



2016 ODOUR MANAGEMENT & MITIGATION MONITORING REPORT

Submitted By: Covanta Durham York Renewable Energy Limited Partnership 1835 Energy Drive Courtice, ON L1E 2R2

December 2016

Table of Contents

1.	Exe	cutive Summary3					
2.	2. Normal Operations Odour Control						
	2.1	Truck Transportation4					
	2.2	Handling and Storage of Waste during Normal Operations4					
	2.3	Thermal Treatment of Waste5					
	2.4	Preventative and Control Measures at the Facility5					
3.	Insp	ection and Maintenance6					
	3.1	Maintaining Combustion Air Flow7					
	3.2	Inspection Frequency and Checklists7					
	3.3	OMMP Plan Review and Continuous Improvement9					
	3.4	Training9					
4.	3.4 Moi	Training 9 nitoring, Recording and Reporting 9					
4.	3.4 Moi 4.1	Training9 nitoring, Recording and Reporting9 Monitoring of Combustion Airflow					
4.	3.4 Moi 4.1 4.2	Training 9 nitoring, Recording and Reporting 9 Monitoring of Combustion Airflow 10 Complaints Monitoring 10					
4.	3.4 Moi 4.1 4.2 4.3	Training 9 nitoring, Recording and Reporting 9 Monitoring of Combustion Airflow 10 Complaints Monitoring 10 Source Odour Sampling 10					
4.	3.4 Mor 4.1 4.2 4.3 Shu	Training9nitoring, Recording and Reporting9Monitoring of Combustion Airflow10Complaints Monitoring10Source Odour Sampling10tdown or Disruption of Operations11					
4. 5.	3.4 Mor 4.1 4.2 4.3 Shu 5.1	Training9nitoring, Recording and Reporting9Monitoring of Combustion Airflow10Complaints Monitoring10Source Odour Sampling10tdown or Disruption of Operations11Scheduled Shutdowns11					
4.	3.4 Moi 4.1 4.2 4.3 Shu 5.1 5.2	Training9nitoring, Recording and Reporting9Monitoring of Combustion Airflow10Complaints Monitoring10Source Odour Sampling10tdown or Disruption of Operations11Scheduled Shutdowns11Disruption / Unscheduled Shutdowns11					
4.	3.4 Moi 4.1 4.2 4.3 Shu 5.1 5.2 5.3	Training9nitoring, Recording and Reporting9Monitoring of Combustion Airflow10Complaints Monitoring10Source Odour Sampling10tdown or Disruption of Operations11Scheduled Shutdowns11Disruption / Unscheduled Shutdowns11Extended Waste Storage12					

Tables:

Table 1: MSW Hauler Waste Management System ECA's Table 2: Description of Odour Preventative and Control Measures at the DYEC Table 3: Summary of Inspections, Frequency and Forms Table 4: Planned Facility Outages Table 5: Aqua Fog Usage Dates

Appendices:

Appendix 1: Inspection Forms Appendix 2: Record of Complaint Appendix 3: Summary of Investigated Odour Complaints

1. Executive Summary

Covanta Durham York Renewable Energy LP (DYRE), respectfully submits the 2016 annual Odour Management and Mitigation Monitoring Report (OMMMR) covering operations encompassing November 2015 to October 2016.

Under the Environmental Assessment Act – Notice to Proceed with Undertaking EA File No. 04-EA-02-08 (Section 18), as well as the multi-media Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) number 7306-8FDKNX (Condition 8. (8)) issued by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC), an Odour Management and Mitigation Plan (OMMMP or the Plan) was required prior to construction of the Durham York Energy Centre (DYEC) or by such other date as agreed to in writing by the Director. The OMMP became effective upon initial receipt of non-hazardous municipal solid waste on February 9th, 2015.

In addition, the preparation and approval of a Containment Test Protocol was required pursuant to ECA Condition 8. (7) prior to the receipt of waste at the DYEC. The Containment Test Protocol recognized that "as it is not practicable to measure air velocity or pressure with the Tipping Building, a smoke test was determined to provide visualization of the flow of combustion air, odours and dust and hence demonstrate the design of the DYEC to manage and mitigate odours from waste stored before combustion." The Containment Test Protocol was approved by the MOECC on September 20, 2014 and DYRE was directed to conduct periodic inspections identified in the Containment Test Protocol which thus also fulfills ECA condition 8. (1)(b)(i) to undertake a test to measure the worst case scenario negative air pressure atmosphere throughout the Tipping Building.

The OMMP requires the preparation and submission of an OMMMR to the York Durham Regional MOECC Director every 12 months until such time that the Director notifies DYEC that the OMMMR is not required. The initial OMMMR was submitted on November 26th, 2015 and included the results of odour testing and modelling of potential impacts to sensitive receptors; this OMMMR represents the second submittal. The scope of this OMMMR therefore follows the activities enumerated by the OMMP and the Containment Test Protocol applicable to the control of odours.

- Normal operations odour control.
- J Inspection and Maintenance.
-) Monitoring, Recording and Reporting.
 - Shutdown or Disruption of Operations.
 - Odour Complaint Response Procedure.

2. Normal Operations Odour Control

The application of good working practices and process control is of fundamental importance in eliminating and minimising the quantities of odours formed on site and their subsequent release to the atmosphere. Containment and mitigation of odour at the source through standard operating procedures (SOP) is proven and effective. The overall aim in the operation of the DYEC is to apply Best Management Practices at all stages of the waste treatment processes undertaken on site. Waste processed may include odourous substances. Potential odour emission sources may include truck transportation, handling and storage of waste during normal operations and thermal treatment of waste on site. The following sections will explain mitigation procedures for potential DYEC odour sources during normal operations.

2.1 Truck Transportation

The Regions of Durham and York have advanced waste management programs for source separation and diversion of waste from landfills. Specifically, the diversion of household organic waste reduces the amount of potential odour generating waste from reaching the DYEC.

All vehicles hauling municipal solid waste to the DYEC have been approved by the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC). All waste under these waste management system approvals must be transported in a covered vehicle.

Hauler	MOECC ECA
Challenger	A841577
UPAK	A8597
ECL	A800583

Table 1: MSW Hauler Waste Management System ECA's

The Scale House Operator performs a cursory inspection of hauler vehicles both upon arrival and departure, specifically ensuring covers and tarps are present and there are no obvious leaks or dripping waste. There were no hauler vehicles cited for absent covers, leaks or drips nor were there any incidents of queueing of MSW trucks outside the facility on municipal roadways during the reporting period.

Site personnel monitor the grounds and roadways for litter on a daily basis. Any waste that has fallen from the trucks is either picked up during the operator rounds or removed by the sweeper truck. This work was documented in Operator check sheets that are archived at the DYEC.

2.2 Handling and Storage of Waste during Normal Operations

The Tipping Hall entrance and exit are equipped with high speed doors to control potential fugitive emissions (odour or dust) during the truck unloading process. Doors remain closed at all times except to facilitate the entry, positioning and exiting of waste delivery trucks i.e. both entrance and exit doors must be closed before offloading or loading may commence. All trucks remain covered until they enter the Tipping Hall, reducing the potential for the release of odour emissions. On an hourly basis, an MSW truck is directed to unload on the Tip Floor. Trained operating personnel perform a visual inspection and sorting of this waste, which includes recording the presence of any extreme odours coming from the incoming municipal solid waste vehicles. These results are recorded on the DYEC Waste Screening Report and are archived at the DYEC. See Appendix 1 for a copy of the DYEC Waste Screening Report.

The outdoor storage of waste, whether in or out of transport vehicles, is not permitted.

The entrance and exit doors into the Residue and the Grizzly Buildings are kept closed at all times except to permit the entry or exit of waste transport vehicles and waste handling

equipment into and out of these Buildings. The air from the Tipping, Residue and Grizzly Buildings and from the Equipment is exhausted through appropriate and fully functional air pollution control (APC) Equipment.

2.3 Thermal Treatment of Waste

Under normal operating conditions one or two combustion trains are on line. Combustion air is drawn through the Tipping Hall by the thermal treatment units' combustion air fans through large air inlet ducts above the pit. The process of inducing combustion air flow through the Tipping Hall and refuse pit area prevents fugitive dust and odours from escaping into the environment. Potential malodorous air is drawn into the furnace and destroyed via direct exposure to the flame and high temperature oxidation that occurs during the combustion process. A system of manually adjustable louvers controls the amount of make-up air that is admitted to the Tipping Hall from the outside environment. These louvers are adjusted as necessary to ensure odours remain contained within the Tipping Hall and pit area.

2.4 Preventative and Control Measures at the Facility

The DYEC employs numerous preventative and control measures at the Facility for odour abatement as listed in Table 2 below.

Emission Source	Potential Source of Odour	Control Measures / Preventative Procedure
Trucks	 the queue time of trucks onsite Waste falling off trucks 	 Minimize the queue time through effective delivery protocols If necessary, communication with Transfer Stations to divert trucks to designated locations. Regional and Facility staff monitor trucks visually and record drivers that do not follow protocol. Drivers are assessed penalties for coming on to the site with uncovered vehicles. Fallen waste is recovered and moved to the Tipping Hall.
Waste Storage	 Outside storage Unacceptable waste 	 Waste is not stored outside anywhere on the Facility Unacceptable waste is stored in a secured location on the Tipping Hall floor Unacceptable waste or waste under examination will be diverted to the Tipping Hall.

Table 2 Description of Odour Preventative and Control Measures at the DYEC

Emission Source	Potential Source of Odour	Control Measures / Preventative Procedure
		Tipping Hall entrance and exit doors are closed when waste is not being delivered.
Tipping Holl / Refuse		Combustion Air Fans continuously draw combustion air from the Tipping Hall and the furnaces where the thermal treatment process will destroy any odour.
Building	Fugitive odours	An alarm alerts the control room when combustion air flow into the thermal treatment units drops below low level requiring Tipping Hall air inlet investigation and possible adjustment.
		 Calibration of Boiler Combustion Air Flow Transmitter for Louver Positioning
		Facility staff communicate with Regional Transfer Stations to divert trucks from the Facility
		 Trucks on-site will be diverted to appropriate locations
Both thermal treatment		Entrance and exit doors to the Tipping Hall and louvers will be closed to prevent fugitive odour escape.
trains have an unexpected outage lasting a prolonged period	Both the Facility thermal treatment units are off-line for an extended period	ID Fans will continue to operate as feasible and convey air from the Tipping Hall to the stack.
		In the unusual case scenario of both units being off line for an extended period, waste in the pit may be recovered and transferred in a covered haul truck to appropriate disposal areas.
		 Active odour suppression using the facility's micronutrient misting system (See 5.1 for a description)

3. Inspection and Maintenance

Planned maintenance and inspection activities are an important part of maintaining the effectiveness of odour control measures. The DYEC operations and maintenance staff ensure that all plant processes and equipment perform properly, including those that have a direct effect on the success of the odour control program. A maintenance schedule of all facility equipment is included as part of the Facility Operations and Maintenance Manual. An electronic Maintenance Management System is utilized to co-ordinate and document inspection and repair activities and ensure the availability of critical spare parts. This ensures

the DYEC maintains an effective planned inspection and preventative maintenance program on equipment that is critical to odour control and abatement.

3.1 Maintaining Combustion Air Flow

While the boilers are in operation, combustion air flow is maintained through the Tip Hall and pit area. A system of louvers is adjusted according to prevailing operating conditions, such as the number of boilers in operation and also whether or not MSW is being delivered. Louver positions for various boiler operating scenarios were developed during the 2014 containment (smoke) test. To ensure this works effectively, regular maintenance and inspection activities are performed to ensure that doors and roof vents are closed and that the building envelope remains in good condition. The doors and louvers are inspected for proper operation on a daily basis. These activities ensure that louver adjustments effectively contain odours within the Tip Hall and pit.

3.2 Inspection Frequency and Checklists

The DYEC has developed a comprehensive program that includes inspections of all aspects of the facility operations including buildings and the indoor waste storage facilities for the presence of odour and leaks in or near any openings, such as doorways, windows, vents or louvers and any off-site nuisance impacts from odour.

The Equipment Operator performs daily rounds of the Tipping Hall area. Items of concern include confirmation that the louvers are in the correct position, integrity of the entrance/exit doors, presence of dust, odours and leaks exiting/entering the Tipping Hall and for the presence of trash outside of the building. He is also responsible for ensuring the misting system is operable when required.

The Environmental Specialist performs an inspection of the entire facility on a weekly basis and records findings on the DYEC Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Form. Besides odour, litter, and track out of MSW are recorded.

The Outside Environmental Checklist was designed to comply with ECA 7306-8FDKNX Condition 5 Equipment and Site Inspections and Maintenance, (5) Inspections, and includes buildings and the indoor waste storage facilities and presence of dust and odour and leaks in or near any openings, such as doorways, window, vent, louver or any other opening and off-site nuisance impacts such as odour, dust and litter.

The waste water settling basin (WWSB) is inspected on a daily basis for odour, dust and litter. The results of these inspections are recorded on the Outside Environmental Checklist, including any actions taken. On a weekly basis, the Facility's Environmental Specialist performs an independent check. If necessary, the WWSB can be emptied and cleaned. It has not been the source of any odours during this reporting period.

The DYEC Waste Screening Report is also completed by the Equipment Operator. Every truck is examined for extreme odour.

Table 3 provides a summary of these facility inspections. See Appendix 1 for copies of the inspection forms.

Inspection Type	Frequency	Form
Tip Floor Entrance and Exit Doors	Daily	Equipment Operator Daily Rounds
	Weekly	DYEC Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Form
Louver Positions	Daily	Equipment Operator Daily Rounds
Combustion Air Flow to the Thermal Treatment Units	Continuously recorded on the Facilities' Distributed Control System	Distributed Control System data historian
Environmental Inspection (as per ECA 5 (5))	Daily	Outside Environmental Checklist**
	Weekly	DYEC Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Form
Haul Truck Odour Inspection	Daily – every truck	DYEC Waste Screening Report
Odour Walk	As needed i.e. outages and/or odour concerns	Odour Log
Waste Water Settling Basin	Daily	Outside Environmental Checklist
	Weekly	DYEC Weekly Environmental Site Inspection Form

Table 3: Summary of Inspections, Frequency and Forms

In addition, the Facility has routine equipment maintenance inspections for the operation of the Facility as part of the Facility Operating and Maintenance Manual.

The following activities are performed throughout the day or on a scheduled basis to control potential sources of fugitive odour emissions:

-) The Tipping Floor is cleaned as needed between MSW truck deliveries and at the end of the day
- No waste handling equipment or empty storage containers are stored outside, unless they have been washed
-) Equipment and storage areas that are used to handle, process and store waste (including the surfaces of the outdoor spill containment areas) are cleaned as required

3.3 OMMP Plan Review and Continuous Improvement

Inspection and monitoring procedures assist Facility personnel in maintaining an effective OMMP. The OMMP will be reviewed and updated, as follows:

-) if there are significant changes in the odour emissions sources or in Facility operations;
-) periodically, every five years (minimum); and/or
-) if there are verified complaints associated with odour emissions from the Facility.

A review of the OMMP is intended to evaluate the effectiveness of the odour control practices and focus on the identification of improvement opportunities that can reduce the possibility of the release of fugitive odour emissions. Significant changes in the odour emission sources from Facility operations have not occurred.

3.4 Training

All new DYEC employees receive standard Environmental Training. This includes a presentation on the Odour Management and Mitigation Plan. Facility staff are trained to identify odour concerns. This training includes:

- management control techniques in place for addressing odour including review of how to conduct and report an odour observation check;
-) actions to take in the event of an unexpected odour release; and
- \rightarrow notification protocols.

The Facility's Environmental Specialist conducts refresher training on an as necessary basis. Training records are archived at the Facility.

4. Monitoring, Recording and Reporting

During normal operating hours, all staff are responsible to report any abnormal odour emissions at the site. If an abnormal odour is observed by Facility staff, the Shift Supervisor will implement reactive measures to determine the root cause of the odour. SOP - P PEO 007 Public Complaints has been developed to record complaints and ensure adequate information is collected to determine the cause and identify/implement mitigative actions. The SOP covers the following:

records to be kept, including documentation of maintenance and process conditions;

- meteorological conditions to be recorded; and
- form completion, follow through and notification to the MOECC.

The DYEC monitors combustion air flow rates, adjusts Tipping Hall louvers as necessary and maintains equipment to meet the odour control requirements of the ECA. The following monitoring is carried out to evaluate the performance of control and reaction measures in use at the DYEC.

- a) Continuous monitoring of combustion airflow by each unit.
- b) Monthly review of meteorological data provided by the Region of Durham.
- c) Monitoring of complaints and other forms of community feedback.

On a monthly basis all complaints received directly at the DYEC are recorded and delivered to the Region of Durham for inclusion in the monthly complaint logs sent to the MOECC.

4.1 Monitoring of Combustion Airflow

The continuous monitoring of the combustion airflow rate through the Tipping Hall is a surrogate for determining whether negative pressure is being maintained within the building. Temperatures, pressures and flow rates are monitored throughout the combustion air and flue gas path. Combustion airflows (Combustion Air Flow Transmitters (1/2-FIT-4202)) in each of the two thermal treatment units are monitored continuously to ensure proper airflow (negative pressure) through the Tipping Hall is maintained. Periodic inspection and annual verification of the combustion air flow transmitters is conducted in accordance with the Containment Test Protocol.

The facility induces airflow through the Tipping Hall and across the pit by combustion air fans that pull the combustion air through the intake ducts located above the cranes on the charging deck. The DCS continuously monitors, measures and records this flowrate. As operating conditions change (i.e. shutdowns, non-delivery times), the airflow is adjusted with the use of louvers on the north wall of the Tipping Hall to maintain sufficient airflow and to prevent the odours from leaving the building. An alarm indicator in the DCS will alert the control room operator of low combustion air flows requiring possible louver repositioning.

In the event that adequate airflow cannot be maintained, additional odour containment and control measures will be implemented. See Section 5.2

4.2 Complaints Monitoring

Condition 6 of the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Condition 10 of the ECA both require that the DYEC monitors and responds to odour complaints and inquiries. These complaints may come through the Regions of Durham and York (telephone or email), through the MOECC or directly to the Facility. DYEC staff are in place to record and respond to these complaints twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week. Written and digital records of complaints follow-up investigations and responses are maintained on site. See Section 6 for additional details.

4.3 Source Odour Sampling

The Tip Hall has been identified as the principal source of potential fugitive odours. On October 8th and 9th, 2015, Zorix Environmental carried out representative one-time odour sampling as per Ontario Source Testing Code Method ON-6. Triplicate samples were collected from the Tip Hall feed chute area. These air samples were then analyzed by an 8-member odour panel to determine the typical odour source concentration. Dispersion of worst case potential odours through the stack during a 2-boiler outage was modeled using the CALPUFF dispersion model approved under Schedule B of the ECA. According to the model, the maximum 10-minute odour concentration at a sensitive receptor was 0.28 OU and occurred at a former house to the west of the facility. This result was well within the compliance limit of 1.0 Odour Units.

5. Shutdown or Disruption of Operations

5.1 Scheduled Shutdowns

Scheduled shutdowns are used to complete boiler inspection and repairs and are a key component of the Facilities' maintenance program.

During a single boiler outage, the remaining boiler continues to run and provides for Tipping Floor and pit area ventilation, maintaining odour control. In addition to this, SOP – D ENV 003 Fugitive Dust and odour Control, for monitoring and mitigation of odours is employed. This includes for the completion of perimeter odour surveys and may include the use of active odour suppression within the Tip Hall.

When in a full plant outage (both boilers offline), Tip Floor and pit area ventilation is reduced. During this period of time, perimeter odour surveys are completed, louver positions are monitored, and the active odour suppression system may be employed. Table 4 summarizes the planned facility outages.

Boiler 1	Boiler 2
November 26 - December 15, 2015	November 21 - December 14, 2015
February 15 - March 7, 2016	March 12 – March 28, 2016
March 20 – March 25, 2016	September 30 – October 6, 2016

Table 4 Planned Facility Outages

The Facility's active odour suppression system consists of a single Aqua Fog[®] Odour Control unit. This misting unit uses a diluted solution of a plant based organic micronutrient (SciCorp BIOLOGIC[®] SRC3) which neutralizes odour by stimulating both aerobic and anaerobic non-odour producing bacteria while competitively inhibiting sulphur-reducing and ammonia forming bacteria and enzymes. This mobile misting fan can be placed in varying positions either misting over the MSW in the pit or misting toward the entrance door. The unit, in combination with control (opening and closing) of the louvers on the north wall of the Tipping Hall worked effectively to prevent fugitive odour emissions when one or both boilers were not operating. Aqua Fog[®] usage dates are documented in Table 5.

Table	5:	Aqua	Fog	Usage	Dates
-------	----	------	-----	-------	-------

Boiler 1	Boiler 2				
November 22 - December 13, 2015	#1 and #2 Boiler Outage				
March 21 to March 25, 2016	#1 and #2 Boiler Outage				
June 20 to August 5, 2016	#1 Boiler Extended Outage				

5.2 Disruption / Unscheduled Shutdowns

A disruption of normal facility operations leading to an unplanned outages is handled in the same way as a planned outage. Louver positions are adjusted to maintain Tip Haul ventilation. In the event that both boilers are affected and adequate negative airflow cannot be maintained, additional odour containment and control measures will be implemented, including the operation of the active odour suppression system.

5.3 Extended Waste Storage

In the event the Facility experiences an abnormal / upset condition that causes the Facility to enter an extended emergency waste storage condition, the Facility will formally notify the MOECC per Condition 2 (8)(b)(i) of the ECA, amended on March 14, 2016. This notification will include an explanation of the issue, duration of the outage and control measures the Facility is implementing to potential odours. These mitigating actions may include reducing waste deliveries, implementing more frequent odour surveys and the operation of the active odour suppression system. MOECC notifications of extended waste storage are archived at the site.

There have been no verified odour complaints due to planned or unplanned shutdowns.

6. Odour Complaint Response Procedure

Monitoring of Complaints and Inquiries at the DYEC is a requirement of Condition 6 of the EA and Condition 10 of the ECA.

DYEC has a comprehensive system of monitoring and inspection to check that all odour control measures are functioning effectively. However, in the event that an odour complaint is received, it is important that complaints are properly and systematically addressed and resolved.

Complaints are directed to the DYEC though the Regions of Durham or York or received directly at the Facility. The Standard Operating Procedure P PEO 007 Public Complaints is based upon the *Durham/York Energy from Waste Complaint Protocol for Design, Construction & Operations* and is followed whenever an odour complaint is received. *See Appendix 2: DYEC Record of Complaint* for information collected during an investigation.

A register of all odour complaints regarding the site is maintained. A Complaint and Inquiry report submission is provided to the MOECC York Durham District Office District Manager on a monthly basis in accordance with the Complaint Protocol approved by the MOECC in 2011. Hard copies and digital records of complaints and the complaint investigation and responses are maintained on site. All Complaint and Inquiry logs are available to the public on the DYEC website: www.durhamyorkwaste.ca

All odour complaints made to the facility were reported to the MOECC District Office by phone or email as soon as reasonably possible. An investigation into each complaint was immediately initiated. Between November 2015 and October 2016, there were four (4) complaints related to suspected odour emissions from the DYEC. Odour complaints were received on the following dates.

- November 10, 2015 (regarding an odour on 4-Nov-15).
-) November 24, 2015 (regarding an odour on 17-Nov-15).
- February 16, 2016 (onsite odour report).
- September 21, 2016 (regarding an odour on 19 and 20-Sep-16).

Upon investigation, it was determined that the complaints listed above were not attributable to the DYEC. A summary of the odour complaints and follow up investigation is provided in Appendix 3.

NOTE:

Under the Odour Management and Mitigation Plan, the Regions committed to notifying the Municipality of Clarington of any odour complaints received. The Municipality advised the Regions on June 16, 2015 that further notifications regarding odour complaints were not required.

Appendix 1: Inspection Forms



EQUIPMENT OPERATOR DAILY ROUNDS

Completed By:

Date:

		Boilers On	Incoming Waste	# of Louvers Open	Check 2							
At 7	am, confirm only one	1 or 2	Yes	All closed								
oft	he following:	1	No	One bank								
ort	ne ronowing.	2	No	Two banks								
<u>.</u>		0	Yes	All closed								
		0	No	One bank	3.5							
	426	0 (no ID fan)	No	All closed								
No	Item											
	Inspect the Loader using	the approved inspec	tion form		_							
- 22	Portable Fire Extinguishe	rs: present and prop	erly charged and fir	e hose in good conditi	on							
	Drain all fire system drip	legs										
12	Floor area is clear of mat	erials from previous	shift									
	Review building integrity	including columns,	beams, wells etc.									
-	Inspect, open and close of	entry/exit doors										
	Confirm all lights are fun	ctioning. If lights are	e out, record in com	ments below.								
8	Dust/odours/water leaks	exiting/entering the	e Tipping Floor, inclu	iding track out. Record	i							
	Unacceptable Wastes an	e stored in proper co	ontainment locations	s and wastes are not s	tored							
	incompatibly.		torea in proper containment locations and wastes are not stored									
8	Confirm Spill Kit is full											
	Recycling placed in green	n recycling bin	ecycling bin									
- 6	Trash present outside ea	st of west Tip Floor	of west Tip Floor doors. If yes, pick up.									
	Is the misting system for	odour control in ope	dour control in operation? present and reservoir does not need refilling during entire shift									
- 63	If misting, verify nutrient	is present and reser										
	Charging Deck floor swe	ot/cleaned. Reco	/cleaned. Record time -									
- 60	Yellow parapet cleaned -	- free of dust Reco	ree of dust Record time -									
	Stairwells swept/cleaned	Reco	Record time -									
		Boilers On	Incoming Waste	# of Louvers Open	Check							
-	1	1 or 2	Yes	All closed								
At 7	pm, confirm only one	1	No	One bank								
of th	ne following:	2	2 No Two banks									
		0	Yes	All closed	2							
		0	No	One bank								
		0										

Shift Supervisor Signature:

Rev 5: July 2016



COVANTA DYEC WEEKLY ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION FORM

Date: _____

Completed By: _____

GENERAL C	ONDITIONS	5						
				Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments	
Odours are co	Odours are controlled							
Dust is control	led – Roadways	s are a	idequately swept					
Overall site litt	Overall site litter is controlled							
No evidence of excessive soil erosion)	
Facility is maintained in a clean and sanitary condition								
Areas adjacent	to buildings an	re free	of standing water					
Grass/ fandsca	ping is neatly tr	rimme	ed and properly maintained					
		414						
T	anks			Comments				
	Leaks detect (dust or liqu	ted iid)						
Carbon	Yes N	No						
Lime	Yes N	No						
Pozzolan	Yes N	No						
Cement	Yes N	No.						
Diesel Tank	Yes N	No	Spill Kit -					
Ammonia	Yes N	o I						

BOILER/TURBINE BUILDING AREAS						
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments		
Tanks and drums provided with 2° containment or spill pallets						
Floor drains/trenches are functioning properly						
Floor is clear of spilled material and/or oil						
Spill kits are adequately stocked (turbine and feed stoker)						
No evidence of leaks/spills or malfunctioning equipment						

APC AREA						
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments		
Surfaces are clear of spilled material and/or oil						
Baghouse systems are functioning properly						
Ammonia tank/diking in proper working order						
Carbon/lime/ammonia injection systems in proper working order						

CEMS PERFORMANCE

cento Pent Ontrivite				
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments
Daily Summary Reports reviewed and any issues addressed				
CEMS data quality issues being addressed				

Rev 4: 16-Dec-15

Page 1 of 3



COVANTA DYEC WEEKLY ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION FORM

CEMS maintenance and calibrations adequately performed		
0		

RO WATER TREATMENT AREA					
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments	
Floor is clear or spilled material and/or oil					
Spill kit is adequately stocked					
No evidence of excessive leaks or malfunctioning equipment	88				
Storage tanks/containment basins properly maintained					

STORM WATER PONDS and SETTLING BASIN						
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments		
No evidence of visible sheen on ponds						
No evidence of visible sheen on WWSB						
Spill kits at East and West ponds are adequately stocked						
n Marina Adama Adama N						

	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments
Ash, ferrous and non-ferrous material is properly contained				
Spill kit is adequately stocked				
Floor is clear of spilled material and/or oil				
Ash trucks are not "leaking" water or tracking ash				
No sign of ash spillage beneath outside conveyors				

GRIZZLY BUILDING					
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments	
Floor is clear of spilled material and/or oil					
No sign of excessive ash spillage, NO ash track-out.					
Spill kit is adequately stocked					

FIRE PUMP HOUSE					
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments	
Spill kits are adequately stocked					
Diesel tanks: no leaks visible					
No sign of malfunctioning equipment evident					
Water tank containment intact					

MAINTENANCE SHOP				
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments
No drums or drums on spill pallets				
Floor is clear of spilled material and/or oil				
No staining evident on paved areas outside of shop				

Rev 4: 16-Dec-15

Page 2 of 3



COVANTA DYEC WEEKLY ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION FORM

Maintenance activities conducted in a manner minimizing spill potential		0

SCALES				
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments
Spill kit is adequately stocked				
Scales and roadway are free from MSW and dust				9 9

INTERNALLY GENERATED WASTE – COMPRESSOR ALLEY

	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments
No evidence of leaks/spills in the vicinity of the used oil storage drums				а О
Tanks and drums provided with secondary containment and/or spill pallets				
Spill kit is adequately stocked				

TIPPING FLOOR					
	Sat	NI	UnSat	Comments	
Vectors are prevented or controlled					
Waste volume in pit and tipping floor not excessive					
Daily Waste Screening Reports completed					
Spill kit is adequately stocked				e	
Chemical/oil spills/debris present on floor				3	
Unacceptable waste stored safely					
No track out of MSW					

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Rev 4: 16-Dec-15

Page 3 of 3

COVANTA

Outside Environmental Checklist

Date:	Time:	Operator Nar	me:		
1. Outide Surrounding Area	East Facing	South Facing	West Facing	North Facing	Comments
Fencing / Gates / Barriers intact	Y / N	Y / N	Y/N	Y / N	
Signage in place	Y / N	Y / N	Y/N	Y / N	
Roads (Leaks/drips of waste from trucks, litter, excessive dust present)/ Scale house	Y / N	Y / N	Y / N	Y / N	
Swales are free of odour, dust, litter	Y/N	Y / N	Y/N	Y / N	
Storm Water Pooling present	Y / N	Y / N	Y / N	Y / N	
Odour/ Dust/ Litter Present	Y/N	Y / N	Y/N	Y/N	
Storm water ponds free of litter, odour, sheen	Y/N		Y/N	22) 11	
Make note of any odours coming from the Water Pollution Control Plant or Miller Waste	Y / N		1		
2. Diesel Tank - Rolling Stock		Comments			
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y/N				
Leaks visible (containment intact)	Y / N	Fuel Level -			
Spill Kit available	Y / N				
3. Waste Water Settling Basin		Comments			
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N				8
Basin/Pumps in good condition	Y / N				
Water level is below basin surface	Y/N	(inches below	v top):		
4. Stack		Comments			
Stack lighting is functional	Y/N	Please circle I	ight that is no	t functioning:	NE SE SW NW
5. Emergency Diesel Generator		Comments			
Dust/odours exiting any equipment openings	Y / N				
Coolant/Battery/Fuel leaks	Y / N				
Fuel containment intact	Y / N	Fuel Level -			
Spill Kit available (inside Grizzly Bidg)	Y/N				
6. ACC/ CCW/ Switchyard		Comments			
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N				
Leaks visible	Y / N				
Excessive noise	Y / N	Describe:			
Fencing Enclosure intact (switchyard)	Y/N				
Transformer containment free of oil/debris/water	Y / N	N Water Leve	et;	S Wa	itor Level:
7. Service & Fire Water Pump House Buildings		Comments			
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N				2
Leaks visible	Y / N				
Excessive noise	Y / N	Describe:			
Water Tank containment intact	Y / N				
North/South Diesel Tank in good condition (containment intact)	Y / N	Level - N:		5:	
Fuel Tank Temp		°C - N:		5:	
Spill Kits available	Y/N				

Page 1 of 2



Outside Environmental Checklist

Date:	Time:	Operator Name:
8. Flyash and Inclined Conveyors		Comments
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N	
Ash leaks visible	Y / N	
Excessive Noise	Y / N	Describe:
. Ammonia		Comments
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N	
Leaks visible	Y / N	
Containment intact	Y / N	Water Level in Dike -
Tank/valves/pipes in good condition	Y / N	Lovel -
0. Pozzolan/ Cement/ Carbon /Lime Systems		Comments
Odour / Dust / Litter present	Y / N	
Leaks visible	Y / N	
Silos in good condition	Y / N	
Silo Levels		Pozzolan - Cement - Carbon - Lime -
Unloading areas in clean condition	Y / N	Describe:
fake note of any off-site nuisance impacts such	as odour, du	ist, litter etc.
Comments		

NOTE: This inspection satisfies ECA 7306-8FDKNX Condition 5 (5) Inspections

Page 2 of 2



DYEC Waste Screening Report - Tipping Floor Date: _

Once per hour, Trained Personnel shall unload the incoming Waste on the tipping floor for a manual visual inspection and sorting of the incoming Waste. (ECA - Condition 4 (2)(b)(ii))

		Waste	e <mark>Hauler</mark>		Extreme Odour?		Any Unacceptable Waste?		Trucks dumped directly into Pit			Inspector Initials
	Time	ham	ork AK)	ID #	Yes	No	Yes	No		ID #		
		Dur	Yc UP		105	No	103		Please place a D	Please place a D (Durham) or Y (York) in front of ID#		
7am												
8am										9 9		
9am												
10am												
11am												
12pm									10	5 7		
1pm												
2pm												
3pm												
4pm									(a (a	2 2		
5pm												
6pm												

To report Unacceptable Waste please use the other side of this form.



DYEC Waste Screening Report - Tipping Floor

			es	(IIe	ery	ts	SU	77 10	alt		sdi				Storage Location	
	Time of Inspection	Explosives	Liquid Wast	C & D (Drywa	Large Machin	White Good	Sealed Drun	Pressurized Containers	Tar or Aspha	Pathologics or Biologics	Logs or Stum	Tires	Other	Description of Materials	Floor Bin	Bermed Area
_			Please	record	volume/	quantit	y of ma	terials	remove	ed from	waste	stream				
7am																
8am																
9am																
10am																
11am																
12pm																
1pm																
2pm																
3pm																
4pm				2		o) (e	8				5.		3			
5pm						0 0 0 0					2					
6pm										8	8					

Comments:

Shift Supervisor Signature: _____

DYEC Waste Screening Report - Tipping Floor Rev 6: August 19, 2015

Page 2 of 2



ODOUR LOG

Date	Time	Wind Direction	Odours Detected	Location of odours (i.e. east side of admin)	Extent of odours (i.e. How far away from plant can you smell it) Any comments	Yellow Drum Level of Micronutrient (inches)	Micro- nutrient Added (Y/N)	Initial
			3				(e e)	÷
	0		-					-
		-						
							-	+
	_							
				_				
	-	-					(a c)	0
	Q.							6
								<u> </u>
	_	_						
		-					+	+

Rev 1: Jun-16

Appendix 2: DYEC Record of Complaint

COVANTA	DYEC Record of Complaint Tracking Number:	
Date of Complaint: .	Time: 🗆 am 🗆 pm	
Complaint Received by:	Complaint Processed by:	
Method of Contact:	□Telephone □Letter □Email □Facility Visit □Fax □Other . □Referral	
Name of Complainant:		
Address:		
Phone:		
Email:	\$	
Complaint/Issue: (Be as	detailed as possible)	
Company activities at th (Include process conditi	ne time of the complaint: ions, maintenance being performed etc.)	
Weather at Time of Con Source:	nplaint: (Environment Canada Hourly Data)	
□Weather Station		
Temperature:	C Relative Humidity: %	
Cloud Cover: Clea	ar (1/10 th covered) Scattered (1/10 th to 14)	
	en (1/2 to 9/10 ^m) Overcast (fully overed)	
Precipitation:	Barometric Pressure:	
Wind Speed:	Wind Direction:	
Other Details:		
	excession in the Ball and the second second second second second	
Description of response	immediately following the complaint.	
-		
Were any further action Date: Time: am	ns taken/required?	
If no action was taken, s	specify why.	
Describe actions/prever	ntative actions taken to address the cause of the complaint.	1
Referred for Further Act	tion to:	1
8		
DYEC Record of Complaint Rev 2: 16-Nov-15	Page 1 of 2	DYEC 1835 Energy Drive

1835 Energy Drive Courtice, ON L1E 2R2



DYEC Record of Complaint

Tracking Number:

Follow Up:

<u>Response Method (to Complainant):</u> <u>Telephone</u> In Person Email/Written Is the Complainant satisfied with the response and follow-up?

If no, please provide reason:

Was the MOE contacted? Yes No If No, Why not?

Date of MOE contact:
Verbal
Written
Both
Name of MOE contact: .
Contact Information:

Other Comments:

Compl	aint	Processor:	

Signature:

Facility Manager: Matthew Neild

Signature:

Environmental Specialist: Amanda Huxter

Signature:

Date Closed:

DYEC Record of Complaint Rev 2: 16-Nov-15 Page 2 of 2

DYEC 1835 Energy Drive Courtice, ON L1E 2R2

Date Rec'd	Method	Comment Details	MOECC Contacted	DYEC Activities	Immediate Response	Further Actions
10-Nov- 15	Durham – direct phone call	(Complainant called on 10-Nov-15 at 3pm regarding an odour on 4-Nov-15 at 4:30pm) Woman was leaving her Doctors office at Courtice Road and Hwy #2 and noticed the smell. It was not noticeable when she arrived at 3:30 PM earlier. She claims she has also smelled similar smell near her home in Kendal, although faint on several occasions over the last 2 months-she did not have dates. She stated smell is a sweet burning plastic smell. She says she is sure it's from the Incinerator. She also heard from recent news report about dioxins floating in the air from the incinerator and concerned about breathing the chemicals.	Yes. Verbal and Written 13-Nov-15 Final report sent 13-Nov-15	Both boilers were operating under normal conditions. No operating or process issues. Routine maintenance being performed.	Review of operational /process data and weather conditions	Not required. Plant operations and weather conditions indicate the facility would not be a cause of the odour complaint. Due to the length of time the complaint was filed after the event occurred makes it difficult to investigate any further.
24-Nov- 15	Clarington Mayors Office to Commission er Cliff Curtis - email	On my way home from work at 2:25 AM on Nov 17th. I was on the 401 by the GM head offices. I started to smell a horrible stench of burnt plastic. I first thought it was the vehicle in front of me. I passed the vehicle and rolled down my window to clear the smell out of the car but quickly realized it was something else. It continued to stink for the next couple of km's. When I approached Courtice road the smell had subsided but I noticed the incineration plant was operating and the smoke was heading directly	Yes Verbal and Written 24-Nov-15 Final Report sent	Both boilers were operating under normal conditions. No operating or process issues.	Review of operational /process data and weather conditions	Not required. Plant operations and weather conditions indicate the facility would not be a cause of the odour complaint. Due to the length of time the complaint was filed after the event occurred makes it difficult to investigate any further.

Appendix 3: Summary of Investigated Odour Complaints

Date Rec'd	Method	Comment Details	MOECC Contacted	DYEC Activities	Immediate Response	Further Actions
		west. As a Bowmanville resident and homeowner I am quite concerned as I live much closer to the incinerator than the GM building and the wind doesn't always blow west. If that was in fact the incineration I smelt, there is a HUGE problem here. It was putrid/acrid. If that smell were to be by my home I would have to move. I assure you I am not against incineration. I am simply concerned about the place I call home becoming devalued by stench. ~Chris Hayes				
16-Feb- 16	DYEC - telephone	Site Region of Durham employee called Enviro Specialist (ES) with concern about a strong odour from the north - believes it is Miller Compost but wanted the event recorded	No – odour did not leave site	One boiler online – normal operations	ES performed circular walk around facility and drove outward from facility until arriving at Miller Compost at 1100 Hancock Road	Region of Durham employee called to inform of source
21-Sep- 16	Durham - telephone	Citizen just called trying to reach someone to complain about the odour from the Durham York Energy Centre on Sept 19 and 20He has advised that it has been 'ripe' for a couple of days now. He lives on Mull Crescent in Courtice, just off of Nash. He left his email address as a means of contact.	Yes – 10:08am. Phil Dunn was on site on 19-Sep and did not note any odour	Both boilers were operating under normal conditions. No operating or process issues.	Call MOE regarding odour complaint. No further action required.	Not required