZS checks were performed on a daily basis commencing at approximately 1:45 and ending at 02:15. The checks consisted of a 10-minute zero check, a 10-minute span check and a 10-minute purge. These checks provide a way to monitor daily performance of the analyzer using an external charcoal and purafil zeroing cartridge for the zero, and an internal permeation oven with a permeation tube for the span. These IZS checks are not for calibration purposes but are merely a diagnostic tool to identify instrument drift.

The instrument collects data using its own data acquisition system (DAS) on a 5-minute interval. Data is collected from the instrument directly to an EnviDAS logger at 1-min, 5-min and 60-min intervals. The logger can be accessed remotely, and all instrument parameters can be examined as well as the measurement data. This allows the tracking of instrument performance. Data was also collected at 1-minute intervals by an external datalogger using analog output connections as a back-up. The measurement data was averaged using Envista processing software over a 1-hour and 24-hour period to compare to the applicable ambient air quality criteria.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020

2.2 Sulphur Dioxide Analyzers

The Teledyne T100 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂) Analyzer is a microprocessor-controlled analyzer that determines the concentration of SO₂ in a sample gas drawn through the instrument. In the sample chamber, sample gas is excited by ultraviolet light causing the SO₂ to absorb energy from the light and move to an active state (SO₂*). These active SO₂* molecules must decay into a stable state back to SO₂, and when this happens a photon of light is released which is recognized by the instrument as fluorescence. The instrument measures the amount of florescence to determine the amount of SO₂ present in the sample gas.

The SO₂ analyzers were zero and span checked daily using the IZS system and calibrated once a month using either EPA protocol span gases and a dilution system or an ESA permeation tube calibrator. Automatic IZS checks were performed on a daily basis commencing at approximately 1:45 and ending at 02:15. The checks consisted of a 10-minute zero check, a 10-minute span check and a 10-minute purge. These checks provide a way to monitor daily performance of the analyzer using an external charcoal and purafil zeroing cartridge for the zero, and an internal permeation oven with a permeation tube for the span. These IZS checks are not for calibration purposes but are merely a diagnostic tool to identify instrument drift.

The instrument collects data using its own data acquisition system (DAS) on a 5-minute interval. Data is collected from the instrument directly to an EnviDAS logger at 1-min, 5-min and 60-min intervals. The logger can be accessed remotely, and all instrument parameters can be examined as well as the measurement data. This allows the tracking of instrument performance. Data was also collected at 1-minute intervals by an external datalogger using analog output connections as a back-up. The measurement data was averaged using Envista processing software over a 1-hour and 24-hour period to compare to the applicable ambient air quality criteria.

2.3 SHARP 5030 PM_{2.5} Analyzers

The SHARP 5030 is a hybrid nephelometric/radiometric particulate mass monitor capable of providing precise, real-time measurements with a superior detection limit. The SHARP incorporates a high sensitivity light scattering photometer whose output signal is continuously referenced to the time-averaged measurement of an integral beta attenuating mass sensor. The SHARP also incorporates a dynamic inlet heating system designed to maintain the relative humidity of the air passing through the filter tape constant.

The SHARP is calibrated once a month to ensure accuracy and validity of its data. The PM_{2.5} inlet head and sharp cut cyclone is cleaned monthly as well to ensure proper performance. The monthly calibration process consists of the following: zeroing the nephelometer if necessary, calibration of ambient temperature, calibration of barometric pressure, and calibration of the flow.

The instrument collects data using its own data acquisition system (DAS) on a 5-minute interval. Data is collected from the instrument directly to an EnviDAS logger at 1-min, 5-min and 60-min intervals. The logger can be accessed remotely, and all instrument parameters can be examined as well as the measurement data. This allows the tracking of instrument performance. Data was also collected at 1-minute intervals by an external datalogger using analog output connections as a back-up. The measurement data was averaged using Envista processing software over a 1-hour and 24-hour period to compare to the applicable ambient air quality criteria.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020

2.4 TSP High Volume Air Samplers

The Tisch TE-5170 Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) high volume (Hi-Vol) air samplers were outfitted with a TSP gabled inlet capable of collecting particulate of all aerodynamic diameters. Each Hi-Vol is equipped with a mass flow controller, which ensures a flow rate of 40 cubic feet per minute (CFM), a chart recorder for measuring cfm flow throughout the run time, an elapsed timer and a wheel timer for starting and stopping each sample. In the latter part of 2019, the pin-based wheel timer was modified with an automated relay system controlled by a data logger to toggle the sampler on and off, and the chart recorder system was replaced by a digital pressure transducer to record the blower output pressure. Teflon coated glass fibre filters are outfitted at the top of the hi-vol samplers where air is drawn through the filter, thereby collecting TSP. Each Hi-Vol is calibrated quarterly (every three months) to ensure accuracy and validity of the volume of air drawn through the sampler.

The Teflon coated glass fibre filter media was pre and post weighed by ALS Laboratories in Burlington, Ontario. The filters are then analyzed for total particulate weight, metals analysis and mercury.

2.5 Polyurethane Foam Samplers

The D&F, and PAH samples were collected using Tisch TE-1000 samplers, which are listed as reference devices for U.S. EPA Methods TO-9 and TO-13. The samplers use a collection filter that is 'backed-up' by a polyurethane foam (PUF) plug. The airborne compounds present in the particulate phase are collected on the Teflon coated glass fibre filter and any compounds present in the vapour phase are absorbed in the PUF plug. Each PUF sampler is equipped with a mass flow controller, which can sustain 8 CFM of flow over the sampling period, an elapsed timer and a wheel timer for starting and stopping each sample. In the latter part of 2019, the pin-based wheel timer was modified with an automated relay system controlled by a data logger to toggle the sampler on and off, and the chart recorder system was replaced by a digital pressure transducer to record the blower output pressure. Each PUF sampler is calibrated quarterly (every three months) to ensure accuracy and validity of the volume of air drawn through the sampler.

The filter and PUF media/glassware is proofed and analyzed by ALS Laboratories in Burlington, Ontario. The filters and PUF/XAD plugs are then analyzed for PAH's and D&F's.

2.6 Meteorological Towers

Meteorological data was collected from the Rundle Road and Courtice Stations. This is done so that a vector could be associated with the applicable contaminant concentrations. The Rundle Road and Courtice Stations are outfitted with a Campbell Scientific HMP60 Temperature / Relative Humidity probe, and a Texas Instruments TE525M rain gauge. Meteorological data was collected at 1-minute intervals and was averaged using Envista processing software over a 1-hour period.

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020



3 AIR QUALITY CRITERIA AND STANDARDS

The monitored contaminant concentrations were compared to air quality criteria and standards set by the MECP and by Environment Canada. The MECP developed Ambient Air Quality Criteria (AAQCs) which are the maximum desirable concentrations in the outdoor air, based on effects to the environment and health (MECP, 2012). Not all contaminants have an applicable regulatory limit; therefore, other criteria were used for comparison. These included human health risk assessment (HHRA) criteria. New AAQC's for SO₂ were implemented in 2020, including a 10-minute rolling average AAQC of 67 ppb, a 1-hour rolling average AAQC of 40ppb and an annual AAQC of 4 ppb. There is no longer a 24-hour rolling average AAQC for SO₂.

Environment Canada has established a Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) which are health-based air quality objectives for the outdoor air (Environment Canada, 2013). The current CAAQS' for PM_{2.5} are 27 µg/m³ for the 3-year average of annual 98th percentile 24-hour concentration, and 8.8 µg/m³ for the 3-year average of annual average concentrations (in effect as of 2020). The CAAQS' are listed in **Table 1**. No direct comparison to the 2020 CAAQS' is appropriate for this report, as the standards are only applicable to 3-year averaged data which is provided in the annual reports.

Devementer	Averaging	Year A	pplied	Statistical Form
Falalleter	Time	2020	2025	
	04 hour	27		The 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the daily 24-
Fine Particulate Matter (PM)	24-110u1	µg/m³		hour average concentrations
Fille Falliculate Matter (FM2.5)	Annual	8.8		The 3-year average of the annual average of all 1-hour
	Annuai	µg/m³		concentrations
	1 hour	70	65	The 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the SO2 daily
Sulphur Diavida (SO.)	I-noui	ppb	ppb	maximum 1-hour average concentrations
	Annual	5	4	The average over a single calendar year of all 1-hour average
	Annual	ppb	ppb	SO ₂ concentrations
	1 hour	60	42	The 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the daily
Nitrogon Diovido (NO.)	I-noui	ppb	ppb	maximum 1-hour average concentrations
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	Annual	17	12	The average over a single calendar year of all 1-hour average
	Annual	ppb	ppb	concentrations

Table 1. PM_{2.5}, SO₂ and NO₂ CAAQS' by Implementation Year

(CCME,2019)

All applicable criteria and standards are shown in the 'Summary of Ambient Measurements' section of this report.

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020



4 MECP AUDITS

There was no MECP audit during Q3.

5 SUMMARY OF AMBIENT MEASUREMENTS

Ambient air quality monitoring results for all contaminants sampled at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations are discussed herein. Summary statistics from July to September 2020 are presented in a summary format below and in a more detailed matrix format in **Appendix A** for continuous measurements and **Appendix B** for discrete measurements.

5.1 Meteorological Station Results

5.1.1 Courtice Station Results

The Courtice Station collected the following meteorological parameters: relative humidity, ambient temperature, ambient pressure and precipitation. For purposes of this report, wind speed and wind direction data for the Courtice Station have been obtained from the adjacent Courtice Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) meteorological tower, which is approximately 20 meters tall. The Courtice Station maintained a minimum 99.9% of data collection for all of the parameters measured during Q3. Calibrations were performed on the meteorological instrumentation at the Courtice Station, as well as the Courtice WWTP wind head on August 20th, 2020. Hourly statistics from the meteorological station are presented in **Table 2**. A wind rose showing trends in wind speed and wind direction during Q3 is provided in **Figure 4**.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM

RWDI#1803743 November 11, 2020



Figure 4. Wind Roses of Hourly Wind Speed and Wind Direction – July to September 2020



Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020

Courtice Station MET Statistics		Maximu	um 1 hr N	<i>l</i> lean			Minimur	n 1 hr Me	an			Monthly Mean Total						% valid hours							
Parameter	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	Rain	WS	WD	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain			
Units	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	mm			(%	%)					
July	24	33	98	29.9	5.9	0	16	34	29.3	0.0	9	23	74	29.6	0.0	33.9	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7			
August	38	29	98	29.9	16.1	0	11	36	29.1	0.0	10	21	73	29.6	0.1	98.7	99.6	99.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
September	38	25	97	30.3	6.1	1	3	32	29.2	0.0	11	16	72	29.8	0.1	39.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Q3 Arithmetic Mean											10	20	73	29.7	0.1	171.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9			

Table 2: Hourly Statistics from the Courtice Station and WPCP (WS and WD) Meteorological Station

5.1.2 Rundle Road Station Results

The Rundle Road Station collected the following meteorological parameters: wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity, ambient temperature and precipitation. The meteorological tower at the station is at a height of approximately 10 meters tall. The Rundle Road Station maintained a minimum 92.2% data collection for all of the meteorological parameters measured during Q3. Calibrations were performed on the meteorological instrumentation at the Rundle Station on August 20th, 2020. Hourly statistics from the meteorological station is presented in **Table 3**. A wind rose showing trends in wind speed and wind direction during Q3 is provided in **Figure 4**.

Table 3: Hourly Statistics	from the Rundle Road	d Meteorological Station
----------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------

Rundle Road Station MET Statistics		Maximu	m 1 hr Mean			Minimum	1 hr Mean			Montl	hly Mean		Total	% Valid Hours				
Parameter	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	Rain	WS	WD	Temp	RH	Rain
Units	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	mm			(%)		
July	27	34	96	5.7	0	15	33	0.0	8	23	71	0.0	31.9	100.0	91.8	100.0	100.0	100.0
August	26	29	99	9.4	0	9	35	0.0	8	21	73	0.1	83.8	99.6	88.6	99.6	99.6	99.7
September	32	26	100	6.1	0	1	33	0.0	8	16	73	0.1	45.9	100.0	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
Q3 Arithmetic Mean							·		8	20	72	0.1	161.6	99.9	92.2	99.9	99.9	99.9



5.2 NO_X, SO₂ and PM_{2.5} Summary Table Results

Table 4 provides a summary of Maximum 1-hour Rolling Means, Maximum 24-hour Rolling Means, Monthly Means, Quarterly Means and Percent valid data for the Courtice Station. **Table 5** provides a summary of Maximum 1-hour Means, Maximum 24-hour Means, Monthly Means, Monthly Means, Quarterly Means and Percent valid data for the Courtice Station. **Table 6** provides a summary of exceedance statistics for both Courtice and Rundle Road Stations. There were two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 10-minute SO₂ AAQC and two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Courtice Station, and there was one (1) exceedance event of the rolling SO₂ 10-minute AAQC and one (1) exceedance event of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Rundle Road Station in Q3.

Table 4: Summary of Courtice Station Continuous Data Statistics

Courtice Monitoring Station Data Statistics	Maximum Rolling 10 min Mean		Maximun	n Rolling	1 hr Mean			Maximum	24 hr Roll	ing Mean		Mor	thly Me	ean	% Valid Hours								
Compound	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂		
Units	ppb	(µg/m³)		р	pb		(µg/m³)	(µg/m³) ppb					(µg/m³) ppb					(%)					
AAQC/CAAQS	67				200	40	27 ^A			100													
July	20.1	42.7	34.9	14.9	28.3	13.9	14.6	9.4	2.8	7.7	1.8	6.2	3.7	0.6	3.2	0.3	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.5		
August	109.7	22.5	39.9	29.2	26.1	54.2	14.0	15.3	7.1	9.5	5.0	5.4	4.7	1.0	3.7	1.5	99.6	98.3	98.3	98.3	99.6		
September	55.0	39.5	62.8	37.5	38.6	39.6	16.9	16.2	3.9	14.7	8.3	5.0	4.7	1.0	3.7	2.1	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.6		
Q3 Arithmetic Mean				·	<u>.</u>								5.5 4.4 0.9 3.5			1.3	99.6 99.2 99.2 99.2			99.5			

^A The 24-hour PM_{2.5} CAAQS applies to the 98th percentile over 3 consecutive years.

Table 5: Summary of Rundle Road Station Continuous Data Statistics

Rundle Road Monitoring Station Data Statistics	Maximum Rolling 10 min Mean		Maximun	n Rolling	1 hr Mean		Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean						Mor	thly Me	an	% Valid Hours						
Compound	SO ₂	PM2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM 2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM 2.5	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	
Units	ppb	(µg/m³)		p	pb		(µg/m³)	(µg/m³) ppb (µ				(µg/m³) ppb					(%)					
AAQC/CAAQS	67				200	40	27 ^A			100												
July	4.6	28.3	21.3	11.0	13.9	3.6	11.8	6.6	1.5	5.4	1.2	5.1	3.0	0.6	2.6	0.3	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	
August	34.3	23.1	30.5	16.8	17.7	22.8	13.2	9.2	2.1	7.6	1.7	4.4	3.2	0.8	2.5	0.4	99.9	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.9	
September	67.8	30.6	34.9	19.9	20.7	41.5	13.6	9.0	2.5	6.8	4.6	4.0	3.0	0.7	2.6	0.3	99.7	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.0	
Q3 Arithmetic Mean												4.5	3.1	0.7	2.6	0.3	99.8	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.5	

^A The 24-hour PM_{2.5} CAAQS applies to the 98th percentile over 3 consecutive years.





Table 6: Summary of Exceedance Statistics

Event Statistics	Rolling Mean > 10 min AAQC for Courtice	Rolling Mean > 10 min AAQC for Rundle Road	Mear Cour	n > 1 hr AA rtice Moni Station	QC for toring	Mean Ri Moni	> 1 hr AA undle Ro itoring Si	AQC for ad tation	Rollin AAQ Moni	g Mean > C for Cou toring St	· 24 hr rtice ation	Rolling Mean > 24 hr AAQC for Rundle Road Monitoring Station				
Compound	SO ₂	SO ₂	PM 2.5	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM 2.5	NO2	SO ₂	PM 2.5	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM2.5	NO ₂	SO ₂		
Units	No.	No.		No.			No.			No.		No.				
July	0	0		0	0		0	0	N/A	0		N/A	0			
August	2	0		0	2		0	0	N/A	0		N/A	0			
September	0	1		0	0		0	1	N/A	0]	N/A	0			
Q3 Total	2	1		0	2		0	1	N/A	0		N/A	0			

5.3 Oxides of Nitrogen Results

5.3.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for oxides of nitrogen (99.2% valid data). Monitoring results were compared to the AAQC for NO₂ only, as it is the only parameter that has AAQC values for 1-hour and 24-hour averaging periods (there are no AAQC's for NO or NO_x). There were no exceedances above the AAQC values for the entirety of the sampling period for rolling 1-hour and 24-hour averaged data. The highest NO₂ value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was 38.6 ppb, which is 19.3% of the AAQC. The highest NO₂ value seen among the rolling 24-hour averages was 14.7 ppb, which is 14.7% of the AAQC. The measurements are summarized in **Table 4** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 5** for the Courtice Station during Q3 composed of hourly average NO₂ concentrations. A pollution rose indicates the percentage of time that the wind originates from a given direction coupled with the pollutant measurement for that time in either ppb or micrograms per meter cubed. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below 5 ppb were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation.

The Courtice Station pollution rose in **Figure 5** shows the majority of the NO₂ impacts were largely between the ESE and W directions. The Station would be downwind of the DYEC if winds were from the northeast and east-northeast components, which happened to be very minimal, therefore it is unlikely that any significant impact came from the DYEC. There are larger impacts from the ESE which indicates likely impacts from the surrounding industry along the lakeshore, and from the SE-SW which is likely from long range transport across the lake.



5.3.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for oxides of nitrogen (99.6% valid data). There were no exceedances above the AAQC values for the entirety of the sampling period for rolling 1-hour and 24-hour averaged data. The highest NO₂ value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was 20.7 ppb, which is 10.4% of the AAQC. The highest NO₂ value seen among the rolling 24-hour averages was 7.6 ppb, which is 7.6% of the AAQC. The measurements are summarized in **Table 5** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 5** for the Rundle Road Station during Q3 composed of hourly average NO₂ concentrations. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below 5 ppb were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation.

The Rundle Road Station pollution rose in **Figure 5** shows that the majority of elevated NO₂ events at the Rundle Road Station occurred when winds were from the west and west-southwest which is in line with high traffic areas and urban background, with a possible contribution from DYEC in the WSW quadrant. It is unlikely that the DYEC was a major contributor to NO₂ levels at the station.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020



Figure 5. Pollution Roses of Hourly Average NO₂ Concentrations – July to September 2020



5.4 Sulphur Dioxide Results

5.4.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for sulphur dioxide (99.5% valid data). Monitoring results were compared to the AAQC for 10-minute and 1-hour rolling average periods. In 2020, there have been more frequent SO₂ concentrations elevated above the AAQC's than in previous years due to the new limits imposed at the start of 2020. The highest SO₂ value seen among the 10-min rolling averages was 109.7 ppb, which is 163.7% of the AAQC. The highest SO₂ value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was 54.2 ppb, which is 135.5% of the AAQC. There were two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 10-minute AAQC and two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 1-hour AAQC. Tables outlining the interpretation of each exceedance period can be found in **Appendix E.**

The SO₂ statistical results are summarized in **Table 4** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 6** for the Courtice Station during Q3 composed of hourly average SO₂ concentrations. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below 5 ppb were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 7** for the Courtice Station during Q3 composed of 5-minute average SO₂ concentrations with levels below 67 ppb omitted to illustrate directionality of exceedance concentrations.

The Courtice Station pollution rose in **Figure 6** shows that the majority of elevated SO₂ events at Courtice occurred from the SSE to S directions. The events were possibly a result of emissions from long range transport across the lake and a small contribution from the ESE direction which would possibly originate from industrial sources along the lakeshore. It is unlikely that any significant contribution of measured SO₂ came from the DYEC. The Courtice Station pollution rose in **Figure 7** shows that 0.03% of the 5-min SO₂ events which are elevated >67 ppb occurred from the WSW S, SSE, ESE and E directions. The conclusion about the sources is the same as **Figure 6** and it is unlikely that any significant contribution of measured SO₂ came from the DYEC.

Durham Region staff have provided a Technical Memorandum summarizing the DYEC SO₂ continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) data during the exceedance events recorded at the Courtice and Rundle Road Ambient Monitoring Stations for Q3, which is included in **Appendix F**. The Memorandum indicates that based on the in-stack concentration levels measured by the CEMS, that there were no unusual levels in SO₂ emissions during the ambient Station exceedance events and that the facility's contribution to ambient air quality would be expected to be quite low.

5.4.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for sulphur dioxide (99.5% valid data). Monitoring results were compared to the AAQC for 10-minute and 1-hour rolling average periods. The highest SO₂ value seen among the 10-min rolling averages was 67.8 ppb, which is 101.2% of the AAQC. The highest SO₂ value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was 41.5 ppb, which is 103.8% of the AAQC. There was one (1) exceedance event of the rolling 10-minute AAQC and one (1) exceedance event of the rolling 1-hour AAQC. Tables outlining the interpretation of each exceedance period can be found in **Appendix E.** Other meteorological and exceedance analysis can be provided upon request but is outside the scope of the current program.



The SO₂ statistical results are summarized in **Table 5** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 6** for the Rundle Road Station during Q3 composed of hourly average SO₂ concentrations. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below 5 ppb were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 7** for the Rundle Road Station during Q3 composed of 5-minute average SO₂ concentrations with levels below 67 ppb omitted to illustrate directionality of exceedance concentrations.

The Rundle Road Station pollution rose in **Figure 6** shows that the majority of elevated SO_2 events at the Rundle Road Station occurred when winds were from the ESE to SSE. The pollution rose indicates that the DYEC was a not major contributor to SO_2 levels at the station and that the levels may be related to other industrial activity. The Rundle Road Station pollution rose in **Figure 7** shows that <0.01% of the 5-min SO_2 events which are elevated >67 ppb occurred from the ESE direction. The conclusion about the sources is the same as **Figure 6** and it is unlikely that any significant contribution of measured SO_2 came from the DYEC. Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020



Figure 6. Pollution Roses of Hourly Average SO₂ Concentrations – July to September 2020



Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020



Figure 7. Pollution Roses of 5-minute Average SO₂ Concentrations >67 ppb – July to September 2020





5.5 Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Results

5.5.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for particulate matter less than 2.5 microns (99.6% valid data). There is no 1-hour AAQC or standard for PM_{2.5}, but there is a 24-hour CAAQS of 27 μ g/m³ for the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile 24-hour concentrations, and 8.8 μ g/m³ for the 3-year average of the annual average concentrations (in effect as of 2020). Note that since the reported data is only quarterly and the CAAQS is applicable to the 3-year average, the CAAQS' for PM_{2.5} was not applicable to the data. The highest PM_{2.5} value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was 42.7 μ g/m³ and the highest value seen among the 24-hour rolling averages was 16.9 μ g/m³. The results are summarized in **Table 4** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 8** for the Courtice Station during Q3 composed of hourly average PM_{2.5} concentrations. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below 5 μ g/m³ were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation.

The Courtice Station pollution rose in **Figure 8** shows that the majority of elevated PM_{2.5} events at Courtice were largely from the WNW-NW. Elevated PM_{2.5} measurements were likely related to urban background, roadway emissions and other nearby industrial sources.

5.5.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for particulate matter less than 2.5 microns (99.8% valid data). The highest PM_{2.5} value seen among the 1-hour rolling averages was $30.6 \ \mu g/m^3$ and the highest value seen among the 24-hour rolling averages was $13.6 \ \mu g/m^3$. The results are summarized in **Table 5** above. A pollution rose is presented in **Figure 8** for the Rundle Road Station during Q3 composed of hourly average PM_{2.5} concentrations. In order to show where possible major sources of pollutants are coming from, levels below $5 \ \mu g/m^3$ were omitted from the graphic wind rose representation.

The Rundle Road pollution rose in **Figure 8** shows that the majority of elevated PM_{2.5} events at the Rundle Road Station occurred when winds were from WSW, which is in line with high traffic areas and urban background, with a possible contribution from DYEC in the WSW quadrant.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020



Figure 8. Pollution Roses of Hourly Average PM_{2.5} Concentrations – July to September 2020





5.6 TSP and Metals Hi-Vol Results

All of the TSP Hi-Vols operated on a discrete schedule every 6 days according to the NAPS schedule during Q3 with the sample days being: July 2, 8, 14, 20, 26, August 1, 7, 13, 19, 25, 31 and September 6, 12, 18, 24, 30.

5.6.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for the TSP sampler at the Courtice Station (100% valid data). There were no exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria for TSP, mercury or metals during Q3. **Table 7** is a summary of the statistics for this station.

Q3 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING REPORT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM RWDI #1803743 November 11, 2020

Table 7: Summary of TSP Sampler Courtice Station

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	No. > Criteria	Geometric Mean	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
Particulate (TSP)	µg/m³	120	120	0	26.07	29.55	11.59	69.66	69.66	36.85	52.51	16	100
Total Mercury (Hg)	µg/m³	2	2	0	9.58E-06	1.17E-05	2.94E-06	4.00E-05	1.34E-05	4.00E-05	1.31E-05	16	100
Aluminum (Al)	µg/m³	4.8	-	0	1.62E-01	1.93E-01	7.16E-02	5.00E-01	3.55E-01	3.62E-01	5.00E-01	16	100
Antimony (Sb)	µg/m³	25	25	0	7.38E-04	7.79E-04	4.78E-04	1.44E-03	1.35E-03	9.29E-04	1.44E-03	16	100
Arsenic (As)	µg/m³	0.3	0.3	0	9.53E-04	9.89E-04	8.59E-04	2.36E-03	9.77E-04	8.93E-04	2.36E-03	16	100
Barium (Ba)	µg/m³	10	10	0	7.36E-03	8.15E-03	3.47E-03	1.55E-02	1.55E-02	1.29E-02	1.15E-02	16	100
Beryllium (Be)	µg/m³	0.01	0.01	0	2.99E-05	2.99E-05	2.86E-05	3.26E-05	3.26E-05	2.98E-05	2.98E-05	16	100
Bismuth (Bi)	µg/m³	-	-	-	5.38E-04	5.38E-04	5.15E-04	5.86E-04	5.86E-04	5.36E-04	5.37E-04	16	100
Boron (B)	µg/m³	120	-	0	1.19E-02	1.20E-02	1.15E-02	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.19E-02	1.19E-02	16	100
Cadmium (Cd)	µg/m³	0.025	0.025	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100
Chromium (Cr)	µg/m³	0.5	-	0	1.59E-03	1.67E-03	1.43E-03	4.43E-03	4.43E-03	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	16	100
Cobalt (Co)	µg/m³	0.1	0.1	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100
Copper (Cu)	µg/m³	50	-	0	1.26E-02	1.42E-02	6.79E-03	3.37E-02	3.37E-02	2.27E-02	3.06E-02	16	100
Iron (Fe)	µg/m³	4	-	0	4.41E-01	4.88E-01	2.54E-01	1.26E+00	1.26E+00	7.63E-01	6.84E-01	16	100
Lead (Pb)	µg/m³	0.5	0.5	0	1.95E-03	2.34E-03	8.63E-04	7.81E-03	7.81E-03	2.17E-03	4.25E-03	16	100
Magnesium (Mg)	µg/m³	-	-	-	2.33E-01	2.74E-01	1.41E-01	8.98E-01	8.98E-01	3.93E-01	3.83E-01	16	100
Manganese (Mn)	µg/m³	0.4	-	0	1.19E-02	1.36E-02	6.13E-03	3.69E-02	3.69E-02	2.34E-02	2.07E-02	16	100
Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/m³	120	-	0	4.06E-04	4.64E-04	2.86E-04	1.24E-03	1.24E-03	7.10E-04	8.26E-04	16	100
Nickel (Ni)	µg/m³	0.2	-	0	9.38E-04	9.62E-04	8.59E-04	2.02E-03	2.02E-03	8.93E-04	8.95E-04	16	100
Phosphorus (P)	µg/m³	-	-	-	2.24E-01	2.24E-01	2.15E-01	2.44E-01	2.44E-01	2.23E-01	2.24E-01	16	100
Selenium (Se)	µg/m³	10	10	0	2.99E-03	2.99E-03	2.86E-03	3.26E-03	3.26E-03	2.98E-03	2.98E-03	16	100
Silver (Ag)	µg/m³	1	1	0	2.99E-04	2.99E-04	2.86E-04	3.26E-04	3.26E-04	2.98E-04	2.98E-04	16	100
Strontium (Sr)	µg/m³	120	-	0	6.40E-03	7.52E-03	2.51E-03	2.08E-02	2.08E-02	9.80E-03	1.23E-02	16	100
Thallium (TI)	µg/m³	-	-	-	2.69E-05	2.69E-05	2.58E-05	2.93E-05	2.93E-05	2.68E-05	2.68E-05	16	100
Tin (Sn)	µg/m³	10	10	0	7.41E-04	8.51E-04	2.88E-04	1.89E-03	1.43E-03	1.89E-03	1.59E-03	16	100
Titanium (Ti)	µg/m³	120	-	0	7.67E-03	9.34E-03	3.23E-03	2.07E-02	1.95E-02	1.82E-02	2.07E-02	16	100
Uranium (Ur)	µg/m³	1.5	-	0	2.99E-05	2.99E-05	2.86E-05	3.26E-05	3.26E-05	2.98E-05	2.98E-05	16	100
Vanadium (V)	µg/m³	2	1	0	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	1.43E-03	1.63E-03	1.63E-03	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	16	100
Zinc (Zn)	µg/m³	120	-	0	3.14E-02	3.35E-02	1.44E-02	6.36E-02	6.36E-02	5.87E-02	3.60E-02	16	100
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/m³	20	-	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100



5.6.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for the TSP sampler at the Rundle Road Station (81% valid data). There were no exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria for TSP, mercury or metals during Q3

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	No. > Criteria	Geometric Mean	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
Particulate (TSP)	µg/m³	120	120	0	24.1	26.1	13.7	43.9	41.7	33.9	43.9	13	81
Total Mercury (Hg)	µg/m³	2	2	0	6.96E-06	9.53E-06	2.85E-06	3.13E-05	1.96E-05	3.13E-05	7.68E-06	13	81
Aluminum (Al)	µg/m³	4.8	-	0	1.59E-01	1.76E-01	8.17E-02	3.01E-01	2.85E-01	2.67E-01	3.01E-01	13	81
Antimony (Sb)	µg/m³	25	25	0	5.48E-04	6.11E-04	2.45E-04	1.33E-03	1.33E-03	6.05E-04	1.03E-03	13	81
Arsenic (As)	µg/m³	0.3	0.3	0	9.97E-04	1.06E-03	8.71E-04	2.79E-03	1.01E-03	9.29E-04	2.79E-03	13	81
Barium (Ba)	µg/m³	10	10	0	6.84E-03	7.80E-03	3.25E-03	1.97E-02	1.97E-02	1.00E-02	9.51E-03	13	81
Beryllium (Be)	µg/m³	0.01	0.01	0	3.03E-05	3.04E-05	2.85E-05	3.37E-05	3.37E-05	3.10E-05	3.01E-05	13	81
Bismuth (Bi)	µg/m³	-	-	-	5.46E-04	5.47E-04	5.13E-04	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.57E-04	5.42E-04	13	81
Boron (B)	µg/m³	120	-	0	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	1.14E-02	1.35E-02	1.35E-02	1.24E-02	1.20E-02	13	81
Cadmium (Cd)	µg/m³	0.025	0.025	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81
Chromium (Cr)	µg/m³	0.5	-	0	1.62E-03	1.69E-03	1.42E-03	3.98E-03	3.98E-03	1.55E-03	1.50E-03	13	81
Cobalt (Co)	µg/m³	0.1	0.1	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81
Copper (Cu)	µg/m³	50	-	0	3.36E-02	3.64E-02	1.48E-02	5.74E-02	5.74E-02	5.72E-02	4.04E-02	13	81
Iron (Fe)	µg/m³	4	-	0	3.78E-01	4.16E-01	1.66E-01	8.83E-01	8.83E-01	7.06E-01	4.96E-01	13	81
Lead (Pb)	µg/m³	0.5	0.5	0	1.84E-03	2.22E-03	8.71E-04	5.93E-03	5.93E-03	3.03E-03	3.13E-03	13	81
Magnesium (Mg)	µg/m³	-	-	-	1.99E-01	2.22E-01	9.87E-02	4.72E-01	4.72E-01	3.10E-01	3.07E-01	13	81
Manganese (Mn)	µg/m³	0.4	-	0	1.07E-02	1.21E-02	5.46E-03	2.62E-02	2.62E-02	1.95E-02	1.87E-02	13	81
Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/m³	120	-	0	1.21E-03	1.38E-03	2.90E-04	2.90E-03	2.90E-03	1.93E-03	1.44E-03	13	81
Nickel (Ni)	µg/m³	0.2	-	0	9.10E-04	9.11E-04	8.54E-04	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	9.29E-04	9.03E-04	13	81
Phosphorus (P)	µg/m³	-	-	-	2.28E-01	2.28E-01	2.14E-01	2.53E-01	2.53E-01	2.32E-01	2.26E-01	13	81
Selenium (Se)	µg/m³	10	10	0	3.03E-03	3.04E-03	2.85E-03	3.37E-03	3.37E-03	3.10E-03	3.01E-03	13	81
Silver (Ag)	µg/m³	1	1	0	3.03E-04	3.04E-04	2.85E-04	3.37E-04	3.37E-04	3.10E-04	3.01E-04	13	81
Strontium (Sr)	µg/m³	120	-	0	4.78E-03	5.29E-03	2.56E-03	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	8.11E-03	6.14E-03	13	81
Thallium (TI)	µg/m³	-	-	-	2.73E-05	2.73E-05	2.56E-05	3.03E-05	3.03E-05	2.79E-05	2.71E-05	13	81
Tin (Sn)	µg/m³	10	10	0	6.38E-04	8.76E-04	2.85E-04	2.89E-03	2.89E-03	6.81E-04	1.38E-03	13	81
Titanium (Ti)	µg/m ³	120	-	0	7.69E-03	8.89E-03	3.19E-03	1.62E-02	1.62E-02	1.42E-02	1.38E-02	13	81
Uranium (Ur)	µg/m ³	1.5	-	0	3.03E-05	3.04E-05	2.85E-05	3.37E-05	3.37E-05	3.10E-05	3.01E-05	13	81
Vanadium (V)	µg/m³	2	1	0	1.52E-03	1.52E-03	1.42E-03	1.69E-03	1.69E-03	1.55E-03	1.50E-03	13	81
Zinc (Zn)	µg/m³	120	-	0	2.76E-02	3.40E-02	8.58E-03	1.05E-01	4.89E-02	1.05E-01	5.77E-02	13	81
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/m³	20	-	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81

Table 8: Summary of TSP Sampler Rundle Road Station



2	Table 0	:		af 11a		4	41-1-	
J.S.	i able o	15 a	Summary	or the	statistics	101	แทร	station.

5.7 PAH Results

All of the PUF Hi-Vols operated on a discrete schedule every 12 days for PAH's according to the NAPS schedule during Q3 with the sample days being: July 2, 14, 26, August 7, 19, 31 and September 12 and 24, 2020.

5.7.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were acceptable for the PAH results at the Courtice Station (75% valid data). There was one (1) exceedance of the Benzo(a) Pyrene AAQC on September 24th. There were no other exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria. According to the Courtice meteorological data, the Courtice Station was downwind of the DYEC part of the time during the September 24th sampling period. According to the Courtice meteorological data, the winds were coming from the NE-SSW and it is likely that the measured BaP exceedances may be attributed to industrial sources along the lakeshore with a possible contribution from DYEC in the NE-ENE quadrant. The exceedance documentation is attached in **Appendix E**. **Table 9** outlines the statistics summary for this station.

Table 9: Statistics Summary of PAH Results for Courtice Station

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria (µg/m³)	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Minimum Q3 Concentration	Maximum Q3 Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	0	6.04E+00	3.82E+00	1.01E+01	5.64E+00	1.01E+01	8.11E+00	6	75
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	0	9.76E+00	6.57E+00	1.73E+01	1.01E+01	1.73E+01	1.07E+01	6	75
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.60E+00	3.15E+00	1.43E+01	6.79E+00	1.43E+01	3.44E+00	6	75
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	0	1.62E-01	3.81E-02	3.48E-01	2.51E-01	3.48E-01	1.44E-01	6	75
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	0	3.06E-01	1.50E-01	5.13E-01	3.68E-01	5.13E-01	2.08E-01	6	75
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.05E-02	7.27E-03	3.68E-02	1.79E-02	3.61E-02	3.68E-02	6	75
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.93E-02	2.73E-02	7.31E-02	6.64E-02	7.31E-02	5.76E-02	6	75
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m³	0.05	1	2.28E-02	6.08E-03	5.50E-02	3.14E-02	2.08E-02	5.50E-02	6	75
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.70E-02	1.20E-02	8.91E-02	3.87E-02	3.80E-02	8.91E-02	6	75
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.43E-02	1.58E-02	7.12E-02	3.74E-02	4.15E-02	7.12E-02	6	75
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.89E-02	9.12E-03	5.56E-02	3.33E-02	3.35E-02	5.56E-02	6	75
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.50E-02	1.17E-02	5.30E-02	2.73E-02	2.00E-02	5.30E-02	6	75
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.86E-02	1.05E-02	7.48E-02	4.34E-02	5.57E-02	7.48E-02	6	75
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	2.73E+00	1.74E+00	4.34E+00	2.33E+00	4.34E+00	3.34E+00	6	75
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.36E-02	4.05E-02	1.51E-01	9.75E-02	9.81E-02	1.51E-01	6	75
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.65E-03	3.14E-04	8.25E-03	1.88E-03	2.72E-03	8.25E-03	6	75
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.11E+00	3.87E-01	1.67E+00	1.62E+00	1.67E+00	7.73E-01	6	75
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.86E+00	2.19E+00	7.12E+00	4.34E+00	7.12E+00	2.41E+00	6	75
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.46E-02	1.04E-02	4.70E-02	3.00E-02	2.85E-02	4.70E-02	6	75
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	0	3.11E+01	1.31E+01	5.54E+01	2.69E+01	5.54E+01	5.46E+01	6	75
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	1.53E-02	1.02E-02	3.44E-02	1.06E-02	1.27E-02	3.44E-02	6	75
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.83E-03	3.14E-04	5.23E-03	2.05E-03	1.90E-03	5.23E-03	6	75
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.11E+00	3.02E+00	1.10E+01	7.39E+00	1.10E+01	3.88E+00	6	75
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.03E-01	3.64E-01	8.52E-01	8.52E-01	8.07E-01	5.23E-01	6	75
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	2.56E+00	1.38E+00	4.17E+00	2.76E+00	3.20E+00	4.17E+00	6	75
Total PAH	ng/m ³	-	-	7.13E+01	4.53E+01	1.27E+02	6.45E+01	1.27E+02	9.17E+01	6	75



5.7.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were high for the PAH results at the Rundle Road Station (88% valid data). There was one (1) exceedance of the Benzo(a) Pyrene AAQC on September 24th. There were no other exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria. According to the Rundle meteorological data, the Rundle Road Station was upwind of the DYEC during the sampling period. Since the winds were predominantly coming from the Northeast and South, it is likely that the measured BaP exceedances may be attributed to sources other than the Energy Centre operations. The exceedance documentation is attached in Appendix E. Table 10 outlines the statistics summary for this station.

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria (µg/m³)	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Minimum Q3 Concentration	Maximum Q3 Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	0	8.62E+00	1.90E+00	1.59E+01	8.18E+00	1.59E+01	1.48E+01	7	Γ
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	0	1.51E+01	3.01E+00	3.10E+01	1.54E+01	3.10E+01	2.06E+01	7	
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.10E+01	9.93E-01	2.69E+01	1.37E+01	2.69E+01	8.91E+00	7	
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	0	1.49E-01	6.47E-02	2.92E-01	2.56E-01	2.92E-01	1.16E-01	7	
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	0	8.60E-01	8.40E-02	2.12E+00	1.33E+00	2.12E+00	4.01E-01	7	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.69E-02	7.58E-03	2.59E-02	2.59E-02	1.91E-02	2.50E-02	7	
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.66E-02	2.56E-02	2.03E-01	2.03E-01	1.43E-01	5.00E-02	7	
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m³	0.05	1	1.92E-02	7.00E-03	6.12E-02	2.19E-02	1.28E-02	6.12E-02	7	
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.11E-02	1.48E-02	9.67E-02	3.09E-02	9.67E-02	6.81E-02	7	
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.34E-02	1.39E-02	1.25E-01	1.25E-01	7.09E-02	7.30E-02	7	
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.14E-02	1.06E-02	3.59E-02	2.14E-02	2.32E-02	3.59E-02	7	
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.83E-02	1.19E-02	7.61E-02	2.01E-02	7.61E-02	4.21E-02	7	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.21E-02	1.09E-02	5.69E-02	3.85E-02	5.69E-02	4.67E-02	7	
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	3.53E+00	8.73E-02	7.45E+00	4.09E+00	7.45E+00	4.54E+00	7	
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.07E-01	4.31E-02	2.20E-01	2.20E-01	1.45E-01	1.11E-01	7	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.30E-03	3.38E-04	6.74E-03	1.51E-03	2.68E-03	6.74E-03	7	
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.00E+00	4.02E-01	6.18E+00	5.84E+00	6.18E+00	1.50E+00	7	
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.19E+00	8.63E-01	1.65E+01	1.08E+01	1.65E+01	4.77E+00	7	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.14E-02	9.56E-03	3.21E-02	2.04E-02	3.00E-02	3.21E-02	7	
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	0	3.24E+01	7.52E+00	8.39E+01	2.21E+01	5.98E+01	8.39E+01	7	
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	1.71E-02	8.76E-03	3.98E-02	1.09E-02	2.10E-02	3.98E-02	7	
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.55E-03	3.38E-04	2.61E-03	8.72E-04	2.61E-03	2.34E-03	7	
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.34E+01	1.83E+00	3.06E+01	2.17E+01	3.06E+01	7.57E+00	7	
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.35E+00	2.14E-01	2.74E+00	2.74E+00	2.61E+00	6.63E-01	7	
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	3.46E+00	1.12E+00	1.29E+01	2.63E+00	2.85E+00	1.29E+01	7	
Total PAH	ng/m ³	-	-	1.00E+02	1.85E+01	2.03E+02	9.99E+01	2.03E+02	1.61E+02	7	

Table 10: Statistics Summary of PAH Results for Rundle Road Station



% Valid data
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88
88

5.8 Dioxin and Furan Results

All of the PUF Hi-Vols operated on a discrete schedule every 24 days for D&F's according to the NAPS schedule during Q3 with the sample days being: July 2, July 26, August 19 and September 12, 2020.

5.8.1 Courtice Station Results

Data recovery levels were low for the D&F results at the Courtice Station (50% valid data). There were no exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria for any of the D&F's during Q3. Table 11 is a summary of the statistics for this station.

Table 11: Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins and Furans

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
2,3,7,8-TCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	7.23E-04	9.27E-04	7.23E-04	-	9.27E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	6.82E-04	1.67E-03	1.67E-03	-	6.82E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	4.72E-05	1.15E-04	4.72E-05	-	1.15E-04	2	50
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.01E-04	2.08E-04	2.08E-04	-	1.01E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	2.01E-04	3.71E-04	2.01E-04	-	3.71E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.40E-04	2.35E-04	2.35E-04	-	1.40E-04	2	50
OCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	2.15E-05	3.21E-05	3.21E-05	-	2.15E-05	2	50
2,3,7,8-TCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	3.93E-05	1.12E-04	3.93E-05	-	1.12E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.98E-05	3.04E-05	1.98E-05	-	3.04E-05	2	50
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.75E-04	5.24E-04	1.75E-04	-	5.24E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.22E-04	1.32E-04	1.32E-04	-	1.22E-04	2	50
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	4.25E-05	8.39E-05	4.25E-05	-	8.39E-05	2	50
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	2.11E-04	3.71E-04	2.11E-04	-	3.71E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	1.32E-04	1.75E-04	1.32E-04	-	1.75E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	4.69E-05	8.57E-05	4.69E-05	-	8.57E-05	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	8.33E-06	1.24E-05	8.33E-06	-	1.24E-05	2	50
OCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	-	2.75E-06	3.46E-06	2.75E-06	-	3.46E-06	2	50
Total Toxic Equivalency	pg TEQ/m ³	0.1 1 ^[1]	-	0	-	3.88E-03	3.92E-03	3.92E-03	-	3.88E-03	2	50

Note: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit [1] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds

Arithmetic mean is not provided as data validity criteria were not met



5.8.2 Rundle Road Station Results

Data recovery levels were acceptable for the D&F results at the Rundle Road Station (75% valid data). There were no exceedances of any of the AAQC's or HHRA Criteria for any of the D&F's during Q3. Table 12 is a summary of the statistics for this station.

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
2,3,7,8-TCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	8.67E-04	4.56E-04	1.21E-03	4.56E-04	1.21E-03	9.36E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.30E-03	7.52E-04	2.33E-03	2.33E-03	7.52E-04	8.05E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.33E-04	1.05E-04	1.49E-04	1.49E-04	1.05E-04	1.46E-04	3	75
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	2.70E-04	7.35E-05	4.16E-04	3.21E-04	7.35E-05	4.16E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.20E-04	9.31E-05	1.72E-04	9.46E-05	9.31E-05	1.72E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.70E-04	4.74E-05	3.17E-04	3.17E-04	4.74E-05	1.46E-04	3	75
OCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	-	3.03E-05	5.88E-06	4.78E-05	4.78E-05	5.88E-06	3.71E-05	3	75
2,3,7,8-TCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	8.53E-05	5.07E-05	1.10E-04	5.07E-05	9.48E-05	1.10E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	5.11E-05	1.82E-05	1.09E-04	1.82E-05	2.60E-05	1.09E-04	3	75
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	2.76E-04	2.43E-04	3.26E-04	2.43E-04	2.60E-04	3.26E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.12E-04	6.21E-05	1.42E-04	1.42E-04	6.21E-05	1.33E-04	3	75
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	6.52E-05	4.58E-05	9.74E-05	5.24E-05	4.58E-05	9.74E-05	3	75
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.48E-04	6.54E-05	2.06E-04	1.72E-04	6.54E-05	2.06E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.90E-04	8.33E-05	3.71E-04	1.15E-04	8.33E-05	3.71E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	5.25E-05	1.69E-05	1.12E-04	1.69E-05	2.81E-05	1.12E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.32E-05	5.39E-06	2.20E-05	2.20E-05	5.39E-06	1.22E-05	3	75
OCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	-	1.88E-06	4.12E-07	3.20E-06	2.02E-06	4.12E-07	3.20E-06	3	75
Total Toxic Equivalency	pg TEQ/m ³	0.1 1 ^[1]	-	0	3.88E-03	2.96E-03	4.55E-03	4.55E-03	2.96E-03	4.14E-03	3	75

Table 12: Rundle Road Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins and Furans

Note: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

[1] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds



6 DATA REQUESTS

The following sections outline any instrumentation issues encountered that have caused data loss at any of the monitors at each of the stations.

Appendix C contains monthly IZS zero trends for the NOx and SO₂ analyzers at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations.

Edit logs identifying missing data, maintenance times, calibrations and any other missing data have been included in Appendix D.

6.1 Continuous Monitoring

The concrete base for the new Rundle Road Meteorological tower was poured on August 9, 2020. Installation of the new tower and the migration of the existing meteorological equipment occurred on August 20th. All of the instrumentation was calibrated and passed the respective validation criteria. Calibrations were also performed on the meteorological instrumentation at the Courtice Station, as well as the Courtice WWTP wind head on August 20th. All of the meteorological instrumentation at the courtice Station criteria; however, the WWTP wind head was found to report slightly lower wind speed than expected during the calibration. It was recommended that WWTP instrumentation personnel further look into the issue.

On August 27, 2020, RWDI personnel responded to an observed reduction in ozone flow rate and drifting overnight span on the NOx analyzer at the Courtice station. While calibration checks confirmed that the unit was still running well within specifications, it was decided to remove the analyzer for further troubleshooting, and a replacement unit was installed.

On August 27, 2020, the NOx pump at the Rundle Road Station was replaced with a rebuilt spare pump.

On September 2, 2020, annual maintenance was performed on the Rundle Road SO₂ unit, including a pump rebuild and maintenance of the critical flow orifices.

6.2 Discrete Monitoring

The PUF samples taken at Courtice and Rundle Road Stations on July 26, 2020 and Courtice Station on August 19th were invalidated due to volume sampled <300m³ based on MECP criteria. New motors were installed on August 9th to try to overcome this issue. A very slight improvement in the PS-1 flow rates resulted from installation of the new blower motors, however it was confirmed that the flow restriction is being caused by the sampling media itself. After discussion with the ALS Laboratory Special Chemistries and Air Toxics Director it was confirmed that due to the combined polyurethane foam and the resin media creating increased resistance that it would be hard to consistently achieve a sampled volume of 300 m³. It was his belief that the combined media had advantages over the PUF only



cartridge and switching to achieve the MECP minimum sample volume would compromise the capture efficiency of the low molecular weight PAH's including naphthalene and biphenyl. He confirmed that the lab can get a sufficient sample for BaP from the combined cartridge with a sample size as low as 200 m³. Given this information, and since the detection limits were being met for all of the PAH's for samples submitted that were less than 300 m³, the samples <300 m³ on the September 12th sample date were submitted and reported as valid samples.

The Rundle Road TSP samples taken on July 20th and August 1st were invalid as birds had damaged the filters. Chicken wire was installed between the gabled roof and hivol body to prevent birds from getting in and onto the filter.

The Rundle Road TSP sample taken on September 6th was invalidated as excessive volume was captured during the run period.

7 CONCLUSIONS

This Q3 report provides a summary of the ambient air quality data collected at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations. Throughout this monitoring period there were two (2) exceedances of the AAQC for Benzo(a) Pyrene which occurred on September 24th at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations, there were two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 10-minute SO₂ AAQC and two (2) exceedance events of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Courtice Station, and there was one (1) exceedance event of the rolling SO₂ 10-minute AAQC and one (1) exceedance event of the rolling 1-hour SO₂ AAQC at the Rundle Road Station. Data recovery rates were acceptable and valid for all measured Q3 continuous parameters and all discrete parameters with the exception of dioxin and furan results.

8 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), 2012. Guidance Document on Achievement Determination Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards for Fine Particulate Matter and Ozone. PN 1483 978-1-896997-91-9 PDF
- 2. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), 2019. Guidance Document on Air Zone Management. PN 1593 978-1-77202-050-2 PDF
- 3. Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, 2018. [Technical Assessment and Standards Development Branch] Ontario Air Standards for Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂). [Online]
- 4. Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, 2012. [Standards Development Branch] Ontario's Ambient Air Quality Criteria (Sorted by Contaminant Name). PIBS #6570e01




Table A1: 2020 Summary Statistics for Q3

Courtice Monitoring Station Data Statistics	Maximum 10 min Rolling Mean	Ma	ximum	1 hr Ro	olling M	ean	Ма	ximum	24 hr R	olling M	ean		Mon	thly M	ean			% \	valid ho	ours	
Compound	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂
Units	ppb	(µg/m ³)		F	opb	-	(µg/m ³)			ppb		(µg/m ³)		р	ob				(%)		
AAQC/CAAQS	67				200	40	27 ^A			100											
July	20.1	42.7	34.9	14.9	28.3	13.9	14.6	9.4	2.8	7.7	1.8	6.2	3.7	0.6	3.2	0.3	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.5
August	109.7	22.5	39.9	29.2	26.1	54.2	14.0	15.3	7.1	9.5	5.0	5.4	4.7	1.0	3.7	1.5	99.6	98.3	98.3	98.3	99.6
September	55.0	39.5	62.8	37.5	38.6	39.6	16.9	16.2	3.9	14.7	8.3	5.0	4.7	1.0	3.7	2.1	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.6
Q3 Arithmetic Mean												5.5	4.4	0.9	3.5	1.3	99.6	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.5

Rundle Monitoring Station Data Statistics	Maximum 10 min Rolling Mean	Ma	ximum	1 hr Ro	olling M	ean	Ma	ximum	24 hr F	tolling M	ean		Mon	thly M	ean			% \	valid ho	ours	
Compound	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NOx	NO	NO ₂	SO ₂
Units	ppb	(µg/m ³)		þ	opb		(µg/m³)			ppb		(µg/m³)		р	pb				(%)		
AAQC/CAAQS	67				200	40	27 ^A			100											
July	4.6	28.3	21.3	11.0	13.9	3.6	11.8	6.6	1.5	5.4	1.2	5.1	3.0	0.6	2.6	0.3	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7
August	34.3	23.1	30.5	16.8	17.7	22.8	13.2	9.2	2.1	7.6	1.7	4.4	3.2	0.8	2.5	0.4	99.9	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.9
September	67.8	30.6	34.9	19.9	20.7	41.5	13.6	9.0	2.5	6.8	4.6	4.0	3.0	0.7	2.6	0.3	99.7	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.0
Q3 Arithmetic Mean												4.5	3.1	0.7	2.6	0.3	99.8	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.5

Event Statistics	Rolling Mean > 10 min AAQC for Courtice	Rolling Mean > 10 min AAQC for Rundle	Rolli AAC	ng Mean (C for Co	> 1 hr urtice	Rollir AAC	ng Meai (C for R	n > 1 hr undle	Rolling AAQC Monit	g Mean > C for Cou toring St	24 hr rtice ation	Rolling AAQ Monit	Mean : C for Ru oring Si	> 24 hr ındle tation
Compound	SO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	SO_2
Units	No.	No.		No.			No.			No.			No.	
July	0	0		0	0		0	0	N/A	0		N/A	0	
August	2	0		0	2		0	0	N/A	0		N/A	0	
September	0	1		0	0		0	1	N/A	0		N/A	0	
Q3 Total	2	1		0	2		0	1	N/A	0		N/A	0	

Courtice Station MET Statistics		Maxim	um 1 h	r Mean			Minim	ium 1 h	r Mean			Mon	thly Me	ean		Total			% valio	l hours		
Parameter	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain	Rain	WS	WD	Temp	RH	Pres	Rain
Units	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	"Hg	mm	mm			(9	%)		
July	24	33	98	29.9	5.9	0	16	34	29.3	0.0	9	23	74	29.6	0.0	33.9	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7
August	38	29	98	29.9	16.1	0	11	36	29.1	0.0	10	21	73	29.6	0.1	98.7	99.6	99.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
September	38	25	97	30.3	6.1	1	3	32	29.2	0.0	11	16	72	29.8	0.1	39.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Q3 Arithmetic Mean											10	20	73	29.7	0.1	171.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9

Rundle Station MET Statistics	Мах	kimum 1	hr Me	ean	Mi	nimum 1	hr Mea	an		Monthly	/ Mean		Total		% ۱	valid ho	urs	
Parameter	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	WS	Temp	RH	Rain	Rain	WS	WD	Temp	RH	Rain
Units	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	(km/hr)	(°C)	(%)	mm	mm			(%)		
July	27	34	96	5.7	0	15	33	0.0	8	23	71	0.0	31.9	100.0	91.8	100.0	100.0	100.0
August	26	29	99	9.4	0	9	35	0.0	8	21	73	0.1	83.8	99.6	88.6	99.6	99.6	99.7
September	32	26	100	6.1	0	1	33	0.0	8	16	73	0.1	45.9	100.0	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
Q3 Arithmetic Mean									8	20	72	0.1	161.6	99.9	92.2	99.9	99.9	99.9

Table A2: 2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for $PM_{2.5}$

Data Statistics	Rolling Mean > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}
Wonth	No.	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)	No.	%
July	N/A	6.2	42.7	14.6	741	99.6
August	N/A	5.4	22.5	14.0	741	99.6
September	N/A	5.0	39.5	16.9	718	99.7

Table A3: 2020 Q	3 Station Rundle	Monitoring	Results for PM _{2.5}
------------------	-------------------------	------------	-------------------------------

Data Statistics	Rolling Mean > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5}
	No.	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)	No.	%
July	N/A	5.1	28.3	11.8	742	99.7
August	N/A	4.4	23.1	13.2	743	99.9
September	N/A	4.0	30.6	13.6	718	99.7

Table A4: 2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NOx

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Events > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x
	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	N/A	N/A	3.7	34.9	9.4	742	99.7
August	N/A	N/A	4.7	39.9	15.3	731	98.3
September	N/A	N/A	4.7	62.8	16.2	718	99.7

Table A5: 2020 Q3 Station Rundle Monitoring Results for NOx

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Events > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x	NO _x
	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	N/A	N/A	3.0	21.3	6.6	742	99.7
August	N/A	N/A	3.2	30.5	9.2	740	99.5
September	N/A	N/A	3.0	34.9	9.0	717	99.6

Table A6: 2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NO

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Events > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
WORLD	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	N/A	N/A	0.6	14.9	2.8	742	99.7
August	N/A	N/A	1.0	29.2	7.1	731	98.3
September	N/A	N/A	1.0	37.5	3.9	718	99.7

Table A7: 2020 Q3 Station Rundle Monitoring Results for NO

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Events > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	N/A	N/A	0.6	11.0	1.5	742	99.7
August	N/A	N/A	0.8	16.8	2.1	740	99.5
September	N/A	N/A	0.7	19.9	2.5	717	99.6

Table A8: 2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for NO₂

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Rolling Mean > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂
	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	0	0	3.2	28.3	7.7	742	99.7
August	0	0	3.7	26.1	9.5	731	98.3
September	0	0	3.7	38.6	14.7	718	99.7

Table A9: 2020 Q3 Station Rundle Monitoring Results for NO_{2}

Data Statistics	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Rolling Mean > 24 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂	NO ₂
wonth	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	0	0	2.6	13.9	5.4	742	99.7
August	0	0	2.5	17.7	7.6	740	99.5
September	0	0	2.6	20.7	6.8	717	99.6

Table A10: 2020 Q3 Station Courtice Monitoring Results for SO_2

Data Statistics	Events > 10 min AAQC	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 10 min Rolling Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂
Wonth	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	0	0	0.3	20.1	13.9	1.8	740	99.5
August	2	2	1.5	109.7	54.2	5.0	741	99.6
September	0	0	2.1	55.0	39.6	8.3	717	99.6

Table A11: 2020 Q3 Station Rundle Monitoring Results for SO_2

Data Statistics	Events > 10 min AAQC	Events > 1 hr AAQC	Arithmetic Mean	Maximum 10 min Rolling Mean	Maximum 1 hr Rolling Mean	Maximum 24 hr Rolling Mean	Number of valid Hours	% valid data
Month	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂	SO ₂
WOLLU	No.	No.	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	No.	%
July	0	0	0.3	4.6	3.6	1.2	742	99.7
August	0	0	0.4	34.3	22.8	1.7	743	99.9
September	1	1	0.3	67.8	41.5	4.6	713	99.0

Table A12: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Windspeed Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Quarterly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Wind Speed	Wind Speed	Wind Speed	Wind Speed
	(km/hr)	(km/hr)	(km/hr)	(%)
July	24.1	0.5	8.6	100.0
August	37.8	0.4	9.7	99.6
September	38.3	1.3	11.2	100.0

Table A13: 2020 Q3 Rundle Meterological Station Windspeed Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Quarterly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Wind Speed	Wind Speed	Wind Speed	Wind Speed
	(km/hr)	(km/hr)	(km/hr)	(%)
July	27.5	0.0	8.1	100.0
August	26.1	0.1	7.5	99.6
September	31.5	0.1	8.1	100.0

Table A14: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Wind Direction Data Summary

MET Statistics	% valid hours
Month	Wind Direction
Month	(%)
July	100.0
August	99.6
September	100.0

Table A15: 2020 Q3 Rundle Meterological Station Wind Direction Data Summary

MET Statistics	% valid hours
Month	Wind Direction
MONTH	(%)
July	91.8
August	88.6
September	96.4

Table A16: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Temperature Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Quarterly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Temperature	Temperature	Temperature	Temperature
	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(%)
July	33.2	16.0	22.8	99.7
August	28.9	11.2	21.0	100.0
September	25.5	3.3	16.3	100.0

Table A17: 2020 Q3 Rundle Meterological Station Temperature Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Quarterly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Temperature	Temperature	Temperature	Temperature
	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(%)
July	34.2	14.9	23.2	100.0
August	29.2	9.0	20.7	99.6
September	25.6	0.6	15.9	100.0

Table A18: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Relative Humidity Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Monthly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
July	98.1	33.6	73.9	99.7
August	97.8	36.0	73.0	100.0
September	97.3	31.5	71.9	100.0

Table A19: 2020 Q3 Rundle Meterological Station Relative Humidity Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Monthly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity	Relative Humidity
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
July	96.1	32.9	70.7	100.0
August	98.8	34.9	72.7	99.6
September	100.0	32.5	73.2	100.0

Table A20: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Precipitation Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Monthly Mean	Total	% valid hours
Month	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation
Month	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
July	5.9	0.0	0.0	33.9	99.7
August	16.1	0.0	0.1	98.7	100.0
September	6.1	0.0	0.1	39.3	100.0

Table A21: 2020 Q3 Rundle Meterological Station Precipitation Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Monthly Mean	Total	% valid hours
Month	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation	Precipitation
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
July	5.7	0.0	0.0	31.9	100.0
August	9.4	0.0	0.1	83.8	99.7
September	6.1	0.0	0.1	45.9	100.0

Table A22: 2020 Q3 Courtice Meterological Station Pressure Data Summary

MET Statistics	Maximum 1 hr Mean	Minimum 1 hr	Quarterly Mean	% valid hours
Month	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure
Month	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(%)
July	29.9	29.3	29.6	99.7
August	29.9	29.1	29.6	100.0
September	30.3	29.2	29.8	100.0





Table B1: Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for Dioxins & Furans

		Courtice			Rundle	
Sample Date	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume
	No.	(min)	(m ³)	No.	(min)	(m ³)
July 2, 2020	L2471865-2	1441	318	L2471865-1	1441	296
July 26, 2020	Inv	/alid Sample		In	valid Sample	
August 19, 2020	Inv	/alid Sample		L2485239-3	1440	306
September 12, 2020	L2496545-3	1440	286	L2496545-2	1440	267

Table B2: 2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins & Furans

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-Jul 20	26-Jul 20	19-Aug 20	12-Sep 20	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
2,3,7,8-TCDD	pg/m³	-	-	7.23E-04			9.27E-04	-	-	7.23E-04	9.27E-04	7.23E-04	-	9.27E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	1.67E-03			6.82E-04	-	-	6.82E-04	1.67E-03	1.67E-03	-	6.82E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	4.72E-05			1.15E-04	-	-	4.72E-05	1.15E-04	4.72E-05	-	1.15E-04	2	50
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	2.08E-04			1.01E-04	-	-	1.01E-04	2.08E-04	2.08E-04	-	1.01E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	2.01E-04			3.71E-04	-	-	2.01E-04	3.71E-04	2.01E-04	-	3.71E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	2.35E-04			1.40E-04	-	-	1.40E-04	2.35E-04	2.35E-04	-	1.40E-04	2	50
OCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	3.21E-05			2.15E-05	-	-	2.15E-05	3.21E-05	3.21E-05	-	2.15E-05	2	50
2,3,7,8-TCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	3.93E-05	٥	٩	1.12E-04	-	-	3.93E-05	1.12E-04	3.93E-05	-	1.12E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.98E-05	d L	d L	3.04E-05	-	-	1.98E-05	3.04E-05	1.98E-05	-	3.04E-05	2	50
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.75E-04	d Sa	d Sa	5.24E-04	-	-	1.75E-04	5.24E-04	1.75E-04	-	5.24E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.32E-04	valic	valic	1.22E-04	-	-	1.22E-04	1.32E-04	1.32E-04	-	1.22E-04	2	50
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	4.25E-05	Ē	Ē	8.39E-05	-	-	4.25E-05	8.39E-05	4.25E-05	-	8.39E-05	2	50
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	2.11E-04			3.71E-04	-	-	2.11E-04	3.71E-04	2.11E-04	-	3.71E-04	2	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.32E-04			1.75E-04	-	-	1.32E-04	1.75E-04	1.32E-04	-	1.75E-04	2	50
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	4.69E-05			8.57E-05	-	-	4.69E-05	8.57E-05	4.69E-05	-	8.57E-05	2	50
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	8.33E-06			1.24E-05	-	-	8.33E-06	1.24E-05	8.33E-06	-	1.24E-05	2	50
OCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	2.75E-06			3.46E-06	-	-	2.75E-06	3.46E-06	2.75E-06	-	3.46E-06	2	50
Total Toxic Equivalency	pg TEQ/m ³	0.1 1 ^[1]	-	3.92E-03			3.88E-03	0	-	3.88E-03	3.92E-03	3.92E-03	-	3.88E-03	2	50

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

[1] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds

Arithmetic mean not available as >75% data validity was not met

Table B3: 2020 Rundle Station Q3 Monitoring Results for Dioxins & Furans

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-Jul-20	26-Jul-20	19-Aug-20	12-Sep-20	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
2,3,7,8-TCDD	pg/m³	-	-	4.56E-04		1.21E-03	9.36E-04	-	8.67E-04	4.56E-04	1.21E-03	4.56E-04	1.21E-03	9.36E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	2.33E-03		7.52E-04	8.05E-04	· ·	1.30E-03	7.52E-04	2.33E-03	2.33E-03	7.52E-04	8.05E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	1.49E-04		1.05E-04	1.46E-04	-	1.33E-04	1.05E-04	1.49E-04	1.49E-04	1.05E-04	1.46E-04	3	75
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	3.21E-04		7.35E-05	4.16E-04	-	2.70E-04	7.35E-05	4.16E-04	3.21E-04	7.35E-05	4.16E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	9.46E-05		9.31E-05	1.72E-04	-	1.20E-04	9.31E-05	1.72E-04	9.46E-05	9.31E-05	1.72E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	3.17E-04		4.74E-05	1.46E-04	-	1.70E-04	4.74E-05	3.17E-04	3.17E-04	4.74E-05	1.46E-04	3	75
OCDD	pg/m ³	-	-	4.78E-05		5.88E-06	3.71E-05	-	3.03E-05	5.88E-06	4.78E-05	4.78E-05	5.88E-06	3.71E-05	3	75
2,3,7,8-TCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	5.07E-05	<u>e</u>	9.48E-05	1.10E-04	•	8.53E-05	5.07E-05	1.10E-04	5.07E-05	9.48E-05	1.10E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.82E-05	d L	2.60E-05	1.09E-04	-	5.11E-05	1.82E-05	1.09E-04	1.82E-05	2.60E-05	1.09E-04	3	75
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	2.43E-04	d Sa	2.60E-04	3.26E-04	•	2.76E-04	2.43E-04	3.26E-04	2.43E-04	2.60E-04	3.26E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.42E-04	vali	6.21E-05	1.33E-04	•	1.12E-04	6.21E-05	1.42E-04	1.42E-04	6.21E-05	1.33E-04	3	75
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	5.24E-05	<u> </u>	4.58E-05	9.74E-05	•	6.52E-05	4.58E-05	9.74E-05	5.24E-05	4.58E-05	9.74E-05	3	75
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.72E-04		6.54E-05	2.06E-04	-	1.48E-04	6.54E-05	2.06E-04	1.72E-04	6.54E-05	2.06E-04	3	75
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.15E-04		8.33E-05	3.71E-04	-	1.90E-04	8.33E-05	3.71E-04	1.15E-04	8.33E-05	3.71E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	1.69E-05		2.81E-05	1.12E-04	-	5.25E-05	1.69E-05	1.12E-04	1.69E-05	2.81E-05	1.12E-04	3	75
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	2.20E-05		5.39E-06	1.22E-05	-	1.32E-05	5.39E-06	2.20E-05	2.20E-05	5.39E-06	1.22E-05	3	75
OCDF	pg/m ³	-	-	2.02E-06		4.12E-07	3.20E-06	-	1.88E-06	4.12E-07	3.20E-06	2.02E-06	4.12E-07	3.20E-06	3	75
Total Toxic Equivalency	pg TEQ/m ³	0.1 1 ^[1]	-	4.55E-03		2.96E-03	4.14E-03	0	3.88E-03	2.96E-03	4.55E-03	4.55E-03	2.96E-03	4.14E-03	3	75

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

[1] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds

 Table B4: Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for PAHs

		Courtice			Rundle	
Sample Date	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume
	No.	(min)	(m ³)	No.	(min)	(m³)
July 2, 2020	L2471865-2	1441	318	L2471865-1	1441	296
July 14, 2020	L2473009-3	1440	303	L2473009-2	1441	298
July 26, 2020		Invalid Sample			Invalid Sample	
August 7, 2020	L2483555-2	1440	316	L2483555-3	1441	306
August 19, 2020		Invalid Sample		L2485239-3	1440	306
August 31, 2020	L2499246-1	1440	306	L2499246-2	1440	322
September 12, 2020	L2496545-3	1440	286	L2496545-2	1440	267
September 24, 2020	L2503479-3	1440	302	L2503479-2	1440	304

Table B5: 2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAHs

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-jul-20	14-Jul-20	26-Jul-20	7-Aug-20	19-Aug-20	31-Aug-20	12-Sep-20	24-Sep-20	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Minimum Q3 Concentration	Maximum Q3 Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	-	4.03E+00	5.64E+00		1.01E+01		3.82E+00	4.51E+00	8.11E+00	0	6.04E+00	3.82E+00	1.01E+01	5.64E+00	1.01E+01	8.11E+00	6	75
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	-	7.08E+00	1.01E+01		1.73E+01		6.76E+00	6.57E+00	1.07E+01	0	9.76E+00	6.57E+00	1.73E+01	1.01E+01	1.73E+01	1.07E+01	6	75
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.79E+00	5.64E+00		1.43E+01		6.27E+00	3.15E+00	3.44E+00	-	6.60E+00	3.15E+00	1.43E+01	6.79E+00	1.43E+01	3.44E+00	6	75
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	-	1.24E-01	2.51E-01		3.48E-01		6.86E-02	3.81E-02	1.44E-01	0	1.62E-01	3.81E-02	3.48E-01	2.51E-01	3.48E-01	1.44E-01	6	75
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	-	3.68E-01	3.16E-01		5.13E-01		2.83E-01	1.50E-01	2.08E-01	0	3.06E-01	1.50E-01	5.13E-01	3.68E-01	5.13E-01	2.08E-01	6	75
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.62E-02	1.79E-02		3.61E-02		8.69E-03	7.27E-03	3.68E-02	-	2.05E-02	7.27E-03	3.68E-02	1.79E-02	3.61E-02	3.68E-02	6	75
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.64E-02	4.26E-02		7.31E-02		2.91E-02	2.73E-02	5.76E-02	-	4.93E-02	2.73E-02	7.31E-02	6.64E-02	7.31E-02	5.76E-02	6	75
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m ³	0.05 ^[1] 5 ^[2] 1.1 ^[3]	1	3.14E-02	1.61E-02		2.08E-02		7.42E-03	6.08E-03	5.50E-02	1	2.28E-02	6.08E-03	5.50E-02	3.14E-02	2.08E-02	5.50E-02	6	75
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.87E-02	2.63E-02		3.80E-02		1.20E-02	1.81E-02	8.91E-02	-	3.70E-02	1.20E-02	8.91E-02	3.87E-02	3.80E-02	8.91E-02	6	75
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.74E-02	2.40E-02		4.15E-02		1.58E-02	1.60E-02	7.12E-02	-	3.43E-02	1.58E-02	7.12E-02	3.74E-02	4.15E-02	7.12E-02	6	75
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.33E-02	1.97E-02	ble	3.35E-02	ble	9.12E-03	2.20E-02	5.56E-02	-	2.89E-02	9.12E-03	5.56E-02	3.33E-02	3.35E-02	5.56E-02	6	75
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.73E-02	2.49E-02	Sam	2.00E-02	Sam	1.17E-02	1.31E-02	5.30E-02	-	2.50E-02	1.17E-02	5.30E-02	2.73E-02	2.00E-02	5.30E-02	6	75
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.34E-02	3.11E-02	bile	5.57E-02	bile	1.05E-02	1.59E-02	7.48E-02	-	3.86E-02	1.05E-02	7.48E-02	4.34E-02	5.57E-02	7.48E-02	6	75
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	2.25E+00	2.33E+00	linvä	4.34E+00	linvä	1.74E+00	2.36E+00	3.34E+00	-	2.73E+00	1.74E+00	4.34E+00	2.33E+00	4.34E+00	3.34E+00	6	75
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	9.75E-02	6.63E-02		9.81E-02		4.05E-02	4.83E-02	1.51E-01	-	8.36E-02	4.05E-02	1.51E-01	9.75E-02	9.81E-02	1.51E-01	6	75
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.14E-04	1.88E-03		2.72E-03		1.63E-03	1.08E-03	8.25E-03	-	2.65E-03	3.14E-04	8.25E-03	1.88E-03	2.72E-03	8.25E-03	6	75
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.62E+00	1.02E+00		1.67E+00		1.20E+00	7.73E-01	3.87E-01	-	1.11E+00	3.87E-01	1.67E+00	1.62E+00	1.67E+00	7.73E-01	6	75
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.34E+00	3.40E+00	_	7.12E+00		3.73E+00	2.41E+00	2.19E+00	-	3.86E+00	2.19E+00	7.12E+00	4.34E+00	7.12E+00	2.41E+00	6	75
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.00E-02	1.76E-02	_	2.85E-02		1.04E-02	1.43E-02	4.70E-02	-	2.46E-02	1.04E-02	4.70E-02	3.00E-02	2.85E-02	4.70E-02	6	75
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	22500	1.31E+01	2.69E+01		5.54E+01		1.77E+01	1.89E+01	5.46E+01	0	3.11E+01	1.31E+01	5.54E+01	2.69E+01	5.54E+01	5.46E+01	6	75
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	1.02E-02	1.06E-02		1.27E-02		1.05E-02	1.32E-02	3.44E-02	-	1.53E-02	1.02E-02	3.44E-02	1.06E-02	1.27E-02	3.44E-02	6	75
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.14E-04	2.05E-03		1.90E-03		3.27E-04	1.19E-03	5.23E-03	-	1.83E-03	3.14E-04	5.23E-03	2.05E-03	1.90E-03	5.23E-03	6	75
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.39E+00	5.35E+00		1.10E+01		6.01E+00	3.88E+00	3.02E+00	-	6.11E+00	3.02E+00	1.10E+01	7.39E+00	1.10E+01	3.88E+00	6	75
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.52E-01	5.28E-01		8.07E-01		5.46E-01	3.64E-01	5.23E-01	-	6.03E-01	3.64E-01	8.52E-01	8.52E-01	8.07E-01	5.23E-01	6	75
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	1.88E+00	2.76E+00		3.20E+00		1.38E+00	2.00E+00	4.17E+00	-	2.56E+00	1.38E+00	4.17E+00	2.76E+00	3.20E+00	4.17E+00	6	75
Total PAH ^[4]	ng/m ³	-	-	5.03E+01	6.45E+01		1.27E+02		4.97E+01	4.53E+01	9.17E+01	-	7.13E+01	4.53E+01	1.27E+02	6.45E+01	1.27E+02	9.17E+01	6	75

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

[1] AAQC

[2] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds

[3] O. Reg. 419/05 24 Hour Guideline

[4] Total PAH sums all PAH contaminants

Table B6: 2020 Rundle Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAHs

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-Jul-20	14-Jul-20	26-Jul-20	7-Aug-20	19-Aug-20	31-Aug-20	12-Sep-20	24-Sep-20	No. > Criteria	Arithmetic Mean	Minimum Q3 Concentration	Maximum Q3 Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	-	8.18E+00	7.38E+00		1.59E+01	1.90E+00	6.49E+00	5.73E+00	1.48E+01	0	8.62E+00	1.90E+00	1.59E+01	8.18E+00	1.59E+01	1.48E+01	7	88
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	-	1.54E+01	1.31E+01		3.10E+01	3.01E+00	1.31E+01	9.74E+00	2.06E+01	0	1.51E+01	3.01E+00	3.10E+01	1.54E+01	3.10E+01	2.06E+01	7	88
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.37E+01	7.55E+00		2.69E+01	9.93E-01	1.15E+01	7.12E+00	8.91E+00	-	1.10E+01	9.93E-01	2.69E+01	1.37E+01	2.69E+01	8.91E+00	7	88
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	-	2.56E-01	1.64E-01		2.92E-01	6.47E-02	8.39E-02	6.67E-02	1.16E-01	0	1.49E-01	6.47E-02	2.92E-01	2.56E-01	2.92E-01	1.16E-01	7	88
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	-	1.33E+00	7.32E-01		2.12E+00	8.40E-02	9.66E-01	3.82E-01	4.01E-01	0	8.60E-01	8.40E-02	2.12E+00	1.33E+00	2.12E+00	4.01E-01	7	88
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.59E-02	1.27E-02		1.91E-02	7.58E-03	1.59E-02	1.24E-02	2.50E-02	-	1.69E-02	7.58E-03	2.59E-02	2.59E-02	1.91E-02	2.50E-02	7	88
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.03E-01	6.48E-02		1.43E-01	2.56E-02	7.67E-02	4.27E-02	5.00E-02	-	8.66E-02	2.56E-02	2.03E-01	2.03E-01	1.43E-01	5.00E-02	7	88
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m ³	0.05 ^[1] 5 ^[2] 1.1 ^[3]	1	2.19E-02	1.16E-02		1.28E-02	9.22E-03	1.07E-02	7.00E-03	6.12E-02	1	1.92E-02	7.00E-03	6.12E-02	2.19E-02	1.28E-02	6.12E-02	7	88
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.09E-02	1.48E-02		3.06E-02	9.67E-02	2.59E-02	2.09E-02	6.81E-02	-	4.11E-02	1.48E-02	9.67E-02	3.09E-02	9.67E-02	6.81E-02	7	88
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.25E-01	3.46E-02		7.09E-02	1.39E-02	3.32E-02	2.38E-02	7.30E-02	-	5.34E-02	1.39E-02	1.25E-01	1.25E-01	7.09E-02	7.30E-02	7	88
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.14E-02	1.06E-02	ble	2.32E-02	1.84E-02	1.34E-02	2.73E-02	3.59E-02	-	2.14E-02	1.06E-02	3.59E-02	2.14E-02	2.32E-02	3.59E-02	7	88
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.01E-02	1.19E-02	San	1.99E-02	7.61E-02	1.42E-02	1.37E-02	4.21E-02	-	2.83E-02	1.19E-02	7.61E-02	2.01E-02	7.61E-02	4.21E-02	7	88
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.85E-02	1.09E-02	bile	2.31E-02	5.69E-02	2.07E-02	2.76E-02	4.67E-02	-	3.21E-02	1.09E-02	5.69E-02	3.85E-02	5.69E-02	4.67E-02	7	88
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	4.09E+00	2.91E+00	2 N	7.45E+00	8.73E-02	3.11E+00	2.54E+00	4.54E+00	-	3.53E+00	8.73E-02	7.45E+00	4.09E+00	7.45E+00	4.54E+00	7	88
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.20E-01	8.46E-02		1.45E-01	4.31E-02	8.70E-02	5.88E-02	1.11E-01	-	1.07E-01	4.31E-02	2.20E-01	2.20E-01	1.45E-01	1.11E-01	7	88
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.38E-04	1.51E-03		2.68E-03	8.50E-04	2.48E-03	1.50E-03	6.74E-03	-	2.30E-03	3.38E-04	6.74E-03	1.51E-03	2.68E-03	6.74E-03	7	88
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.84E+00	2.82E+00		6.18E+00	4.02E-01	3.23E+00	1.50E+00	1.04E+00	-	3.00E+00	4.02E-01	6.18E+00	5.84E+00	6.18E+00	1.50E+00	7	88
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.08E+01	5.70E+00		1.65E+01	8.63E-01	7.20E+00	4.49E+00	4.77E+00	-	7.19E+00	8.63E-01	1.65E+01	1.08E+01	1.65E+01	4.77E+00	7	88
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.04E-02	9.56E-03		2.45E-02	3.00E-02	1.76E-02	1.54E-02	3.21E-02	-	2.14E-02	9.56E-03	3.21E-02	2.04E-02	3.00E-02	3.21E-02	7	88
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	22500	1.35E+01	2.21E+01		5.98E+01	7.52E+00	1.73E+01	2.27E+01	8.39E+01	0	3.24E+01	7.52E+00	8.39E+01	2.21E+01	5.98E+01	8.39E+01	7	88
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	1.09E-02	9.30E-03		1.78E-02	2.10E-02	8.76E-03	1.19E-02	3.98E-02	-	1.71E-02	8.76E-03	3.98E-02	1.09E-02	2.10E-02	3.98E-02	7	88
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.38E-04	8.72E-04		2.61E-03	1.47E-03	1.74E-03	1.46E-03	2.34E-03	-	1.55E-03	3.38E-04	2.61E-03	8.72E-04	2.61E-03	2.34E-03	7	88
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.17E+01	1.11E+01		3.06E+01	1.83E+00	1.38E+01	7.04E+00	7.57E+00	-	1.34E+01	1.83E+00	3.06E+01	2.17E+01	3.06E+01	7.57E+00	7	88
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.74E+00	1.34E+00		2.61E+00	2.14E-01	1.40E+00	6.63E-01	4.77E-01	-	1.35E+00	2.14E-01	2.74E+00	2.74E+00	2.61E+00	6.63E-01	7	88
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	1.63E+00	2.63E+00		2.85E+00	1.12E+00	1.21E+00	1.84E+00	1.29E+01	-	3.46E+00	1.12E+00	1.29E+01	2.63E+00	2.85E+00	1.29E+01	7	88
Total PAH ^[4]	ng/m ³	-	-	9.99E+01	7.79E+01		2.03E+02	1.85E+01	7.97E+01	6.41E+01	1.61E+02	-	1.00E+02	1.85E+01	2.03E+02	9.99E+01	2.03E+02	1.61E+02	7	88

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

[1] AAQC

[2] O. Reg. 419/05 Schedule Upper Risk Thresholds [3] O. Reg. 419/05 24 Hour Guideline [4] Total PAH sums all PAH contaminants

		Courtice			Rundle	
Sample Date	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume	Filter ID	Sample Duration	Sample Volume
	No.	(min)	(m ³)	No.	(min)	(m ³)
July 2, 2020	738889	1441	1549	738888	1441	1511
July 8, 2020	738891	1440	1536	738890	1441	1483
July 14, 2020	738893	1440	1645	738892	1441	1659
July 20, 2020	738895	1440	1653		Invalid Sample	
July 26, 2020	738897	1440	1746	738896	1441	1620
August 1, 2020	738898	1440	1697		Invalid Sample	
August 7, 2020	738900	1440	1700	738899	1441	1662
August 13, 2020	738901	1440	1704	740824	1441	1615
August 19, 2020	740826	1440	1690	740825	1440	1713
August 25, 2020	740828	1440	1679	740827	1440	1654
August 31, 2020	740830	1440	1691	740829	1440	1699
September 6, 2020	740832	1440	1702		Invalid Sample	
September 12, 2020	740833	1440	1696	740834	1440	1722
September 18, 2020	740836	1440	1738	740835	1440	1756
September 24, 2020	740838	1440	1695	740837	1440	1662
September 30, 2020	740840	1440	1676	740839	1441	1692

Table B7: Summary of Sample Flow Rate and Sample Duration for TSP

Table B8: 2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for TSP and Metals

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-Jul-20	8-Jul-20	14-Jul-20	20-Jul-20	26-Jul-20	1-Aug-20	7-Aug-20	13-Aug-20	19-Aug-20	25-Aug-20	31-Aug-20	6-Sep-20	12-Sep-20	18-Sep-20	24-Sep-20	30-Sep-20	MECP Criteria (μg/m³)	No. > Criteria	Geometric Mean	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	% Valid data
Particulate (TSP)	µg/m³	120	120	48.29	69.66	22.13	24.56	37.97	21.57	23.88	36.85	17.10	21.44	11.59	16.75	18.46	36.13	52.51	13.84	120	0	26.07	29.55	11.59	69.66	69.66	36.85	52.51	16	100
Total Mercury (Hg)	µg/m³	2	2	1.03E-05	1.24E-05	1.34E-05	1.09E-05	1.32E-05	1.24E-05	4.00E-05	1.12E-05	2.96E-06	1.37E-05	2.96E-06	2.94E-06	8.84E-06	8.06E-06	1.12E-05	1.31E-05	2	0	9.58E-06	1.17E-05	2.94E-06	4.00E-05	1.34E-05	4.00E-05	1.31E-05	16	100
Aluminum (Al)	µg/m³	4.8	-	2.81E-01	3.55E-01	1.23E-01	1.26E-01	1.44E-01	1.28E-01	1.16E-01	3.62E-01	1.17E-01	1.09E-01	1.01E-01	9.05E-02	1.33E-01	5.00E-01	3.32E-01	7.16E-02	4.8	0	1.62E-01	1.93E-01	7.16E-02	5.00E-01	3.55E-01	3.62E-01	5.00E-01	16	100
Antimony (Sb)	µg/m³	25	25	5.42E-04	1.35E-03	9.18E-04	4.78E-04	8.59E-04	7.13E-04	7.82E-04	8.74E-04	9.29E-04	7.03E-04	5.62E-04	5.82E-04	5.60E-04	4.89E-04	1.44E-03	6.80E-04	25	0	7.38E-04	7.79E-04	4.78E-04	1.44E-03	1.35E-03	9.29E-04	1.44E-03	16	100
Arsenic (As)	µg/m³	0.3	0.3	9.68E-04	9.77E-04	9.12E-04	9.07E-04	8.59E-04	8.84E-04	8.82E-04	8.80E-04	8.88E-04	8.93E-04	8.87E-04	8.81E-04	8.84E-04	8.63E-04	2.36E-03	8.95E-04	0.3	0	9.53E-04	9.89E-04	8.59E-04	2.36E-03	9.77E-04	8.93E-04	2.36E-03	16	100
Barium (Ba)	µg/m³	10	10	1.19E-02	1.55E-02	1.10E-02	6.11E-03	6.64E-03	3.95E-03	8.35E-03	1.29E-02	9.53E-03	6.67E-03	3.73E-03	3.47E-03	3.77E-03	8.23E-03	1.15E-02	7.22E-03	10	0	7.36E-03	8.15E-03	3.47E-03	1.55E-02	1.55E-02	1.29E-02	1.15E-02	16	100
Beryllium (Be)	µg/m³	0.01	0.01	3.23E-05	3.26E-05	3.04E-05	3.02E-05	2.86E-05	2.95E-05	2.94E-05	2.93E-05	2.96E-05	2.98E-05	2.96E-05	2.94E-05	2.95E-05	2.88E-05	2.95E-05	2.98E-05	0.01	0	2.99E-05	2.99E-05	2.86E-05	3.26E-05	3.26E-05	2.98E-05	2.98E-05	16	100
Bismuth (Bi)	µg/m³	-	-	5.81E-04	5.86E-04	5.47E-04	5.44E-04	5.15E-04	5.30E-04	5.29E-04	5.28E-04	5.33E-04	5.36E-04	5.32E-04	5.29E-04	5.31E-04	5.18E-04	5.31E-04	5.37E-04	-	-	5.38E-04	5.38E-04	5.15E-04	5.86E-04	5.86E-04	5.36E-04	5.37E-04	16	100
Boron (B)	µg/m³	120	-	1.29E-02	1.30E-02	1.22E-02	1.21E-02	1.15E-02	1.18E-02	1.18E-02	1.17E-02	1.18E-02	1.19E-02	1.18E-02	1.18E-02	1.18E-02	1.15E-02	1.18E-02	1.19E-02	120	0	1.19E-02	1.20E-02	1.15E-02	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.19E-02	1.19E-02	16	100
Cadmium (Cd)	µg/m³	0.025	0.025	6.46E-04	6.51E-04	6.08E-04	6.05E-04	5.73E-04	5.89E-04	5.88E-04	5.87E-04	5.92E-04	5.96E-04	5.91E-04	5.88E-04	5.90E-04	5.75E-04	5.90E-04	5.97E-04	0.025	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100
Chromium (Cr)	µg/m³	0.5	-	1.61E-03	4.43E-03	1.52E-03	1.51E-03	1.43E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.48E-03	1.49E-03	1.48E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.44E-03	1.47E-03	1.49E-03	0.5	0	1.59E-03	1.67E-03	1.43E-03	4.43E-03	4.43E-03	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	16	100
Cobalt (Co)	µg/m³	0.1	0.1	6.46E-04	6.51E-04	6.08E-04	6.05E-04	5.73E-04	5.89E-04	5.88E-04	5.87E-04	5.92E-04	5.96E-04	5.91E-04	5.88E-04	5.90E-04	5.75E-04	5.90E-04	5.97E-04	0.1	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100
Copper (Cu)	µg/m³	50	-	1.12E-02	3.37E-02	1.59E-02	8.35E-03	9.62E-03	9.61E-03	1.53E-02	1.34E-02	2.27E-02	9.89E-03	1.08E-02	9.87E-03	6.96E-03	6.79E-03	3.06E-02	1.28E-02	50	0	1.26E-02	1.42E-02	6.79E-03	3.37E-02	3.37E-02	2.27E-02	3.06E-02	16	100
Iron (Fe)	µg/m³	4	-	5.81E-01	1.26E+00	4.46E-01	3.43E-01	3.76E-01	4.33E-01	5.24E-01	7.63E-01	3.57E-01	2.79E-01	2.93E-01	2.54E-01	3.18E-01	6.04E-01	6.84E-01	2.90E-01	4	0	4.41E-01	4.88E-01	2.54E-01	1.26E+00	1.26E+00	7.63E-01	6.84E-01	16	100
Lead (Pb)	µg/m³	0.5	0.5	1.94E-03	7.81E-03	2.80E-03	2.12E-03	2.81E-03	2.06E-03	8.82E-04	2.17E-03	8.88E-04	1.91E-03	8.87E-04	1.94E-03	2.12E-03	8.63E-04	4.25E-03	1.97E-03	2	0	1.95E-03	2.34E-03	8.63E-04	7.81E-03	7.81E-03	2.17E-03	4.25E-03	16	100
Magnesium (Mg)	µg/m³	-	-	3.87E-01	8.98E-01	2.25E-01	2.00E-01	2.92E-01	1.53E-01	1.82E-01	3.93E-01	1.54E-01	1.61E-01	1.48E-01	1.41E-01	1.42E-01	3.68E-01	3.83E-01	1.49E-01	-	-	2.33E-01	2.74E-01	1.41E-01	8.98E-01	8.98E-01	3.93E-01	3.83E-01	16	100
Manganese (Mn)	µg/m³	0.4	-	1.90E-02	3.69E-02	1.23E-02	1.09E-02	1.32E-02	7.78E-03	1.12E-02	2.34E-02	8.46E-03	8.40E-03	6.39E-03	6.82E-03	6.13E-03	1.77E-02	2.07E-02	8.65E-03	0.4	0	1.19E-02	1.36E-02	6.13E-03	3.69E-02	3.69E-02	2.34E-02	2.07E-02	16	100
Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/m³	120	-	3.23E-04	1.24E-03	7.29E-04	3.02E-04	2.86E-04	2.95E-04	2.94E-04	6.46E-04	7.10E-04	2.98E-04	2.96E-04	2.94E-04	2.95E-04	2.88E-04	8.26E-04	2.98E-04	120	0	4.06E-04	4.64E-04	2.86E-04	1.24E-03	1.24E-03	7.10E-04	8.26E-04	16	100
Nickel (Ni)	µg/m³	0.2	-	9.68E-04	2.02E-03	9.12E-04	9.07E-04	8.59E-04	8.84E-04	8.82E-04	8.80E-04	8.88E-04	8.93E-04	8.87E-04	8.81E-04	8.84E-04	8.63E-04	8.85E-04	8.95E-04	0.2	0	9.38E-04	9.62E-04	8.59E-04	2.02E-03	2.02E-03	8.93E-04	8.95E-04	16	100
Phosphorus (P)	µg/m³	-	-	2.42E-01	2.44E-01	2.28E-01	2.27E-01	2.15E-01	2.21E-01	2.21E-01	2.20E-01	2.22E-01	2.23E-01	2.22E-01	2.20E-01	2.21E-01	2.16E-01	2.21E-01	2.24E-01	-	-	2.24E-01	2.24E-01	2.15E-01	2.44E-01	2.44E-01	2.23E-01	2.24E-01	16	100
Selenium (Se)	µg/m³	10	10	3.23E-03	3.26E-03	3.04E-03	3.02E-03	2.86E-03	2.95E-03	2.94E-03	2.93E-03	2.96E-03	2.98E-03	2.96E-03	2.94E-03	2.95E-03	2.88E-03	2.95E-03	2.98E-03	10	0	2.99E-03	2.99E-03	2.86E-03	3.26E-03	3.26E-03	2.98E-03	2.98E-03	16	100
Silver (Ag)	µg/m³	1	1	3.23E-04	3.26E-04	3.04E-04	3.02E-04	2.86E-04	2.95E-04	2.94E-04	2.93E-04	2.96E-04	2.98E-04	2.96E-04	2.94E-04	2.95E-04	2.88E-04	2.95E-04	2.98E-04	1	0	2.99E-04	2.99E-04	2.86E-04	3.26E-04	3.26E-04	2.98E-04	2.98E-04	16	100
Strontium (Sr)	µg/m³	120	-	1.38E-02	2.08E-02	5.59E-03	4.36E-03	9.45E-03	4.60E-03	5.47E-03	9.80E-03	5.44E-03	3.81E-03	4.26E-03	4.52E-03	4.19E-03	1.23E-02	9.50E-03	2.51E-03	120	0	6.40E-03	7.52E-03	2.51E-03	2.08E-02	2.08E-02	9.80E-03	1.23E-02	16	100
Thallium (Tl)	µg/m³	-	-	2.91E-05	2.93E-05	2.74E-05	2.72E-05	2.58E-05	2.65E-05	2.65E-05	2.64E-05	2.66E-05	2.68E-05	2.66E-05	2.64E-05	2.65E-05	2.59E-05	2.65E-05	2.68E-05	-	-	2.69E-05	2.69E-05	2.58E-05	2.93E-05	2.93E-05	2.68E-05	2.68E-05	16	100
Tin (Sn)	µg/m³	10	10	3.23E-04	1.43E-03	8.51E-04	6.05E-04	1.03E-03	1.89E-03	8.24E-04	9.98E-04	6.51E-04	6.55E-04	2.96E-04	7.64E-04	5.90E-04	2.88E-04	1.59E-03	8.35E-04	10	0	7.41E-04	8.51E-04	2.88E-04	1.89E-03	1.43E-03	1.89E-03	1.59E-03	16	100
Titanium (Ti)	µg/m³	120	-	1.42E-02	1.95E-02	7.29E-03	3.33E-03	6.87E-03	7.66E-03	6.47E-03	1.82E-02	7.10E-03	3.28E-03	3.25E-03	3.23E-03	7.08E-03	2.07E-02	1.47E-02	6.56E-03	120	0	7.67E-03	9.34E-03	3.23E-03	2.07E-02	1.95E-02	1.82E-02	2.07E-02	16	100
Uranium (Ur)	µg/m³	1.5	-	3.23E-05	3.26E-05	3.04E-05	3.02E-05	2.86E-05	2.95E-05	2.94E-05	2.93E-05	2.96E-05	2.98E-05	2.96E-05	2.94E-05	2.95E-05	2.88E-05	2.95E-05	2.98E-05	1.5	0	2.99E-05	2.99E-05	2.86E-05	3.26E-05	3.26E-05	2.98E-05	2.98E-05	16	100
Vanadium (V)	µg/m ³	2	1	1.61E-03	1.63E-03	1.52E-03	1.51E-03	1.43E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.48E-03	1.49E-03	1.48E-03	1.47E-03	1.47E-03	1.44E-03	1.47E-03	1.49E-03	2	0	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	1.43E-03	1.63E-03	1.63E-03	1.49E-03	1.49E-03	16	100
Zinc (Zn)	µg/m³	120	-	2.81E-02	6.36E-02	3.23E-02	4.30E-02	3.33E-02	2.81E-02	2.91E-02	3.43E-02	2.00E-02	5.87E-02	2.58E-02	3.60E-02	2.03E-02	1.44E-02	3.59E-02	3.31E-02	120	0	3.14E-02	3.35E-02	1.44E-02	6.36E-02	6.36E-02	5.87E-02	3.60E-02	16	100
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/m³	20	-	6.46E-04	6.51E-04	6.08E-04	6.05E-04	5.73E-04	5.89E-04	5.88E-04	5.87E-04	5.92E-04	5.96E-04	5.91E-04	5.88E-04	5.90E-04	5.75E-04	5.90E-04	5.97E-04	20	0	5.97E-04	5.98E-04	5.73E-04	6.51E-04	6.51E-04	5.96E-04	5.97E-04	16	100

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit

Table B9: 2020 Rundle Station Q3 Monitoring Results for TSP and Metals

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	2-Jul-20	8-Jul-20	14-Jul-20	20-Jul-20	26-Jul-20	1-Aug-20	7-Aug-20	13-Aug-20	19-Aug-20	25-Aug-20	31-Aug-20	6-Sep-20	12-Sep-20	18-Sep-20	24-Sep-20	30-Sep-20	MECP Criteria (μg/m³)	No. > Criteria	Geometric Mean	Arithmetic Mean	Q3 Minimum Concentration	Q3 Maximum Concentration	July Maximum Concentration	August Maximum Concentration	September Maximum Concentration	Number of Valid Samples	f % Valid data
Particulate (TSP)	µg/m³	120	120	33.62	41.74	22.36		30.12		19.98	33.93	13.72	33.49	15.54		15.45	16.12	43.86	18.85	120	0	24.1	26.1	13.7	43.9	41.7	33.9	43.9	13	81
Mercury (Hg)	µg/m³	2	2	9.27E-06	1.96E-05	1.39E-05		1.30E-05		3.13E-05	7.43E-06	2.92E-06	3.02E-06	2.94E-06		2.90E-06	2.85E-06	7.22E-06	7.68E-06	2	0	6.96E-06	9.53E-06	2.85E-06	3.13E-05	1.96E-05	3.13E-05	7.68E-06	13	81
Aluminum (Al)	µg/m³	4.8	-	2.29E-01	2.85E-01	1.57E-01		1.49E-01		1.41E-01	2.67E-01	8.17E-02	2.45E-01	1.24E-01		9.00E-02	1.31E-01	3.01E-01	8.22E-02	4.8	0	1.59E-01	1.76E-01	8.17E-02	3.01E-01	2.85E-01	2.67E-01	3.01E-01	13	81
Antimony (Sb)	µg/m³	25	25	5.10E-04	1.33E-03	7.11E-04		7.84E-04		3.79E-04	5.57E-04	2.45E-04	6.05E-04	4.24E-04		4.94E-04	2.51E-04	1.03E-03	6.26E-04	25	0	5.48E-04	6.11E-04	2.45E-04	1.33E-03	1.33E-03	6.05E-04	1.03E-03	13	81
Arsenic (As)	µg/m³	0.3	0.3	9.93E-04	1.01E-03	9.04E-04		9.26E-04		9.03E-04	9.29E-04	8.76E-04	9.07E-04	8.83E-04		8.71E-04	2.79E-03	9.03E-04	8.87E-04	0.3	0	9.97E-04	1.06E-03	8.71E-04	2.79E-03	1.01E-03	9.29E-04	2.79E-03	13	81
Barium (Ba)	µg/m³	10	10	1.09E-02	1.97E-02	9.16E-03		6.17E-03		5.17E-03	1.00E-02	3.97E-03	8.52E-03	4.18E-03		3.66E-03	3.25E-03	9.51E-03	7.21E-03	10	0	6.84E-03	7.80E-03	3.25E-03	1.97E-02	1.97E-02	1.00E-02	9.51E-03	13	81
Beryllium (Be)	µg/m³	0.01	0.01	3.31E-05	3.37E-05	3.01E-05		3.09E-05		3.01E-05	3.10E-05	2.92E-05	3.02E-05	2.94E-05		2.90E-05	2.85E-05	3.01E-05	2.96E-05	0.01	0	3.03E-05	3.04E-05	2.85E-05	3.37E-05	3.37E-05	3.10E-05	3.01E-05	13	81
Bismuth (Bi)	µg/m³	-	-	5.96E-04	6.07E-04	5.42E-04		5.56E-04		5.42E-04	5.57E-04	5.25E-04	5.44E-04	5.30E-04		5.23E-04	5.13E-04	5.42E-04	5.32E-04	· ·	-	5.46E-04	5.47E-04	5.13E-04	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.57E-04	5.42E-04	13	81
Boron (B)	µg/m³	120	-	1.32E-02	1.35E-02	1.21E-02		1.23E-02		1.20E-02	1.24E-02	1.17E-02	1.21E-02	1.18E-02		1.16E-02	1.14E-02	1.20E-02	1.18E-02	120	0	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	1.14E-02	1.35E-02	1.35E-02	1.24E-02	1.20E-02	13	81
Cadmium (Cd)	µg/m³	0.025	0.025	6.62E-04	6.74E-04	6.03E-04		6.17E-04		6.02E-04	6.19E-04	5.84E-04	6.05E-04	5.89E-04		5.81E-04	5.69E-04	6.02E-04	5.91E-04	0.025	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81
Chromium (Cr)	µg/m³	0.5	-	1.65E-03	3.98E-03	1.51E-03		1.54E-03		1.50E-03	1.55E-03	1.46E-03	1.51E-03	1.47E-03		1.45E-03	1.42E-03	1.50E-03	1.48E-03	0.5	0	1.62E-03	1.69E-03	1.42E-03	3.98E-03	3.98E-03	1.55E-03	1.50E-03	13	81
Cobalt (Co)	µg/m³	0.1	0.1	6.62E-04	6.74E-04	6.03E-04		6.17E-04		6.02E-04	6.19E-04	5.84E-04	6.05E-04	5.89E-04		5.81E-04	5.69E-04	6.02E-04	5.91E-04	0.1	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81
Copper (Cu)	µg/m³	50	-	1.98E-02	5.74E-02	3.88E-02		4.14E-02		5.72E-02	4.79E-02	4.76E-02	2.99E-02	1.95E-02		1.48E-02	2.35E-02	4.04E-02	3.51E-02	50	0	3.36E-02	3.64E-02	1.48E-02	5.74E-02	5.74E-02	5.72E-02	4.04E-02	13	81
Iron (Fe)	µg/m³	4	-	4.41E-01	8.83E-01	3.72E-01	ple	3.56E-01	d d	3.06E-01	7.06E-01	1.66E-01	4.27E-01	4.06E-01	ple	3.03E-01	1.82E-01	4.96E-01	3.65E-01	4	0	3.78E-01	4.16E-01	1.66E-01	8.83E-01	8.83E-01	7.06E-01	4.96E-01	13	81
Lead (Pb)	µg/m³	0.5	0.5	9.93E-04	5.93E-03	3.01E-03	San	2.65E-03	San	2.05E-03	3.03E-03	8.76E-04	9.07E-04	8.83E-04	San	8.71E-04	1.82E-03	3.13E-03	2.72E-03	2	0	1.84E-03	2.22E-03	8.71E-04	5.93E-03	5.93E-03	3.03E-03	3.13E-03	13	81
Magnesium (Mg)	µg/m³	-	-	2.78E-01	4.72E-01	2.17E-01	alid	2.47E-01	bile	1.32E-01	3.10E-01	1.11E-01	2.84E-01	1.29E-01	alid	9.87E-02	1.25E-01	3.07E-01	1.71E-01	-	-	1.99E-01	2.22E-01	9.87E-02	4.72E-01	4.72E-01	3.10E-01	3.07E-01	13	81
Manganese (Mn)	µg/m³	0.4	-	1.47E-02	2.62E-02	1.17E-02	l sõ	1.01E-02	l N	7.04E-03	1.95E-02	5.66E-03	1.38E-02	5.94E-03	2 L	5.46E-03	6.66E-03	1.87E-02	1.21E-02	0.4	0	1.07E-02	1.21E-02	5.46E-03	2.62E-02	2.62E-02	1.95E-02	1.87E-02	13	81
Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/m³	120	-	8.60E-04	2.90E-03	1.57E-03		1.67E-03		1.93E-03	1.80E-03	1.52E-03	1.03E-03	7.06E-04		2.90E-04	9.11E-04	1.44E-03	1.30E-03	120	0	1.21E-03	1.38E-03	2.90E-04	2.90E-03	2.90E-03	1.93E-03	1.44E-03	13	81
Nickel (Ni)	µg/m³	0.2	-	9.93E-04	1.01E-03	9.04E-04		9.26E-04		9.03E-04	9.29E-04	8.76E-04	9.07E-04	8.83E-04		8.71E-04	8.54E-04	9.03E-04	8.87E-04	0.2	0	9.10E-04	9.11E-04	8.54E-04	1.01E-03	1.01E-03	9.29E-04	9.03E-04	13	81
Phosphorus (P)	µg/m³	-	-	2.48E-01	2.53E-01	2.26E-01	1	2.31E-01		2.26E-01	2.32E-01	2.19E-01	2.27E-01	2.21E-01		2.18E-01	2.14E-01	2.26E-01	2.22E-01		-	2.28E-01	2.28E-01	2.14E-01	2.53E-01	2.53E-01	2.32E-01	2.26E-01	13	81
Selenium (Se)	µg/m³	10	10	3.31E-03	3.37E-03	3.01E-03		3.09E-03		3.01E-03	3.10E-03	2.92E-03	3.02E-03	2.94E-03		2.90E-03	2.85E-03	3.01E-03	2.96E-03	10	0	3.03E-03	3.04E-03	2.85E-03	3.37E-03	3.37E-03	3.10E-03	3.01E-03	13	81
Silver (Ag)	µg/m³	1	1	3.31E-04	3.37E-04	3.01E-04	1	3.09E-04		3.01E-04	3.10E-04	2.92E-04	3.02E-04	2.94E-04		2.90E-04	2.85E-04	3.01E-04	2.96E-04	1	0	3.03E-04	3.04E-04	2.85E-04	3.37E-04	3.37E-04	3.10E-04	3.01E-04	13	81
Strontium (Sr)	µg/m³	120	-	6.29E-03	1.21E-02	5.00E-03]	3.46E-03		3.55E-03	8.11E-03	3.27E-03	7.44E-03	3.88E-03		2.56E-03	3.59E-03	6.14E-03	3.37E-03	120	0	4.78E-03	5.29E-03	2.56E-03	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	8.11E-03	6.14E-03	13	81
Thallium (Tl)	µg/m³	-	-	2.98E-05	3.03E-05	2.71E-05	1	2.78E-05		2.71E-05	2.79E-05	2.63E-05	2.72E-05	2.65E-05		2.61E-05	2.56E-05	2.71E-05	2.66E-05		-	2.73E-05	2.73E-05	2.56E-05	3.03E-05	3.03E-05	2.79E-05	2.71E-05	13	81
Tin (Sn)	µg/m³	10	10	3.31E-04	1.55E-03	2.89E-03		1.36E-03	1	3.01E-04	6.81E-04	2.92E-04	6.65E-04	2.94E-04		2.90E-04	2.85E-04	1.38E-03	1.06E-03	10	0	6.38E-04	8.76E-04	2.85E-04	2.89E-03	2.89E-03	6.81E-04	1.38E-03	13	81
Titanium (Ti)	µg/m³	120	-	1.32E-02	1.62E-02	8.44E-03		6.79E-03	1	6.62E-03	1.42E-02	3.21E-03	1.27E-02	7.06E-03		3.19E-03	6.83E-03	1.38E-02	3.25E-03	120	0	7.69E-03	8.89E-03	3.19E-03	1.62E-02	1.62E-02	1.42E-02	1.38E-02	13	81
Uranium (Ur)	µg/m³	1.5	-	3.31E-05	3.37E-05	3.01E-05	1	3.09E-05		3.01E-05	3.10E-05	2.92E-05	3.02E-05	2.94E-05		2.90E-05	2.85E-05	3.01E-05	2.96E-05	1.5	0	3.03E-05	3.04E-05	2.85E-05	3.37E-05	3.37E-05	3.10E-05	3.01E-05	13	81
Vanadium (V)	µg/m³	2	1	1.65E-03	1.69E-03	1.51E-03		1.54E-03		1.50E-03	1.55E-03	1.46E-03	1.51E-03	1.47E-03		1.45E-03	1.42E-03	1.50E-03	1.48E-03	2	0	1.52E-03	1.52E-03	1.42E-03	1.69E-03	1.69E-03	1.55E-03	1.50E-03	13	81
Zinc (Zn)	µg/m³	120	-	1.47E-02	4.89E-02	2.80E-02	1	2.07E-02	1	1.05E-01	3.60E-02	8.58E-03	3.40E-02	2.60E-02		1.88E-02	1.38E-02	2.98E-02	5.77E-02	120	0	2.76E-02	3.40E-02	8.58E-03	1.05E-01	4.89E-02	1.05E-01	5.77E-02	13	81
Zirconium (Zr)	µg/m³	20	-	6.62E-04	6.74E-04	6.03E-04		6.17E-04		6.02E-04	6.19E-04	5.84E-04	6.05E-04	5.89E-04		5.81E-04	5.69E-04	6.02E-04	5.91E-04	20	0	6.07E-04	6.07E-04	5.69E-04	6.74E-04	6.74E-04	6.19E-04	6.02E-04	13	81

NOTE: All non-detectable results were reported as 1/2 of the detection limit
























Table D1: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for PM2.5 at Courtice Station

Emitter's N	mitter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre											
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4	107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	mber: 45201		Station Name: Cour	Station Name: Courtice Station								
Station Ad	dress: 100 Osbourne	Road	Emitter Address: Th	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON								
Pollutants or Parameter: PM _{2.5} Instrument Make & Mode				: Thermo Scientific Mo	odel 5030 SF	HARP Monitor		s/n: E-1563				
Data Edit P	eriod	Start Date: July 1, 2020		End Date: September 30, 2020				All testing done in EST				
				Starting		Ending						
Edit #		Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason				
(dd/mm/yyyy)				(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)					
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	09:00	09/07/2020	12:00	Monthly Calibration				
2	05/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	05/08/2020	12:00	05/08/2020	15:00	Monthly Calibration				
3	03/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	03/09/2020	13:00	03/09/2020	15:00	Monthly Calibration				

Table D2: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for PM2.5 at Rundle Road Station

Emitter's N	itter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre											
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4	107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	mber: 45200			Station Name: Rundle Road Station								
Station Ad	dress: Rundle Road			Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON								
Pollutants or Parameter: PM _{2.5} Instrument Make & Mode			l: Thermo Scientific Mo	odel 5030 SH	HARP Monitor		s/n: E-1569					
Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1, 2020			End Date: Septembe	r 30, 2020			All testing done in EST					
				Starting	Ending		<u>.</u>					
Edit #		Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason				
	(aa/mm/yyyy)			(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)					
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	14:00	09/07/2020	16:00	Monthly Calibration				
2	18/08/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/07/2020	00:00	31/07/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				
3	06/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	06/08/2020	19:00	06/08/2020	20:00	Monthly Calibration				
4	21/09/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/08/2020 00:00 31/08/2020 23		23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0					
5	02/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	02/09/2020	14:00	02/09/2020	16:00	Monthly Calibration				
6	19/10/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/09/2020	00:00	30/09/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				

Table D3: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for NOx at Courtice Station

Emitter's N	mitter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre											
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4	107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	i mber: 45201		Station Name: Cour	Station Name: Courtice Station								
Station Address: 100 Osbourne Road				Emitter Address: Th	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON							
Pollutants	or Parameter: NOx		Instrument Make & Model	: Teledyne Nitrogen O	xide Analyz	er Model T200		s/n: 675				
Data Edit F	Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1, 2020			End Date: Septembe	r 30, 2020			All testing done in EST				
	Edit date			Starting		Ending						
Edit #	(dd/mm/yyyy)	Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date (dd/mm/vvvv)	Date Hour Date (dd/mm/yyyy) (xx:xx) (dd/mm/yyyy) (;	Hour (xx:xx)	Reason					
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	09:00	09/07/2020	11:00	Monthly Calibration				
2	18/08/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/07/2020	00:00	31/07/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				
3	05/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	05/08/2020	11:00	05/08/2020	17:00	Monthly Calibration, Maintenance and GPT				
4	06/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	06/08/2020	14:00	06/08/2020	16:00	Calibration Check after Maintenance				
5	21/09/2020	VML	Zero offset adjustment	05/08/2020	17:00	06/08/2020	14:00	Correcting zero offset based on takeout calibration				
								Calibration check after remote observation of a reduction in ozone flow and				
6	27/08/2020	CDC	Deleted Hours	27/08/2020	11.00	27/08/2020	16:00	drifting overnight span. The unit was performing within specification,				
0	2770872020	5/13			10.00	however it was removed and replaced with a spare unit to further						
								troubleshoot the reduction in ozone flow.				
7	21/09/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/08/2020 00:00 31/08/2020			23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				
8	03/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	03/09/2020	11:00	03/09/2020	13:00	Monthly Calibration				
9	19/10/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/09/2020	00:00	30/09/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				

Table D4: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for NOx at Rundle Road Station

Emitter's N	Jame: Durham York E	nergy Centre										
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext	4107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	mber: 45200		Station Name: Run	tation Name: Rundle Road Station								
Station Ad	dress: Rundle Road		Emitter Address: T	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON								
Pollutants	Pollutants or Parameter: NOx Instrument Make & Moo				Dxide Analyz	er Model T200		s/n: 676				
Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1, 2020)	End Date: September 30, 2020				All testing done in EST					
Edit #	Edit date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Editor's Name	Edit Action	Starting Date (dd/mm/vvvv)	Hour (xx:xx)	Ending Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Hour (xx:xx)	Reason				
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	15:00	09/07/2020	17:00	Monthly Calibration				
2	18/08/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/07/2020	00:00	31/07/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				
3	06/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	06/08/2020	17:00	06/08/2020	19:00	Monthly Calibration and GPT				
4	27/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	27/08/2020	11:00	27/08/2020	16:00	Maintenance: Rebuilt pump was reinstalled, and a calibration was performed after				
5	21/09/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/08/2020 00:00 31/08/2020 23		23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0					
6	02/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	02/09/2020	12:00	02/09/2020	15:00	Monthly Calibration				
7	19/10/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/09/2020	00:00	30/09/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				

Table D5: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for SO2 at Courtice Station

Emitter's N	hitter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre											
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4	107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	mber: 45201		Station Name: Cour	Station Name: Courtice Station								
Station Ad	dress: 100 Osbourne	Road	Emitter Address: Th	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON								
Pollutants or Parameter: SO ₂ Instrument Make & Mod				: Teledyne Sulfur Dio>	kide Analyze	r Model T100		s/n: 565				
Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1, 2020			End Date: Septembe	r 30, 2020			All testing done in EST					
	Edit Data	Editor's Name	Edit Action	Starting		Ending						
Edit #	(dd/mm/aaaa)			Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason				
	(uu/iiii/yyyy)			(dd/mm/yyyy)	(XX:XX)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(XX:XX)					
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	08:00	09/07/2020	12:00	Monthly Calibration				
2	18/08/2020	VML	Zero offset adjustment	01/07/2020	00:00	09/07/2020	08:00	Correcting zero drift based on takeout calibration				
3	18/08/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/07/2020	00:00	31/07/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				
4	05/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	05/08/2020	13:00	05/08/2020	16:00	Monthly Calibration				
5	21/09/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/08/2020 00:00 31/08/2020 2		23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0					
6	03/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	03/09/2020	12:00	03/09/2020	15:00	Monthly Calibration				
7	19/10/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/09/2020	01/09/2020 00:00 30/09/2020 23:00		23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0				

Table D6: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for SO2 at Rundle Road Station

Emitter's N	itter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre										
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4	107	7 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca						
Station Nu	mber: 45200		Station Name: Rund	tation Name: Rundle Road Station							
Station Ad	dress: Rundle Road		Emitter Address: Th	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON							
Pollutants or Parameter: SO ₂ Instrument Make & Mode			l: Teledyne Sulfur Dio×	kide Analyze	r Model T100		s/n: 566				
Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1, 2020		End Date: Septembe	r 30, 2020			All testing done in EST					
				Starting	Ending		·				
Edit #		Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason			
	(dd/mm/yyyy)			(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)				
1	09/07/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	09/07/2020	14:00	09/07/2020	16:00	Monthly Calibration			
2	18/08/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/07/2020	00:00	31/07/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0			
3	06/08/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	06/08/2020	19:00	06/08/2020	20:00	Monthly Calibration			
4	21/09/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/08/2020	00:00	D:00 31/08/2020 23:00 Correcting values <0 to 0		Correcting values <0 to 0			
5	02/09/2020	SRS	Deleted Hours	02/09/2020	02/09/2020 12:00 02/09/2020 19:0		19:00	Monthly Calibration and Annual Maintenance			
6	19/10/2020	VML	Zero correction	01/09/2020	00:00	30/09/2020	23:00	Correcting values <0 to 0			

Table D7: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for Meteorological Parameters at Courtice Road Station

Emitter's N	mitter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre										
Contact	ntact Name: Ms. Lyndsay Waller Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4			107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca						
Station Nu	mber: 45201		Station Name: Courtice Station								
Station Address: 100 Osbourne Road				Emitter Address: Th	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON						
Pollutants or Parameter: WS, WD, Ambient T, P, RH and Instrument Make & Mode Rain			Instrument Make & Model:	Miscellaneous Meterological Instrumentation s/n: N/A							
Data Edit P	eriod	Start Date: July 1, 2020		End Date: September 30, 2020				All testing done in EST			
Editedate				Starting Ending							
Edit #	Edit # (dd/mm/unnu) Editor's Name		Edit Action	Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason			
	(uu/iiiii/yyyy)			(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(xx:xx)				
1	21/09/2020	VML	Deleted Hours	20/08/2020	08:00	20/08/2020	11:00	Annual Calibration			

Table D8: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for Meteorological Parameters at Rundle Road Station

Emitter's N	itter's Name: Durham York Energy Centre									
Contact	Name: Ms. Lyndsay V	Waller	Phone: (905) 404-0888 ext 4 ⁻	107	07 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca					
Station Nu	mber: 45200			Station Name: Rundle Station						
Station Address: Rundle Road				Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON						
Pollutants or Parameter: WS, WD, Ambient T, P, RH and Rain			Instrument Make & Model:	Miscellaneous Metero	Aiscellaneous Meterological Instrumentation s/n: N/A					
Data Edit P	eriod	Start Date: July 1, 2020		End Date: September	r 30, 2020			All testing done in EST		
	Edit date			Starting		Ending				
Edit # (dd/mm/yyyy) Editor's Name		Edit Action	Date (dd/mm/www)	Hour (xx:xx)	Date (dd/mm/www)	Hour	Reason			
				(uu/iiii/yyyy)	(~~~)	(du/min/yyyy)	(XX.XX)	Removal of old tower and installation/calibration of meterological equipment		
1	21/09/2020	VML	Deleted Hours	20/08/2020	10:00	20/08/2020	13:00	on the new tower		

Table D9: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for Discrete Sampling at Courtice Station

Emitter's l	mitter's Name: Durham York Energy Center										
Contact	Name: Ms. Lynds	ay Waller	Phone: (905) 404-08	8 ext 4107 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca							
Station Nu	u mber: 45201		Station Name: Courtice Station								
Station Ad	ldress: 100 Osbouri	ne Road	Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON								
Pollutants or Parameter: N/A		Instrument Make &	Model: N/A		s/n:						
Data Edit I	Period	Start Date: July 1,	2020	End Date: Septembe	er 30, 2020			All testing done in EST			
	Edit date			Starting		Ending					
Edit #	(dd/mm/yyyy)	Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Hour (xx:xx)	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Hour (xx:xx)	Reason			
1	18/08/2020	VML	Invalidated Sample (PS1)	26/07/2020	00:00	26/07/2020	23:59	Invalid PS1 Sample on July 26: Media caused excessive flow restriction			
2	21/09/2020	VML	Invalidated Sample (PS1)	19/08/2020	00:00	19/08/2020	23:59	Invalid PS1 Sample on Aug. 19: Media caused excessive flow restriction			

Table D10: 3rd Quarter Edit Log for Discrete Sampling at Rundle Station

Emitter's	Name: Durham Yo	ork Energy Center								
Contact	Name: Ms. Lynds	ay Waller	Phone: (905) 404-08	88 ext 4107 Email: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca						
Station N	umber: 45200		Station Name: Rundle Station							
Station A	ddress: Rundle Rd		Emitter Address: The Region of Durham, 605 Rossland Road, Whitby, ON							
Pollutant	s or Parameter: N	I/A	Instrument Make 8	Model: N/A				s/n:		
Data Edit Period Start Date: July 1		Start Date: July 1, 2	020	End Date: Septem	ber 30, 202	20		All testing done in EST		
	Edit date			Starting	ş	Ending				
Edit #	(dd/mm/yyyy)	Editor's Name	Edit Action	Date	Hour	Date	Hour	Reason		
				(dd/mm/yyyy)	(XX:XX)	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(XX:XX)			
1	18/08/2020	VML	Invalidated Sample	20/07/2020	00:00	20/07/2020	23:59	Invalid TSP Sample on July 20:		
· .	10/00/2020	2	(TSP)	20/07/2020		20/07/2020	20.05	Bird damaged the filter		
			Invalidated Sample					Invalid PS1 Sample on July 26:		
2	18/08/2020	VML	(DC1)	26/07/2020	00:00	26/07/2020	23:59	Media caused excessive flow		
			(PST)					restriction		
2	21/00/2020	VAL	Invalidated Sample	01/08/2020	00:00	01/09/2020	22.50	Invalid TSP Sample on August 1:		
5	21/09/2020	VIVIL	(TSP)	01/08/2020	00.00	01/08/2020	25.59	Bird damaged the filter		
4	10/10/2020	VIMI	Invalidated Sample	06/00/2020	00:00	06/00/2020	22.50	Invalid TSP Sample on Sept. 6:		
4	19/10/2020	VIVIL	(TSP)	00/09/2020	00.00	00/09/2020	25.59	Excessive volume sampled		









Table E1. SO₂ Courtice Monitoring Station 10-min Running Average Exceedance Period on August 15, 2020

Date & Time	Wind Direction	SO ₂ 5-min Avg.	SO ₂ 10-min Running Avg.	
EST	•	ppb	ppb	
15/08/2020 09:15	98.22	52.828	62.223]
15/08/2020 09:20	01.4	54.49	53.659]
15/08/2020 09:25	51.4	64.883	59.686]
15/08/2020 09:30	101 76	70.336	<u>67.61</u>	
15/08/2020 09:35	101.70	55.01	<u>62.673</u>	l L
15/08/2020 09:40	OF 44	46.932	50.971]
15/08/2020 09:45	95.44	50.913	48.923]
15/08/2020 09:50	05.01	34.911	42.912]
15/08/2020 09:55	55.91	12.772	23.842]
15/08/2020 10:00	92.19	10.547	11.66]

D, T & V
<u>Max</u>
Min
Faded Values
}
#

Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted)

Maximum of the Range

Minimum of the Range

These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value Range of running average values during exceedance period Exceedance number

Table E2. SO₂ Courtice Monitoring Station 10-min Running Average Exceedance Period on August 25, 2020

Date & Time	Wind Direction	SO ₂ 5-min Avg.	SO ₂ 10-min Running Avg.	
EST	0	ppb	ppb	
25/08/2020 23:25	181.84	1.516	1.787	
25/08/2020 23:30	176 74	0.956	1.236	
25/08/2020 23:35	170.74	17.434	9.195	
25/08/2020 23:40	166.97	167.617	<u>92.526</u>	ר ו
25/08/2020 23:45	100.07	51.863	<u>109.74</u>	ו רן
25/08/2020 23:50	159.62	8.271	30.067	
25/08/2020 23:55	139.02	4.267	6.269	
26/08/2020 00:00	195.24	2.624	3.446	
26/08/2020 00:05	185.24	2.012	2.318	
26/08/2020 00:10	189.53	1.731	1.872	

D, T & V
<u>Max</u>
<u>Min</u>
Faded Values
}
#

Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted)

Maximum of the Range

Minimum of the Range

These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value Range of running average values during exceedance period Exceedance number

Date & Time	Wind Direction	SO ₂ 5-min Avg.	SO ₂ 10-min Running Avg.
EST	o	ppb	ppb
25/09/2020 12:35	128.04	43.509	39.717
25/09/2020 12:40	126.41	42.485	42.997
25/09/2020 12:45	121.86	66.08	54.283
25/09/2020 12:50	114.79	69.609	<u>67.845</u>
25/09/2020 12:55	126.56	47.978	<u>58.793</u>
25/09/2020 13:00	129.3	40.145	44.062
25/09/2020 13:05	154.16	29.568	34.857
25/09/2020 13:10	165.53	17.376	23.472
25/09/2020 13:15	156.93	15.59	16.483
25/09/2020 13:20	164.01	10.188	12.889

Table E3. SO₂ Rundle Monitoring Station 10-min Running Average Exceedance Period on September 25, 2020

D, T & V
<u>Max</u>
Min
Faded Values
}
#

Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted) Maximum of the Range Minimum of the Range These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value Range of running average values during exceedance period

Exceedance number





Table E4. SO_2 Courtice Monitoring Station 1-Hour Running Average Exceedance Periods on August 15, 2020

		SO ₂ 5-min	SO ₂ 1-hr	
Date & Time	Wind Direction	Avg.	Running Avg.	
EST	٥	ppb	ppb	
15/08/2020 08:15	115.64	20.756	4.213	
15/08/2020 08:20	120.10	18.64	5.704	
15/08/2020 08:25	120.16	17.07	7.081	
15/08/2020 08:30	120.74	26.327	9.227	
15/08/2020 08:35	120.74	30.694	11.745	
15/08/2020 08:40	112.24	44.253	15.397	
15/08/2020 08:45	115.54	55.944	20.022	
15/08/2020 08:50	1177	43.625	23.606	
15/08/2020 08:55	117.7	44.664	27.254	
15/08/2020 09:00	98.96	57.078	31.929	
15/08/2020 09:05	98.90	33.068	34.494	
15/08/2020 09:10	98.22	71.618	38.645	
15/08/2020 09:15	50.22	52.828	41.317	
15/08/2020 09:20	Q1 /	54.49	44.305	
15/08/2020 09:25	51.4	64.883	48.289	
15/08/2020 09:30	101 76	70.336	51.957	
15/08/2020 09:35	101.70	55.01	53.983	
15/08/2020 09:40	95 44	46.932	<u>54.206</u>	1
15/08/2020 09:45	95.44	50.913	53.787	1
15/08/2020 09:50	05 01	34.911	53.061	
15/08/2020 09:55	55.91	12.772	50.403	
15/08/2020 10:00	92.19	10.547	46.526	
15/08/2020 10:05	92.19	9.687	44.577	
15/08/2020 10:10	06.46	6.053	<u>39.114</u>	
15/08/2020 10:15	90.40	9.142	35.473	
15/08/2020 10:20	102.1	6.986	31.514	
15/08/2020 10:25	102.1	3.617	26.409	
15/08/2020 10:30	0/1 1	3.709	20.857	
15/08/2020 10:35	54.1	2.659	16.494	

D, T & V	Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted)
<u>Max</u>	Maximum of the Range
<u>Min</u>	Minimum of the Range
Faded Values	These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances
	Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value reported
}	Range of running average values during exceedance period
#	Exceedance number

Table E5. SO_2 Courtice Monitoring Station 1-Hour Running Average Exceedance Periods on August 30, 2020

Date & Time	Wind Direction	SO ₂ 5-min	SO ₂ 1-hr	
		Avg.	Running Avg.	
EST	۰	ppb	ppb	
30/08/2020 19:15	259.51	1.36	0.664	
30/08/2020 19:20	227 25	48.528	4.655	
30/08/2020 19:25	227.35	28.774	7.004	
30/08/2020 19:30	196.25	51.248	11.228	
30/08/2020 19:35	160.25	53.613	15.646	
30/08/2020 19:40	171.06	60.105	20.588	
30/08/2020 19:45	171.00	61.183	25.635	
30/08/2020 19:50	164.97	15.966	26.918	
30/08/2020 19:55	104.87	9.74	27.688	
30/08/2020 20:00	171.00	53.658	32.116	
30/08/2020 20:05	171.99	55.84	36.721	
30/08/2020 20:10	164.91	37.672	39.807	
30/08/2020 20:15	104.91	22.865	<u>41.599</u>	
30/08/2020 20:20	150 22	7.722	38.199	
30/08/2020 20:25	130.25	3.583	36.1	
30/08/2020 20:30	169.92	2.934	32.073	
30/08/2020 20:35	100.05	3.161	27.869	
30/08/2020 20:40	170 55	7.234	23.463	_
30/08/2020 20:45	170.55	15.103	19.623	2
30/08/2020 20:50	164 50	5.938	18.788	
30/08/2020 20:55	104.59	3.618	18.277	
30/08/2020 21:00	171.09	2.766	14.036	
30/08/2020 21:05	171.00	1.881	9.54	
30/08/2020 21:10	170 22	2.8	<u>6.634</u>	
30/08/2020 21:15	170.55	5.754	5.208	
30/08/2020 21:20	172.2	37.024	7.65	
30/08/2020 21:25	172.5	31.844	10.005	
30/08/2020 21:30	1/0.88	9.479	10.55	
30/08/2020 21:35	140.00	4.027	10.622	

D, T & V	Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted)
Max	Maximum of the Range
<u>Min</u>	Minimum of the Range
Faded Values	These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances
	Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value reported
}	Range of running average values during exceedance period
#	Exceedance number

Table E6. SO₂ Rundle Monitoring Station 1-Hour Running Average Exceedance Periods on September 25, 2020

Dato & Timo	Wind Direction	SO ₂ 5-min	SO ₂ 1-hr	
	Wind Direction	Avg.	Running Avg.	
EST	•	ppb	ppb	
25/09/2020 12:00	134.97	16.461	16.548	
25/09/2020 12:05	122.73	31.138	18.631	
25/09/2020 12:10	130.88	26.727	19.923	
25/09/2020 12:15	128.26	20.532	20.292	
25/09/2020 12:20	132.56	35.926	21.833	
25/09/2020 12:25	135.09	37.407	23.068	
25/09/2020 12:30	133.06	35.924	24.511	
25/09/2020 12:35	128.04	43.509	26.071	
25/09/2020 12:40	126.41	42.485	27.684	
25/09/2020 12:45	121.86	66.08	32.144	
25/09/2020 12:50	114.79	69.609	36.819	
25/09/2020 12:55	126.56	47.978	39.481	
25/09/2020 13:00	129.3	40.145	<u>41.455</u>	
25/09/2020 13:05	154.16	29.568	41.324	
25/09/2020 13:10	165.53	17.376	40.545	
25/09/2020 13:15	156.93	15.59	40.133	
25/09/2020 13:20	164.01	10.188	37.988	
25/09/2020 13:25	132.29	7.73	35.515	
25/09/2020 13:30	156.83	8.065	33.194	
25/09/2020 13:35	147.35	5.275	30.007	
25/09/2020 13:40	146.48	4.896	26.875	
25/09/2020 13:45	152.59	5.352	21.814	
25/09/2020 13:50	151.15	3.588	16.313	
25/09/2020 13:55	156.08	3.684	<u>12.621</u>	
25/09/2020 14:00	152.36	4.078	9.616	
25/09/2020 14:05	157.99	2.706	7.377	
25/09/2020 14:10	145.71	3.049	6.183	
25/09/2020 14:15	150.05	3.128	5.145	
25/09/2020 14:20	134.69	2.161	4.476	

	1
D, T & V	Date, Time & Exceedance Value Reported (Reported exceedance is the first running avg. value highlighted)
Max	Maximum of the Range
Min	Minimum of the Range
Faded Values	These values are not used to calculate the number of reportable exceedances
	Range of 5-minute measurements that contribute to the exceedance value reported
}	Range of running average values during exceedance period
#	Exceedance number







Notification of Exceedence – Regulation 419/05

General Information and Instructions

General Information

Information requested in this notification form is collected under the authority of the *Environmental Protection Act*, R.S.O. 1990 (EPA) and O. Reg. 419/05 and will be used to collect information relating to a measured or modelled air related exceedence as required by s.25(9), s.28(1) and s.30(3) of O. Reg. 419/05. The Ministry of the Environment (MOE) may also request additional information.

- Questions regarding completion and submission of this notification form should be directed to your local MOE District Office. A list of these
 District Offices (including fax numbers) is available on the Ministry of the Environment Internet site at
 http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/org/op.htm#Reg/Dist. A copy of this form may be acquired through the MOE public web site
 (www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/org/op.htm#Reg/Dist. A copy of this form may be acquired through the MOE public web site
 http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/org/op.htm#Reg/Dist. A copy of this form may be acquired through the MOE public web site
 www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/org/op.htm#Reg/Dist. A copy of this form may be acquired through the MOE public web site

- 2. For notification under s.25(9) or 28(1), the completed notification form should be faxed, as soon as practicable, to the local Ministry of Environment (MOE) District Office which has jurisdiction over the area in which the facility is located.
- 3. For notification under s. 30, the completed notification form should be immediately faxed to the local Ministry of Environment (MOE) District Office which has jurisdiction over the area which the facility is located. If the exceedance is determined outside of the business hours of the District Office then the completed notification form should be faxed to the Spills Action Center (1-800-268-6061).
- 4. Information contained in this notification form may not be considered confidential and may be made available to the public upon request. Information may be claimed as confidential but will be subject to the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (FOIPPA) and the *EBR*. If you do not claim confidentiality at the time of submitting the information, the Ministry of the Environment may make the information available to the public without further notice to you.

Instructions

This form should be used to notify the MOE of a measured or modeled air related exceedence as required under O. Reg. 419/05. Failure to notify the MOE as required by regulation constitutes an offence under the O. Reg. 419/05 and the EPA.

The generic term "limits" in the context of this form means any numerical Point of Impingement Concentration limit set by the MOE including standards in O. Reg. 419/05 and guidelines provided by the MOE (Ministry POI Limits). For a comprehensive list of MOE POI Limits please refer to the publication titled "Summary of O. Reg. 419/05 Standards, Point of Impingement Guidelines, and Ambient Air Quality Criteria (AAQC's)" available on the Ministry of the Environment Internet site at http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/gp/2424e01.htm. Note that contaminants that have guidelines limits or recommended levels for chemicals with no standard or guideline may be considered "contaminants not listed in any of Schedules 1, 2 and 3 and discharges of the contaminant may cause an adverse effect" as this language appears in O. Reg. 419/05.

This form may be used for notification of exceedences of more than one contaminant; Table 1 (or equvalent) should be completed for each contaminant. If this notification is made pursuant to s. 30 in combination with ss. 25(9) or 28(1) then this form must be submitted immediately in accordance with s.30.

Regulatory Authority

- (1) A person who discharges or causes or permits the discharge of a contaminant shall, as soon as practicable, notify a provincial officer in writing if,
 - (a) the person uses an approved dispersion model to predict concentrations of the contaminant that result from the discharges and,
 - (i) the use of the model indicates that discharges of the contaminant may result in a contravention of section 18, 19 or 20, or
 (ii) the contaminant is not listed in any of Schedules 1, 2 and 3 and the use of the model indicates that discharges of the contaminant may cause an adverse effect;
 - (b) measurements of air samples indicate that discharges of the contaminant may result in a contravention of section 18, 19 or 20; or
 - (c) the contaminant is not listed in any of Schedules 1, 2 and 3 and measurements of air samples indicate that discharges of the contaminant may cause an adverse effect.
- 25. (9) A person who is required under subsection (8) to complete the update of a report not later than March 31 in a year shall, as soon as practicable after that date, notify a provincial officer in writing if the person has started to use an approved dispersion model with respect to a contaminant for the purpose of completing the update but has not yet complied with section 12, and,
 - (a) the use of the model indicates that discharges of the contaminant may result in a contravention of section 18, 19 or 20; or
 - (b) the contaminant is not listed in any of Schedules 1, 2 and 3 and the use of the model indicates that discharges of the contaminant may cause an adverse effect.
- 30. (1) A person who discharges or causes or permits the discharge of a contaminant listed in Schedule 6 into the air shall comply with subsections (3) and (4) if there is reason to believe, based on any relevant information, that discharges of the contaminant may result in the concentration of the contaminant exceeding the half hour upper risk threshold or other time period upper risk threshold set out for that contaminant in Schedule 6 at a point of impingement.
 - (2) Without limiting the generality of subsection (1), the reference in that subsection to relevant information includes relevant information from predictions of a dispersion model, including,
 - (a) an approved dispersion model or other dispersion model; or
 - (b) a dispersion model that is not used in accordance with this Regulation.
 - (3) If subsection (1) applies to a discharge, the person who discharged or caused or permitted the discharge of the contaminant shall immediately notify the Director in writing.

28.



Ministry of the Environment

1. Ministry of the Environment District Office Information

Date Form Submitted (Faxed)	Date Exceedednce Determin	ned				
	Eax Number					
York-Durham District Office	(905) 427-5602	(905) 427-5602				
Supporting information attached? Yes N	Supporting information attached? Yes No					
If yes, number of pages: 2						
2. Site Information						
Name of Person Making the Notification	Business Name	Ocartas				
Lyndsay waller	Dumam York Energy	Centre				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code Busi (a de 562210 Wa	ness Activity Description escription of the business endeavour, this may inclu ste Treatment and Disposal	ude products sold, services p	rovided, equipment used, etc.)			
Site Name	MOE District Office					
Courtice AQ Station And Rundle AQ Station	York-Durham District	t Office				
Address Information:		(int Identifier	(i.e. suite av anadmant number)			
1835 Energy Drive	it information includes street number, name, type and direc	ction) Onit identifier	(i.e. suite or apartment number)			
Survey Address (used for a rural location specified for a subdivided tow	vnship, an unsubdivided township or unsurveyed te	erritory)				
Lot and Conc.: used to indicate location within a subdivided Pa township and consists of a lot number and a concession number co	art and Reference: used to indicate location within a	an unsubdivided township or ating the location within that	unsurveyed territory, and plan Attach copy of the plan			
Lot Conc.	Part	Refe	erence Plan			
Non Address Information (includes any additional information to clarify	applicants' physical location)					
Municipality/Uproganized Township County/Dis	strict	Postal Code				
Courtice York-Du	urham	L1E2R2				
	Geo Reference					
Map Datum Zone Accuracy E	Estimate Geo Referencing Method	UTM Easting	UTM Northing			
Certificate of Approval Number (s) – attach a separate list if more spac	e is required					
7306-8FDKNX						
3 Type of Notification: Limit Exceedence - Table 1 or Table	e 2 should be completed and submitted with	this notification of exceed	lence			
This is a potification under Section $28(1)$ – Notice to Provincial (Officer as a result of modelling or measurements re	elating to an exceedence of:	select all that apply)			
Other Limit (explain):						
This is a notification under Section 25 (9) – Notice to Provincial	Officer as a result an update of an Emission Summ	nary and Dispersion Modelling	g Report (select all that apply)			
Schedule 1 Schedule 2 Schedule	3 POI Guideline Ambient Air	Quality Criteria				
Other Limit (explain):						
Date that Refinement is anticipated to be complete (dd/mm/yyyy	/):					
This is a notification under Section 30 (3) – Notice to the Directo	or as a result of an exceedence of Upper Risk Thre	sholds (Schedule 6)				
Yes No						
4. Follow-Up Action						
Section 28 Notifications						
Will an Abatement Plan be submitted to the Ministry within 30 days of this notice as per s.29?						
Yes Type of Previously Approved Abatement Plan Date Approved under s.29 of O. Reg. 419/05 (dd/mm/yyyy)						
Section 30 (3) Notifications for LIRT exceedence						
Has an Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling (ESDM) Report h	been prepared in accordance with s 30(4) and subr	nitted to the Ministry?				
Line If No. what is the anticipated submission date for the ESDM* (dd/mm/waw)?						
	* Note: The ES	SDM must be submitted within	n three months of the discharge			

5.	Model Based Assessment -	please com	plete this section	if notifying	of a modelled exceedence	(complete Table 1)
•••						100.000 . 0.0.0

Was an ESDM Report prepared in accordance with s.26 O. Reg. 419/05?
Yes No
If yes, was the ESDM Report prepared to fulfill (select all that apply):
s.22 of O. Reg. 419/05 - Application for Certificate of Approval under section 9 of the Environmental Protection Act
s.23 of O. Reg. 419/05 - Requirement for Schedule 4 or 5 sector facilities
s.24 of O. Reg. 419/05 - Notice issued by Director
s.25 of O. Reg. 419/05 - Requirement for updating ESDM Report
s.30(4) of O. Reg 419/05 – Required as result of URT exceedence
s.32(13) of O. Reg. 419/05 – Required as part of a Request for Alternative Standard
Other (please specify):
Was the approved dispersion model refined as required by s.12 O. Reg. 419/05 (i.e. operating conditions, emission rates)?
Yes No
Have you modelled for additional receptor locations other than the maximum POI? (please include figure showing maximum POI location)
Yes No
If Yes, specify additional locations (i.e., land use) at which the exceedence may occur (select all that apply – please include figure showing additional modelled locations):
Health Care Seniors Residence / Child Care Facility Educational Facility Dwelling Unknown
Location Specified by The Director (explain): Other Location (explain):

6. Measurement Based Assessment – please complete this section if notifying of a measured exceedence (Complete Table 2 or equivalent)

	complete this section in notifying of a measured ex							
Type of Monitor / Measurement Type	Date of Exceedence (dd/mm/yyyy)	Duration of Exceedence						
PS-1 Air Samplers	24/09/2020	2 Event (24 hours)						
Is the monitoring approved by the Ministry of the Environment?								
X Yes	7306-8FDKNX							
No								
Monitoring Reference Number: (if available)								
Specify the location (i.e., land use) at which the exceedence	did occur (select all that apply):							
Health Care Seniors Residence / Long Term Care Facility	Child Care Facility Educational Facility	Dwelling Unknown						
Location Specified by The Director (explain):	Other Location (explain):	Courtice and Rundle AQ Stations						

7. Statement of Company Official

7. Statement of Company Official							
I, the undersigned hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge:							
 The information contained herein and the information submitted is complete and accurate in every way and I am aware of the penalties against providing false information as per s.184(2) of the <i>Environmental Protection Act</i>. I have been authorized to act on behalf of the company identified in this form for the purpose of providing this notification of exceedence under O.Reg 419/05 to the Ministry of the Environment 							
my local Ministry District Office and I	have included all nece	essary information required	d by O. Reg. 419/05 ar	nd identified on this fo	orm.		
Name of Signing Authority (please print)		1	Title				
Lyndsay Waller			Operations Technician				
Civic Address (address that has civic num	bering and street infor	mation includes street nur	nber, name, type and	direction)	Unit Identifier (i.e. suite or apartment number	er)	
1835 Energy Dr							
Delivery Designator: If signing authority mailing address is a Rural Route, Suburban Service, Mobile Route or General Delivery (i.e., RR#3)							
Municipality	Postal Station		Province/State	Country	Postal Code		
Courtice			Ontario	Canada	L1E 2R2		
Telephone Number (including area code & extension) Fax Number (including area code) E-mail Address							
905-404-0888 x 4107			lyndsay.waller@durham.ca				
Signature			Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	·			

Table 1 - Information About Modelled Air Limit Exceedence – Contaminant Information

Location of Maximum POI Concentration (e.g. UTM, street a	Land Use at Maximum Point of Impingement (if known)				
1					
3					
5					
7					
9					
13					
17					
19					
21					
22					

Notes:

(a) Proper Chemical Name should be given (Abbreviations, acronyms, numeric codes, trade names and mixtures NOT ACCEPTABLE).

(b) CAS Number : Chemical Abstracts Services Number (UNIQUE Identifier for a chemical)

(c) POI Concentration : Point of Impingement Concentration

Table 2 - Information About Measured Air Limit Exceedence – Contaminant Information

Location of Monitor (Describe)				Date (dd/mm/yyyy) Time Si 24/09/2020 N/A 2		Sampl	Sampling Period Land Use a		and Use at I	at Monitor		
				24/09/2020 N/A 24		24-110	24-Hours Oll-si		JI-Sile al	at waste water facility/Offsite North		
1	Benzo(a)Pyrene	50-32-8	PUF		0.000055	24	C).00005	Health		AAQS	110%
	E		F		C	2	C		ł		1	1
3												
5												
7												
9												
11												
13												
15												
17												
19												

* For additional measurement locations / sampling times, please included additional tables ** If you are reporting more than one exceedence, include the time of the exceedence in the contaminant column

Notes:

(a) Proper Chemical Name should be given (Abbreviations, acronyms, numeric codes, trade names and mixtures NOT ACCEPTABLE).

(b) CAS Number : Chemical Abstracts Services Number (UNIQUE Identifier for a chemical)

(c) POI Concentration : Point of Impingement Concentration



MEMO





600 Southgate Drive Guelph ON Canada N1G 4P6

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	2020-11-02	RWDI Reference No.: 1803743					
то:	Lyndsay Waller	EMAIL: Lyndsay.Waller@Durham.ca					
CC:	Andrew Evans	EMAIL: <u>Andrew.Evans@Durham.ca</u>					
CC:	Gioseph Anello	EMAIL: Gioseph.Anello@Durham.ca					
FROM:	John DeYoe	EMAIL: jd@rwdi.com					
RE:	Exceedance Report – Benzo(a)Pyrene September 24, 2020						

Region of Durham, DYEC

On October 23, 2020 the results from ALS Environmental were received regarding the PAH results from the September 24, 2020 sampling event. On October 29, 2020, the results were entered and assessed, and it was found that there were two (2) measured Benzo(a)Pyrene concentrations in excess of the 24-hour AAQC on the September 24th sampling date. Attached is the Exceedance Form PIBS 5354e for your reference. Below is a summary of the event.

September 24, 2020

On Thursday, September 24, 2020, there were two exceedances of the Benzo(a)Pyrene 24-hour AAQC, which occurred at the Courtice and Rundle Road Stations measured at the onsite PUF PS-1 samplers. Attached is a figure depicting the wind rose (indicating the wind speed and direction during the sampling day), and the location of the sampling station relative to the DYEC.

The following summarizes the BaP concentrations and onsite conditions during the September 24th sampling date:

- 1. The guideline concentration for BaP is 0.00005 ug/m³. The measured concentration at the Courtice and Rundle Road samplers was 0.000055 µg/m³ and 0.000061 µg/m³ respectively. During the sampling day the wind was recorded predominantly from the NE to SSW as recorded at the Courtice WPCP Meteorological Tower. Wind speeds at Courtice tower ranged from 2.86 km/h to 8.44 km/h. During the sampling day the wind was recorded predominantly from the NE and S as recorded at the Rundle Road Meteorological Tower. Wind speeds at Rundle tower ranged from 0.24 km/h to 6.07 km/h.
- 2. According to the Courtice meteorological data, the Courtice Station was downwind of the DYEC part of the time during the September 24th sampling period. According to the Courtice

rwdi.com



Lyndsay Waller Durham York Energy Centre RWDI#1803743 NOVEMBER 2, 2020

meteorological data, the winds were coming from the NE-SSW and it is likely that the measured BaP exceedances may be attributed to industrial sources along the lakeshore with a possible contribution from DYEC in the NE-ENE quadrants.

3. According to the Rundle meteorological data, the Rundle Road Station was upwind of the DYEC during the sampling period. Since the winds were predominantly coming from the Northeast and South, it is likely that the measured BaP exceedances may be attributed to sources other than the Energy Centre operations.

At the Courtice Station, the NO₂ hourly values were less than 11.75% of the criteria for the same period. The $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour average value was 16.9 micrograms per cubic meter at the Courtice Station. At the Rundle Road Station, the NO₂ hourly values were less than 4.55% of the criteria for the same period. The $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour average value was 12.6 micrograms per cubic meter at the Rundle Road Station.

We have also attached the data files for the sample in question to aid with the review.

Respectfully submitted by:

RWDI AIR Inc.

John DeYoe, B.A. Senior Consultant / Principal

JD

Attach.



FIGURE






SUPPORTING DATA





1435 Norjohn Court, Unit 1, Burlington ON, L7L 0E6 Phone: 905-331-3111, FAX: 905-331-4567

Certificate of Analysis

ALS Project Contact: Claire Kocharakkal ALS Project ID: ALS WO#: L2510222 Date of Report 23-Oct-20 Date of Sample Receipt 30-Sep-20

23601

Client Name: Client Address: Client Contact: Client Project ID: DYEC

RWDI Air Inc. 600 Southgate Drive Guelph, ON N1G 4P6 Canada John DeYoe

COMMENTS:

PAH by CARB method 429 (LR option)- Isotope dilution

Sample data as provided are not blank corrected.

There was significant and uncharacteristically high laboratory and/or media background with the analysis of this batch of samples. The benzo(a)pyrene values as reported are slightly above the MECP 24 hour criterion. With media blank correction of these data, the benzo(a)pyrene values are below the limits.

LCS recoveries are not blank corrected. High LCS recoveries for fluorene, acenaphthene and phenanthrene are attributable to the high media background.

Loursek)

Certified by:

Claire Kocharakkal Account Manager

Results in this certificate relate only to the samples as submitted to the laboratory.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of ALS Canada Ltd.

				AL	S Life Science	es				
			Samp	le A	nalysis Summary	Rep	ort			
Sample Name	Method Media Blank		Method Reagent Blank		COURTICE-PAH- SEP24		RUNDLE-PAH- SEP24		Laboratory Control Sample	
ALS Sample ID	WG3415590-1		WG3415590-4		L2510222-1		L2510222-2		WG3415590-2	
Sample Size	1		1		1		1		1	
Sample units	Sample		Sample		Sample		Sample		LCS	
Moisture Content	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Matrix Sampling Date	MEDIA D/a		REAGEN1		24-Sep. 20		Put 24-Sep-20		UC p/a	
Extraction Date	1-Oct-20		1-Oct-20		1-Oct-20		1-Oct-20		1-Oct-20	
arget Analytes	ng		ng		ng		ng		%	
laphthalene	54.2		45.6	м	16500		25500		109.4	
-Methylnaphthalene	95.5		36.6		3240		6250		131.0	
-Methylnaphthalene	72.4		24.9		2450		4500		144.8	
cenaphthylene	3.81	M,R	4.78	M,R	43.6	М	35.2	М	96.7	
cenaphthene	211		13.0		1040		2710		253.3	
luorene	126		11.7		662		1450		158.7	
henanthrene	319		34.1		913		2300		236.5	
Anthracene	15.0		7.23		62.8		122		95.2	
luoranthene	4.76		1.48		117		316		88.5	
yrene	3.85		1.31	R	158		145		88.9	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0.790	M,R	0.860	M,R	11.1		7.60	R	83.8	
Chrysene	0.780	м	2.14	М	45.6	R	33.6	R	99.1	
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	14.7	M,R	1.17	R	26.9		20.7	M,R	83.7	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	<0.20	U	2.50	М	22.6	М	14.2	M,R	105.2	
Benzo(e)Pyrene	1.55	R	0.820	М	16.8		10.9	R	85.0	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	3.68	M,R	0.680	M,R	16.6		18.6	M,R	100.7	
Perylene	5.46	М	0.680	M,R	1.58		0.710	M,R	107.3	
ndeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	24.1	R	1.52	R	14.2	М	9.75	M,R	95.2	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	0.610	M,R	1.59	М	2.49	M,R	2.05	M,R	87.4	M
lenzo(g,h,i)Perylene	<0.20	U	0.740	M,R	16.0	м	12.8		98.9	М
dditional Analytes										
etralin	4.10	М	< 0.20	U	1260		3930		NS	
liphenyl	71.7		42.0		1010		1380		NS	
-Terphenyl	1.35	м	1.48		10.4		12.1	М	NS	
lenzo(a)fluorene	<0.20	U	1.15		17.4	M	15.2	M	NS	
enzo(b)fluorene	<0.20	U	1.12		21.5	R	22.2	R	NS	
ield Sampling Standards	% Rec		% Rec		% Rec		% Rec		% Rec	
-Methylnaphthalene-D10	NS		NS		89.8		86.1		NS	
Iuorene D10	NS		NS		96.0	.,	94.2		NS	
erpnenyl D14(Surr.)	NS		NS		91.5	М	76.1	м	NS	
xtraction Standards	% Rec	_	% Rec		% Rec	_	% Rec	_	% Rec	
laphthalene D8	32.2	R	23.1	M,R	17.4	R	23.5	R	46.1	м
-weinyinaphthalene-D10	55.7		58.4		38.4		57.8		/0.9	
benaphthylene D8	56.6		24.2		45.1		43.3		/1.6	
nthracene-D10	08.0 65.1		34.2		50.7 7/ 0		04.7 77 0		79.1	
luoranthene D10	65.9		65.1		74.9		73.2		82.0	
lenz(a)Anthracene-D12	77.0	R	66.1		87.3		83.5	R	96.2	
hrysene D12	57.5		60.7		70.4		73.3		76.0	
- enzo(b)Fluoranthene-D12	99.1	R	102.5		110.8		113.7	M,R	125.1	M,R
enzo(k)Fluoranthene-D12	61.0	R	63.9		73.5	R	108.0	M,R	79.9	R
enzo(a)Pyrene D12	71.3		74.4		81.8		52.9	R	94.0	м
erylene D12	79.2		70.5		94.4		99.0		109.7	
ndeno(1,2,3,cd)Pyrene-D12	117.8		113.9	М	144.1		138.2		165.6	
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene-D14	101.5	м	100.3	М	115.4	м	93.0		136.9	M
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene D12	87.3	М	94.4	М	104.3	М	88.7		121.5	M
U	Indicates that this co	mpound	was not detected al	bove	the LOD.					
М	Indicates that a peak	has bee	en manually integrat	ed.						
R	Indicates that the ion	abunda	nce ratio for this cor	mpou	nd did not meet the acc	eptanc	e criterion.			
NS	indicates that this sta	maard v	vas not spiked to sar	nple						

ALS Life Sciences Laboratory Method Blank Analysis Report													
				Labo	ratory	Method Blank A	nalysis R	eport					
Sample Name ALS Sample ID Analysis Method Analysis Type Sample Matrix Sample Size Percent Moisture	Method Bla WG3415590 PAH by CAR blank MEDIA 1 n/a	ank D-1 B 429 Sample				Sampling Extraction	Date Date	n/a 1-Oct-20	Approved: T.Patterson				
Split Ratio	1					Workgrou)	WG3415590	22-Oct-2020				
Run Information		Rui	n 1										
Filename Run Date Final Volume Dilution Factor Analysis Units Instrument Column		201 10/ 0.1 1 ng MSI HP5	015A21.D 16/2020 3: mL D-5 5MS USO17	14 9454H									
Target Analytes		r	Ret. Co lime	ncentration ng	Flags								
Naphthalene			2.92	54.2									
2-Methvlnaphthalene	•		3.55	95.5									
1-Methylnaphthalene	•		3.68	72.4									
Acenaphthylene			4.74	3.81 M	R								
Acenaphthene			5.05	211									
Fluorene			5.99	126									
Phenanthrene			8.21	319									
Anthracene			8.32	15.0									
Fluoranthene		1	1.61	4.76									
Pyrene		1	2.26	3.85									
Benzo(a)Anthracene		1	6.16	0.790 N	I R								
Chrysene		1	6.29	0.780 M									
Benzo(b)Fluoranthen	e	1	9.49	14.7 M	I R								
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	e	No	otFnd	< 0.20	U								
Benzo(e)Pyrene		2	20.27	1.55	ĸ								
Benzo(a)Pyrene		2	0.44	3.08 M									
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyre	ano	2	0.70	24.1	D								
Dibenzo(a h)Anthrace	ene	2	4.13	0.610 M									
Benzo(a,h,i)Pervlene	chic	2	5.05	<0.20	U								
Additional Analytes	S												
Tetralin			2 79	4 10 M									
Biphenvl			4.12	71.7									
o-Terphenyl			9.50	1.35 M									
Benzo(a)fluorene		No	otFnd	<0.20	U								
Benzo(b)fluorene		No	otFnd	<0.20	U								
Field Sampling Star	ndards	ng spiked	d	% Rec									
1-Methylnaphthalene	-D10			NS									
Fluorene D10				NS									
Terphenyl D14(Surr.))			NS									
Extraction Standard	ds			% Rec		Limits							
Naphthalene D8		200	2.91	32.2	R	50-150							
2-Methylnaphthalene	-D10	200	3.52	55.7		50-150							
Acenaphthylene D8		200	4.72	56.6		50-150							
Anthracona D10		200	0.10	58.5 4E 1		50-150							
Fluoranthene D10		200 200 1	0.20 1.56	00. I 45 0		50-150							
Benz(a)Anthracene-D	012	200 1	6.10	77.0	R	50-150							
Chrysene D12		200 1	6.22	57.5		50-150							
Benzo(b)Fluoranthen	e-D12	200 1	9.45	99.1	R	50-150							
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	e-D12	200 1	9.53	61.0	R	50-150							
Benzo(a)Pyrene D12		200 2	0.32	71.3		50-150							
Perylene D12		200 2	0.55	79.2		50-150							
Indeno(1,2,3,cd)Pyre	ene-D12	200 2	3.99	117.8		50-150							
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracer	ne-D14	200 2	4.15	101.5 N		50-150							
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	D12	200 2	4.96	87.3 N		50-150							
N	1	Indicates Indicates	that a peak that this co	has been manu mpound was no	ually integ t detecte	grated. ed above the MDL.							
R	K	Indicates	that the ion	abundance rat	to for this	s compound did not me	et the accep	otance criterion.					
NS	5	Indicates	that this sta	andard was not	spiked to	sample							

			AL	S Life Science	S	
		Labo	ratory	Method Blank Analysi	s Report	
Sample NameMeALS Sample IDWGAnalysis MethodPAHAnalysis TypeblaiSample MatrixREASample Size1Percent Moisturen/a	t hod Blank 33415590-4 H by CARB 429 nk AGENT Sample			Sampling Date Extraction Date	n/a 1-Oct-20	Approved: <i>T.Patterson</i> e-signature
Split Ratio 1				Workgroup	WG3415590	22-Oct-2020
Run Information Filename Run Date Final Volume Dilution Factor Analysis Units Instrument Column	Run 1 201021/ 10/22/2 0.1 1 ng MSD-5 HP5MS (.08.D 220 3:08 mL JSO179454H				
Target Analytes	Ret. Time	Concentration	Flags			
Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 1-Methylnaphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)Anthracene Chrysene Benzo(b)Fluoranthene Benzo(c)Pyrene Benzo(c)Pyrene Benzo(a)Pyrene Perylene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene Additional Analytes	2.93 3.56 3.68 4.75 5.05 5.99 8.20 8.32 11.61 12.25 16.17 16.27 19.49 19.55 20.25 20.39 20.62 24.12 24.28 25.05	45.6 M 36.6 24.9 4.78 M 13.0 11.7 34.1 7.23 1.48 1.31 0.860 M 2.14 M 1.17 2.50 M 0.820 M 0.680 M 1.52 1.59 M 0.740 M	R R R R R R R R R R			
Biphenyl o-Terphenyl Benzo(a)fluorene	4.12 9.49 13.44	42.0 1.48 1.15	0			
Benzo(b)fluorene	13.64	1.12				
1-Methylnaphthalene-D10 Fluorene D10 Terphenyl D14(Surr.)	us ng spikea)	76 Rec NS NS NS				
Extraction Standards Naphthalene D8 2-Methylnaphthalene-D10 Acenaphthylene D8 Phenanthrene D10 Anthracene-D10 Fluoranthene D10 Benz(a)Anthracene-D12 Chrysene D12 Benzo(k)Fluoranthene-D1 Benzo(k)Fluoranthene-D1 Benzo(a)Pyrene D12 Perylene D12 Indeno(1,2,3,cd)Pyrene-D Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene-D Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene D12	200 2.92 200 3.53 200 4.72 200 8.15 200 8.27 200 11.56 200 16.21 2 200 19.44 2 200 19.52 200 20.32 200 20.55 012 200 24.00 14 200 24.17	% Rec 23.1 M 58.4 53.3 34.2 29.0 65.1 66.1 60.7 102.5 63.9 74.4 70.5 113.9 M 100.3 M 94.4 M	R	Limits 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150 50-150		
M U R NS	Indicates that Indicates that Indicates that Indicates that	a peak has been manu his compound was no he ion abundance rati his standard was not	ally integ t detecte o for this spiked to	grated. ed above the MDL. s compound did not meet the ad o sample	cceptance criterion.	

					AL	S Life	Scie	ences		
					Sa	ample An	alysis	Report		
Sample Name ALS Sample ID Analysis Method Analysis Type	COURTI L251022 PAH by C Sample	CE-PAH-SE 2-1 CARB 429	EP24			•	Samplin Extraction	g Date on Date	24-Sep-20 00:00 1-Oct-20	
Sample Matrix	Puf 1	Sample								Approved: T. Patterson
Percent Moisture	n/a	Sample								e-signature
Split Ratio	1						Workgro	oup	WG3415590	22-Oct-2020
Run Information		F	Run 1				Run 2			
Filename Run Date Final Volume Dilution Factor Analysis Units Instrument Column		2 1 0 1 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	201015A2 10/16/20: 0.1 r 1 1g MSD-5 HP5MS US	:5.D 20 5:37 mL 50179454H			201015/ 10/16/2 0.1 20 MSD-5 HP5MS	A23.D 020 4:26 mL JSO179454H		
Target Analytes			Ret. Time	Concentration ng	Flags		Ret. Time.	Concentratio ng	n Flags	
Naphthalene							2.93	16500		
2-Methylnaphthalene							3.56	3240		
1-Methylnaphthalene Acenaphthylene			4.75	43.6 N	1		3.68	2450		
Acenaphthene							5.05	1040		
Fluorene							5.99	662		
Anthracene			8.32	62.8			8.21	913		
Fluoranthene							11.62	117		
Pyrene			12.26	158						
Benzo(a)Anthracene			16.17 16.28	11.1	R					
Benzo(b)Fluoranthen	e		19.51	26.9	i.					
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	e		19.56	22.6 N	1					
Benzo(e)Pyrene			20.25	16.8						
Benzo(a)Pyrene Pervlene			20.38	16.6						
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyre	ene		24.08	14.2 N	1					
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrace	ene		24.27	2.49 N	1 R					
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene			25.05	16.0 N	1					
Additional Analytes	5						2.00	1240		
Biphenyl							4.12	1200		
o-Terphenyl			9.50	10.4						
Benzo(a)fluorene			13.43	17.4 N	1					
Field Sampling Star	ndards	na spil	13.00	21.5 % Rec	к					
1-Methylnanhthaleno	-D10	200	3 61	80 8						
Fluorene D10	-010	200	5.93	96						
Terphenyl D14(Surr.))	200	13.06	91.5 M	1					
Extraction Standar	ds			% Rec		Limits		% Rec		
Naphthalene D8		200				50-150	2.91	17.4	R	
2-Methylnaphthalene	-D10	200				50-150	3.52	38.4		
Acenaphthylene D8		200	4.73	45.1		50-150	0.45	F (7		
Anthracene-D10		200	8 28	74 9		50-150 50-150	8.15	56.7		
Fluoranthene D10		200	0.20	,,		50-150	11.56	72.4		
Benz(a)Anthracene-D	012	200	16.10	87.3		50-150				
Chrysene D12 Benzo(b)Elucropthere	e-D12	200	16.21	70.4		50-150				
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	e-D12 e-D12	200	19.45	73.5	R	50-150				
Benzo(a)Pyrene D12	-	200	20.32	81.8		50-150				
Perylene D12		200	20.56	94.4		50-150				
Indeno(1,2,3,cd)Pyre	ene-D12	200	23.99	144.1	4	50-150				
Benzo(g,h,i)Pervlene	D12	200	∠4.15 24.95	104.3 M	n 1	50-150 50-150				
Sector Sector Sector		200								
N L	n J	Indicate Indicate	es that a es that th	peak has been man iis compound was no	ually inte ot detecte	grated. ed above the	MDL.			
R	8	Indicate	es that th	e ion abundance ra	io for thi	s compound	did not n	neet the accepta	ance criterion.	

					AL	S Life	Scie	ences			
					Sa	mple An	alysis	Report			
Sample Name ALS Sample ID Analysis Method Analysis Type	RUNDLE L2510222 PAH by C Sample	-PAH-SEP: 2-2 ARB 429	24			·	Samplin Extraction	g Date on Date	24- 1-0	-Sep-20 00:00 Dct-20	
Sample Matrix	Puf 1	Sample									Approved: T Patterson
Percent Moisture	n/a	Sample									e-signature
Split Ratio	1						Workgro	oup	WG	53415590	22-Oct-2020
Run Information		F	≀un 1				Run 2				
Filename Run Date Final Volume Dilution Factor Analysis Units Instrument Column		2 1 0 1 r N F	:01015A2 0/16/202).1 r 1g //SD-5 1P5MS US	6.D 20 6:12 nL 60179454H			201015/ 10/16/2 0.1 20 mg MSD-5 HP5MS	A24.D 020 5:01 mL JSO179454H			
Target Analytes			Ret. Time	Concentration ng	Flags		Ret. Time.	Concentration	on F	Flags	
Naphthalene							2.93	25500	0		
2-Methylnaphthalene	2						3.56	6250	0		
1-Methylnaphthalene Acenaphthylene	2		4.75	35.2 M	1		3.68	4500	0		
Acenaphthene							5.05	2710	0		
Fluorene							5.99 8.21	1450	0		
Anthracene			8.32	122			0.21	2300	0		
Fluoranthene							11.62	316	6		
Pyrene Benzo(a)Anthracene			16 17	7.60	Þ		12.26	145	5		
Chrysene			16.29	33.6	R						
Benzo(b)Fluoranthen	e		19.52	20.7 N	1 R						
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	e		19.55	14.2 M	1 R						
Benzo(e)Pyrene			20.26	10.9	R						
Benzo(a)Pyrene			20.39	18.6 N	1 R						
Perylene			20.62	0.710 N	1 K 1 D						
Dibenzo(a h)Anthrace	ene		24.10	2.05 N	IR						
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene			25.06	12.8							
Additional Analytes	s										
Tetralin							2.80	3930	0		
Biphenyl							4.12	1380	0		
o-Terphenyl			9.50	12.1 N	1						
Benzo(a)fluorene Benzo(b)fluorene			13.43 13.65	15.2 M	1 R						
Field Sampling Star	ndards	ng spil	ked	% Rec	K						
1-Methvlnaphthalene	e-D10	200	3.64	86.1							
Fluorene D10		200	5.93	94.2							
Terphenyl D14(Surr.))	200	13.06	76.1 M	1						
Extraction Standar	ds			% Rec		Limits		% Red	с		
Naphthalene D8		200				50-150	2.91	23.5	5	R	
2-Methylnaphthalene	e-D10	200				50-150	3.52	57.8	8		
Acenaphthylene D8		200	4.73	43.3		50-150	0 15	64 -	7		
Anthracene-D10		200	8.28	77.9		50-150	0.13	04.	,		
Fluoranthene D10		200				50-150	11.56	73.2	2		
Benz(a)Anthracene-D	012	200	16.11	83.5	R	50-150					
Chrysene D12		200	16.22	73.3		50-150					
Benzo(b)Fluoranthen	ie-D12	200	19.45	113.7 N	1 R	50-150					
Benzo(k)Fluoranthen	ie-D12	200	19.54	108.0 N	I R	50-150					
Pervlene D12		200 200	20.32 20.55	52.9 99.0	к	50-150					
Indeno(1,2.3.cd)Pvre	ene-D12	200	24.00	138.2		50-150					
Dibenz(a,h)Anthrace	ne-D14	200	24.15	93.0		50-150					
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	D12	200	24.96	88.7		50-150					
N	J	Indicate Indicate	es that a es that th	peak has been man is compound was no	ually inter ot detecte	grated. d above the	MDL.				
R	2	Indicate	es that th	e ion abundance rat	io for this	s compound	did not n	neet the accept	tance	e criterion.	

ALS Life Sciences												
			Labora	tory	Control S	Sample Analys	is Report					
Sample NameLabALS Sample IDWG3Apalysis MethodPAH	oratory Contr 3415590-2	ol Sample				Sampling Date Extraction Date	n/a 1-Oct-20					
Analysis Type LCS	by CARD 427											
Sample Matrix QC	105								Approved:			
Percent Moisture n/a	LUS								e-signature			
Split Ratio 1						Workgroup	WG3415590		22-Oct-2020			
Run Information		Run 1										
Filename		201015A19.	D									
Final Volume		0.1 ml	2:03									
Dilution Factor		1										
Analysis Units		%										
Instrument Column		MSD-5 HP5MS USO	179454H									
		Ret.										
Target Analytes	ug spiked	Time	% I	Flags	Limits							
2-Methylnaphthalene	100	2.93	109.4		50-150 50-150							
1-Methylnaphthalene	100	3.68	144.8		50-150							
Acenaphthylene	100	4.74	96.7		50-150							
Acenaphthene	100	5.05	253.3		50-150							
Fluorene	100	5.99	158.7		50-150							
Phenanthrene	100	8.21	236.5		50-150							
Anthracene	100	8.32	95.2 88.5		50-150 50-150							
Pyrene	100	12.26	88.9		50-150							
Benzo(a)Anthracene	100	16.17	83.8		50-150							
Chrysene	100	16.29	99.1		50-150							
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	100	19.51	83.7		50-150							
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	100	19.58	105.2		50-150							
Benzo(e)Pyrene	100	20.25	85.0 100.7		50-150 50-150							
Perylene	100	20.62	107.3		50-150							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	100	24.07	95.2		50-150							
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	100	24.27	87.4 M		50-150							
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	100	25.05	98.9 M		50-150							
Field Sampling Standard	as		% Rec									
1-Methylnaphthalene-D10			NS									
Terphenyl D14(Surr.)			NS									
Extraction Standards			% Rec		Limits							
Naphthalene D8	200	2.91	46.1 M		30-150							
2-Methylnaphthalene-D10	200	3.52	70.9		30-150							
Acenaphthylene D8	200	4.72	71.6		30-150							
Phenanthrene D10	200	8.15	71.6		50-150							
Fluoranthene D10	200	0.20	82.0		50-150							
Benz(a)Anthracene-D12	200	16.10	96.2		50-150							
Chrysene D12	200	16.21	76.0		50-150							
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene-D1	2 200	19.45	125.1 M	R	50-150							
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene-D1	2 200	19.52	79.9	R	50-150							
Pervlene D12	200	20.32	109 7		50-150							
Indeno(1,2,3,cd)Pyrene-D	12 200	23.99	165.6		50-150							
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene-D	14 200	24.14	136.9 M		50-150							
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene D12	200	24.95	121.5 M		50-150							
М	Indica	tes that a pe	eak has been manua	ally inte	egrated.							
D	India	tos that the	ion abundance ratio	for the	is compours	did not most the a	econtanco critorior					
к NS	Indica	tes that this	standard was not s	piked t	o sample	a aia not meet the a	acceptance criterion.					





L2510222-COFC



L2510225-COFC

Canada Toli Free: 1 800 668 9878

Report To	Contact and company name below will appea	ar on the final report		Repor	t Format / Distribut	ion	_	Select	Servic	e Level	Below -	Contact	your AM	to confir	rm all E&P	TATS (SI	urcharges	may apply	
Company:	RWDI		Select Report Fo	ermat:	D (DIGITAL)	Stand	ard TA	ls 15 b	usiness	days. Di	FOX ana	iysis star	ndard TAT	is 5 busi	ness deys		<u>.</u>		
Contact:	Matt Lantz		Quality Control (QC) Report with Rep	ort	D YES	D NO) 	15 d	lay (R-	Regui	ar) 🗆	ζ.	5 Bus	siness d	ay - DT	OX IR -	Regulari	
Phone:	519 823 1311		Compare Results	to Criteria on Report - pro	wide details below if box	checked		DRIT D RIT	10 da	iy (P-5	0%]	G	EROE	3 Bus	siness d	ay - DT	OX [E	100%]	
	Company address below will appear on the final	i report	Select Distributio	on:	🖾 EMAIL	O MAIL O F	AX	8 (B)	5 day	[E-10	0%]		, a				-	•	
Street:	600 Southgate Drive		Email 1 or Fax		Matt.Lantz@rwdi.c	xom			Date a	ad Time	Require	d for all l	E&P TA1	rs:		dd	-mmm-y	y hh:mm	·
City/Province:	Guelph, Ontario		Email 2					For tes	ts that c	an not b	e perforn	ned accord	ling to the	e service l	level select	ed, you wil	l be contac	ted.	
Postal Code:	N1G 4P6		Email 3								_		A	nalysis	Reques	,t			
Invoice To	Same as Report To	NO		in	voice Distribution			S		Indicate	Filtered	(F), Pres	erved (P)) or Filtere	id and Pre:	served (F/	P) below		
	Copy of Invoice with Report	NO	Select Invoice Di	istribution:	© EMA		FAX	<u> </u> #										\Box (Δ
Company:			Email 1 or Fax					Ī											2
Contact:			Email 2												i İ				<u>0</u>
	Project information			Oil and Ges	Required Fields (C	lient use)		15			ł	1			1	f			<u> </u>
ALS Account	#/Quote #:		AFE/Cost Center:			PO#		1 <u>0</u>											Ž
Job#:	DYEC		Major/Minor Code:			Routing Code:	_	U.	5						1				0
PO/AFE:	1803743 Phase 1000		Requisitioner:					16	E E										ທ
LSD:			Location:	ocation:										· ·				1	
ALS LAD WO	nk Order# (lab use only):		ALS Contact:	BEI	HUO										Ч Б И				
ALS Sample # (lab use only)	Sample Identification (This description will as	and/or Coordinates			SP, IC	HA	×								SAI				
	1-7-02479-3	- Martin	CG.	$\frac{\text{Volume (m3)}}{302} = \frac{24}{24} \frac{24}{24} \text{ Jr}$											\square	+	++		
	140836			1738	18-500 70	24hr	Air	-					+-	+	<u> </u>		+	-	
2	TUNNER			1605	10 50-20	24br	Air		\diamond	\vdash	-+				\vdash	+	+		
2	170000	Duck		1095	04-20-00	24hr			\sim		—- -		+-		-+	+	+	<u> </u>	
	2303919-2	- kundi		304	29-30-20	2411	AIF	–				-+			┢──┤─	—	++	\rightarrow	
<u> </u>	190335			1756	18-xy-w	24/11	AIr	-	X		_+		_	+	\vdash		+		
<u> </u>	140837			1662	24-29-20	24hr	Air		ĮХ						\vdash		\perp	\perp	
						24hr	Air												_
						24hr	Air												
						24hr	Air												
						24hr	Air												
						24hr	Air						+			-	+		
			_			24hr	Air							+		+	++		
	<u> </u>						1				SAN	PLE CO	ONDITH	ON AS	RECEIV	ED (lab	use on!	<u></u>	
Drinkin	g Water (DW) Samples ¹ (client use)	Special Instructions / S	ns / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)								•••••		SIF	Observ	ations	Yes		Ϊ	3
Are samples tal	ken from a Regulated DW System?									\boxtimes	ice Cu	ibes [Cus	tody se	al intact	Yet	, 1	ā	Ē
D Y	res 🗹 NQ							Cool	ing Ini	tiated		5	2						
Are samples for	r human consumption/ use?	Samples are 10 day TAT	r –						4	IIITIAL (COOLEF	TEMPER	RATURE	s*C	=	FINAL	COOLER	TEMPERAT	TURES "
	7ES 🖸 NO							3.8	Ċ	<u>่</u> ก.	3.0								
	SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)			INITIAL S	HIPMENT RECEPT	ION (lab use onl	y)					FIN	AL SH	IPMENT	RECEP	TION (I	ab use (xnly)	
Released by:	M Date: 29-5	r-2011:15	Received by:	HON BUATO		Date: Sept.	2020	Time	20	Rece	ived by	r.			Date:			Time	ð:
REFER TO BAC	K PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING	INFORMATION			W		T COP	Ý							<u>_</u>	NOV 20			

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.

Table B5: 2020 Courtice Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAHs

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	24-Sep-20
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	-	8.11E+00
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	-	1.07E+01
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.44E+00
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	-	1.44E-01
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	-	2.08E-01
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.68E-02
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.76E-02
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m ³	0.05 ^[1] 5 ^[2] 1.1 ^[3]	1	5.50E-02
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.91E-02
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.12E-02
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.56E-02
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.30E-02
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.48E-02
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	3.34E+00
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.51E-01
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.25E-03
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.87E-01
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.19E+00
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.70E-02
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	22500	5.46E+01
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	3.44E-02
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.23E-03
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.02E+00
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.23E-01
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	4.17E+00
Total PAH ^[4]	ng/m ³	-	-	9.17E+01

Table B6: 2020 Rundle Station Q3 Monitoring Results for PAHs

Contaminant	Units	MECP Criteria	HHRA Health Based Criteria	24-Sep-20
1-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	12000	-	1.48E+01
2-Methylnaphthalene	ng/m ³	10000	-	2.06E+01
Acenaphthene	ng/m ³	-	-	8.91E+00
Acenaphthylene	ng/m ³	3500	-	1.16E-01
Anthracene	ng/m ³	200	-	4.01E-01
Benzo(a)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.50E-02
Benzo(a)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	5.00E-02
Benzo(a)Pyrene (Historically High)	ng/m ³	0.05 ^[1] 5 ^[2] 1.1 ^[3]	1	6.12E-02
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.81E-02
Benzo(b)fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.30E-02
Benzo(e)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.59E-02
Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.21E-02
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.67E-02
Biphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	4.54E+00
Chrysene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.11E-01
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene	ng/m ³	-	-	6.74E-03
Fluoranthene	ng/m ³	-	-	1.04E+00
Fluorene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.77E+00
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	3.21E-02
Naphthalene	ng/m ³	22500	22500	8.39E+01
o-Terphenyl	ng/m ³	-	-	3.98E-02
Perylene	ng/m ³	-	-	2.34E-03
Phenanthrene	ng/m ³	-	-	7.57E+00
Pyrene	ng/m ³	-	-	4.77E-01
Tetralin	ng/m ³	-	-	1.29E+01
Total PAH ^[4]	ng/m ³	-	-	1.61E+02

Station: RofD Courtice Daily: 24/09/2020 Type: AVG 1 Hr. [5 Mins.]

							Temperat									Temperat		
		NO	NOO	NOV	000	Dett Mar	ure -	Dain	T . T		D	Dein tetel	Hi-Vol	PUF	Descent	ure -	Libert Elser	
Date & Time	PIVI2.5	NO	NO2	NUX	502	Batt Min	Amplent	Rain	Ir_Temp	RHAVG	Pressure	Rain totai	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure	Ambient	HIVOI FIOW	PUF FIOW
0.4/00/0000 00.00	ug/m3	ррр	ррр	ррр	ppb	Volts	C°	mm	07.0	%	in HG	mm	in H20	in H20	kPa	K	cfm	cfm
24/09/2020 00:00	17.9	0.9	9.7	10.6	0.425	13.1	14.137	0	27.3	79.7	29.63	0	3.37	37.35	100.33	287.287	40.24	7.23
24/09/2020 01:00	21.6	0.3	15.7	16	0.447	13.1	13.339	0	26.8	82.3	29.63	0	3.49	39.16	100.33	286.489	41.04	7.4
24/09/2020 02:00	25.7	0.6	13.5	14.1	2.488	13.1	11.69	0	27.2	89.3	29.62	0	3.47	39.63	100.31	284.84	41.04	7.46
24/09/2020 03:00	21.1	6.8	16.7	23.5	7.482	13.1	10.67	0	27.1	94.3	29.63	0	3.49	40.12	100.33	283.82	41.25	7.51
24/09/2020 04:00	18.4	8.2	17.2	25.4	2.043	13.1	10.774	0	26.9	94.2	29.62	0	3.49	40.01	100.31	283.924	41.23	7.5
24/09/2020 05:00	16.8	9.1	18	27.1	4.418	13.1	10.739	0	26.7	93.3	29.62	0	3.49	40.32	100.32	283.889	41.25	7.53
24/09/2020 06:00	16.1	29.5	23.5	53	11.103	13.1	10.845	0	26.5	92	29.63	0	3.49	40.2	100.33	283.995	41.28	7.51
24/09/2020 07:00	15.7	22.9	19.8	42.7	9.006	13.1	14.375	0	27	82.3	29.64	0	3.5	39.42	100.36	287.525	41.07	7.41
24/09/2020 08:00	14	5.3	10.7	16	3.622	13.1	18.107	0	26.8	/1.2	29.65	0	3.51	39.91	100.41	291.257	40.88	7.41
24/09/2020 09:00	14.3	1.3	4.4	5.7	1.505	13.1	18.231	0	26.6	76.4	29.66	0	3.53	40.76	100.44	291.381	41.01	7.48
24/09/2020 10:00	14.7	0.9	3.3	4.2	1.178	13.1	18.103	0	26.3	80.1	29.66	0	3.53	41	100.45	291.253	41.02	7.5
24/09/2020 11:00	15.9	0.5	2.4	2.9	1.072	13.1	18.5	0	26.5	82.6	29.66	0	3.53	40.74	100.44	291.65	40.97	7.48
24/09/2020 12:00	14.9	0.2	2	2.2	1.017	13.1	19.162	0	26.5	80	29.65	0	3.53	40.17	100.4	292.312	40.91	7.42
24/09/2020 13:00	14.4	0.1	1.8	1.9	1.055	13.1	19.267	0	26.4	80.5	29.64	0	3.53	40.14	100.36	292.417	40.9	7.41
24/09/2020 14:00	14.1	0.1	2.1	2.2	1.06	13.1	19.344	0	26.2	79.1	29.62	0	3.53	40.28	100.32	292.494	40.85	7.42
24/09/2020 15:00	13.6	0	2.2	1.8	1.268	13.1	20.022	0	26.4	73.5	29.62	0	3.53	40.35	100.3	293.172	40.84	7.42
24/09/2020 16:00	15.2	0.1	3.5	3.4	0.975	13.1	19.342	0	26.3	81	29.62	0	3.53	40.54	100.32	292.492	40.9	7.44
24/09/2020 17:00	16.7	0.1	3.4	3.4	1.106	13.1	19.233	0	26.8	78.7	29.63	0	3.54	41.13	100.34	292.383	40.98	7.5
24/09/2020 18:00	16.2	0	5.9	5.8	1.209	13.1	18.782	0	26.7	85.6	29.64	0	3.53	41.22	100.37	291.932	40.95	7.51
24/09/2020 19:00	16.8	0.2	7.8	8	2.05	13.1	18.259	0	26.8	85.3	29.65	0	3.53	41.58	100.4	291.409	40.98	7.55
24/09/2020 20:00	19.6	0.2	17.7	17.8	2.222	13.1	17.732	0	26.9	85.5	29.66	0	3.52	41.14	100.43	290.882	40.95	7.52
24/09/2020 21:00	19.7	0.3	19	19.3	3.66	13.1	17.332	0	27	83.7	29.66	0	3.52	41.57	100.45	290.482	40.97	7.56
24/09/2020 22:00	16.5	1	14.2	15.2	3.63	13.1	17.255	0	26.8	81.3	29.67	0	3.52	41.36	100.48	290.405	40.99	7.54
24/09/2020 23:00	16.7	0.4	11.6	12.1	2.933	13.1	17.641	0	26.8	81	29.67	0	3.53	41.19	100.48	290.791	41.03	7.52
Minimum	13.6	0	1.8	1.8	0.425	13.1	10.67	0	26.2	71.2	29.62	0	3.37	37.35	100.3	283.82	40.24	7.23
MinDate	15:00	15:00	13:00	15:00	00:00	00:00	03:00	00:00	14:00	08:00	02:00	00:00	00:00	00:00	15:00	03:00	00:00	00:00
Maximum	25.7	29.5	23.5	53	11.103	13.1	20.022	0	27.3	94.3	29.67	0	3.54	41.58	100.48	293.172	41.28	7.56
MaxDate	02:00	06:00	06:00	06:00	06:00	00:00	15:00	00:00	00:00	03:00	22:00	00:00	17:00	19:00	22:00	15:00	06:00	21:00
Avg	16.9	3.7	10.3	13.9	2.791	13.1	16.37	0	26.7	83	29.64	0	3.51	40.39	100.38	289.52	40.98	7.47
Num	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
Data[%]	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
STD	2.8	7.3	6.9	12.9	2.7	No Data	3.2	0	0.3	6	0	0	0	0.9	0.1	3.2	0.2	0.1

Station: RofD Rundle Daily: 24/09/2020 Type: AVG 1 Hr. [5 Mins.]

							Temperat									Temperat		
Data & Time		NO	NOO	NOV	0.00	Dett Mar	ure -	Dain	T . T		Dein tetel			Hi-Vol	PUF	ure -		
Date & Time	PIMZ.5	NU	NO2	NUX	502	Batt Min	Ambient	Rain	Ir_Temp	RHAVG	Rain totai	VVS km/nr	WD	Pressure	Pressure	Amplent	HIVOI FIOW	PUF FIOW
0.1/00/0000.00.00	ug/m3	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppb	Volts	C°	mm	<u> </u>	%	mm	km/hr	Deg	in H20	in H20	K	ctm	ctm
24/09/2020 00:00	13.6	0	1.9	1.4	0	13.2	13.8	0	23.1	80	0	5.01	284.01	4.08	50.33	286.975	42.25	7.73
24/09/2020 01:00	12.8	0	1.7	1.2	0	13.2	12.4	0	23.3	84.9	0	2.65	280.57	4.07	49.53	285.489	42.32	7.7
24/09/2020 02:00	12.1	0	1	0.8	0	13.2	10.1	0	22.7	90.9	0	0.61	<samp< td=""><td>4.12</td><td>49.48</td><td>283.26</td><td>42.78</td><td>7.72</td></samp<>	4.12	49.48	283.26	42.78	7.72
24/09/2020 03:00	12.6	0.3	1.2	1.4	0	13.2	8.9	0	22	96.7	0	0.24	<samp< td=""><td>4.15</td><td>49.45</td><td>282.064</td><td>43.01</td><td>7.73</td></samp<>	4.15	49.45	282.064	43.01	7.73
24/09/2020 04:00	13.3	0.7	1.1	1.5	0	13.2	8.4	0	21.4	98	0	0.55	<samp< td=""><td>4.15</td><td>49.07</td><td>281.571</td><td>43.06</td><td>7.71</td></samp<>	4.15	49.07	281.571	43.06	7.71
24/09/2020 05:00	14.3	0.1	0.9	0.7	0	13.2	8.2	0	21.7	98.7	0	1.58	<samp< td=""><td>4.15</td><td>48.81</td><td>281.282</td><td>43.08</td><td>7.7</td></samp<>	4.15	48.81	281.282	43.08	7.7
24/09/2020 06:00	14.4	0.4	1.9	2.2	0	13.2	8.2	0	21.7	99.2	0	1.9	55.43	4.12	48.31	281.377	42.94	7.66
24/09/2020 07:00	12.8	0.7	2.6	3.3	0	13.2	12.3	0	22.3	93.1	0	2.86	64.4	4.02	47.1	285.487	42.02	7.52
24/09/2020 08:00	10.8	1.9	5.1	7	0.036	13.2	17.7	0	23.1	73.9	0	1.82	35.42	3.86	45.28	290.841	40.74	7.33
24/09/2020 09:00	9.7	2.7	8.7	11.4	0.131	13.2	20	0	23	67.1	0	2.32	213.28	3.69	44.89	293.171	39.63	7.27
24/09/2020 10:00	10.5	1.1	6.3	7.5	0.088	13.2	19.7	0	23.2	69.7	0	6.07	185.62	3.68	44.79	292.832	39.59	7.27
24/09/2020 11:00	11.4	2.6	5.2	7.8	0.071	13.2	20.2	0	23	70.4	0	5.74	186.03	3.66	44.58	293.319	39.46	7.25
24/09/2020 12:00	11.5	0.5	5.3	5.9	0.141	13.2	21	0	23	65.5	0	5.22	196.81	3.65	43.9	294.145	39.31	7.19
24/09/2020 13:00	9.4	0.1	3.5	3.5	0.287	13.2	21.8	0	23.1	59.6	0	5.11	186.41	3.63	43.68	294.931	39.13	7.16
24/09/2020 14:00	9.5	0.2	5.4	5.5	0.446	13.2	21.5	0	23.1	60.2	0	3.94	181.76	3.66	43.65	294.68	39.36	7.16
24/09/2020 15:00	9.1	0.1	5.9	5.9	0.465	13.2	21.8	0	23.2	53.9	0	3.08	184.11	3.7	43.47	294.964	39.55	7.15
24/09/2020 16:00	11.3	0	7.2	6.9	0.368	13.2	20.7	0	23.2	63.8	0	4.07	132.3	3.75	43.66	293.836	39.9	7.18
24/09/2020 17:00	13.8	0	9.1	8.6	0.22	13.2	19.2	0	23.1	77	0	3.21	86.79	3.8	44.33	292.323	40.32	7.24
24/09/2020 18:00	14.2	0	9.1	8.6	0.146	13.2	18.4	0	23.2	84.5	0	2.39	85.31	3.8	44.37	291.568	40.39	7.25
24/09/2020 19:00	14.8	0	6.2	5.6	0.12	13.2	17.3	0	23.1	86.5	0	3.09	50.69	3.84	44.77	290.443	40.66	7.3
24/09/2020 20:00	16	1.3	6.9	7.9	0.172	13.2	15.7	0	23.3	92.3	0	2.42	58.45	3.87	44.91	288.838	40.96	7.32
24/09/2020 21:00	15.9	0	5.4	4.8	0.103	13.2	15.2	0	23	95	0	3.96	17.78	3.88	45.52	288.341	41.06	7.37
24/09/2020 22:00	14.8	0	5.5	4.9	0.081	13.2	16	0	23.2	88.2	0	4.08	25.18	3.86	45	289.185	40.86	7.33
24/09/2020 23:00	14.2	0	4.7	4	0.214	13.2	16.2	0	23.2	82.7	0	4.14	34.68	3.8	44.27	289.395	40.54	7.27
Minimum	9.1	0	0.9	0.7	0	13.2	8.2	0	21.4	53.9	0	0.24	17.78	3.63	43.47	281.282	39.13	7.15
MinDate	15:00	00:00	05:00	05:00	00:00	00:00	05:00	00:00	04:00	15:00	00:00	03:00	21:00	13:00	15:00	05:00	13:00	15:00
Maximum	16	2.7	9.1	11.4	0.465	13.2	21.8	0	23.3	99.2	0	6.07	284.01	4.15	50.33	294.964	43.08	7.73
MaxDate	20:00	09:00	17:00	09:00	15:00	00:00	13:00	00:00	01:00	06:00	00:00	10:00	00:00	03:00	00:00	15:00	05:00	00:00
Avg	12.6	0.5	4.7	4.9	0.129	13.2	16	0	22.8	80.5	0	3.17	127.25	3.87	45.96	289.18	40.96	7.4
Num	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	20	24	24	24	24	24
Data[%]	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	83.3	100	100	100	100	100
STD	2	0.8	2.6	2.9	0.1	No Data	4.6	0	0.6	13.7	0	1.6	83.5	0.2	2.3	4.6	1.3	0.2







Technical Memorandum

Date: November 5, 2020

To: John DeYoe, Project Manager, RWDI

From: Gioseph Anello, Director, Waste Management Services, Durham Region

Copy: L. McDowell, Director, Environmental Protection and Promotion Region, York Region

Subject:Durham York Energy Centre (DYEC)2020 Ambient Air Q3 Sulphur Dioxide Emissions

In support of the 2020 Q3 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Report prepared by RWDI Inc., the following information is provided in relation to the performance of the DYEC during the periods of elevated sulphur dioxide (SO₂) concentrations observed at the facility's Courtice and Rundle Road ambient air monitoring stations.

The Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling (ESDM) report submitted as part of the DYEC ECA Application modelled SO₂ concentrations at the maximum point of impingement (POI) for a facility operating at 110% maximum continuous rating (MCR) with in-stack SO₂ concentrations at the permit limit of 35 mg/m³. Under this conservative assumed facility operating condition the predicted maximum 1-hour average concentration at the POI was 8.62 μ g/m³, which represents 8.62% of the new ambient air standard of 100 μ g/m³, which was implemented in 2020.

According to the DYEC's continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS), measured in-stack SO₂ stack concentrations were recorded at 0 mg/m³ throughout the periods in Q3 2020 when ambient SO₂ standards were exceeded. At these measured in-stack concentration levels, the facility's contribution to ambient air quality would be expected to be less than 1% of the new standard.

John DeYoe, Project Manager, RWDI DYEC Ambient Air Q3 Sulphur Dioxide November 5, 2020 Page 2 of 2

In each instance where the Courtice station experienced an exceedance of either the 10 minute or 1 hour rolling average, the wind was found to be originating from an ESE, S and WSW directions. The DYEC is situated NE-ENE from the Courtice station. For every 10 minute and 1 hour period where the ambient standard was exceeded at the Courtice station, the DYEC was operational and the reported SO₂ CEMS in stack concentrations recorded 0 mg/Rm³.

In each instance where the Rundle Road station experienced an exceedance the wind was found to be originating from an ENE direction. Exceedances noted in the Q2 report were also found to have occurred when wind direction originated from the ENE direction. The DYEC is situated SW of the Rundle Road station. For every 10 minute and 1 hour period where the ambient standard was exceeded at the Rundle Road station, the DYEC was operational and the reported SO₂ CEMS in stack concentrations equal to or below 1 mg/Rm³.

Considering both the wind direction and the SO₂ concentrations measured in the stack, it is unlikely that the DYEC contributed significantly to elevated ambient SO₂ concentrations during these events. It is more likely that ambient concentrations were attributable to other industrial sources in the lakeshore area or long range transport from across Lake Ontario.